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#### Abstract

Worldwide, cancer still is the second cause of death-disease related being expected to rise up to 22 million cases per year within the next two decades. Osteosarcoma (OS) is the most common primary malignant bone cancer with a poor prognosis for patients with metastatic or recurrent disease. Some progress has been achieved regarding OS therapy and survival rates have increased from less than $20 \%$ to $65-70 \%$ with the multidrug regimen designated as MAP (methotrexate (MTX), doxorubicin (DOX), and cisplatin). However, the severe toxicity and deleterious side-effects associated with MAP are a limiting factor. In this context, the currently ongoing European and American Osteosarcoma Study (EURAMOS-1) phase III clinical trial seeks to improve survival rate of OS patients through MAP concentrations adjustments. The advantage of a combined therapy is to be able to deliver the same or enhanced cytotoxic effect relative to the one attained with each drug individually. Upon cells' viability evaluation against osteosarcoma cell line, MG-63, $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ was considered the best drug to be tested against a healthy cell line, HOb .

Vibrational microspectroscopy both FTIR with synchrotron radiation and Raman were used to assess the drugs' bioavailability, biodistribution, metabolic impact as well as cellular response to treatment with either newly synthesized cisplatin-like compounds $\left(\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}\right)$ alone or in combination in the MAP regimen against both osteosarcoma (cancer cells) and osteoblasts (healthy cells) cell lines.

The results thus gathered clearly evidenced a spectral discrimination between the control and the drug-treated cells with the IC 50 values, obtained for osteosarcoma at 48 h for cisplatin $(12 \mu \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}(14 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}(12 \mu \mathrm{M})$, applied at both cell lines, MG-63 and HOb. As well as with drug combination, administered according to the EURAMOS-1 protocol in order to compare the protentional treatment drugs with cisplatin at 96 h of incubation time $(4.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of drug $+3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of DOX at 0 h plus an additional dose of $4.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of MTX at 72 h$)$.


## Resumo

A nível mundial, o cancro ainda é a segunda causa de morte devido a doença, prevendo-se que aumente para 22 milhões de casos por ano nas próximas duas décadas. O osteossarcoma (OS) é o cancro ósseo primário mais comum, com um mau prognóstico para pacientes com doença metastática ou recorrente. A terapia do OS tem tido alguma evolução positiva tendo as taxas de sobrevivências aumentado de menos de $20 \%$ para 65$70 \%$ utilizando o regime multimedicamentoso designado como MAP (metotrexato (MTX), doxorrubicina (DOX) e cisplatina). No entanto, a elevada toxicidade e os efeitos colaterais associados ao MAP são um fator limitante. Neste contexto, o ensaio clínico de fase III do European and American Osteosarcoma Study (EURAMOS-1) em curso procura aumentar a taxa de sobrevivência de pacientes com OS através de variações nas concentrações dos fármacos presentes no MAP. A vantagem de uma terapia combinada é a possibilidade de obter o mesmo ou maior efeito citotóxico em relação ao obtido com cada um dos medicamentos individualmente. Após a avaliação da viabilidade celular na linha celular de osteossarcoma MG-63, o composto $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ foi considerado o melhor fármaco a ser testado numa linha celular saudável, HOb .

A microespetroscopia vibracional, tanto de FTIR com radiação de sincrotrão como de Raman, foram utilizadas para avaliar a biodisponibilidade, biodistribuição e impacto metabólico dos medicamentos, bem como a resposta celular ao tratamento com os compostos semelhantes à cisplatina sintetizados $\left(\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}\right.$ e $\left.\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}\right)$ tanto na sua forma isolada como em combinação de acordo com o regime MAP em linhas celulares de osteossarcoma (células cancerígenas) e osteoblastos (células saudáveis).

Os resultados obtidos evidenciaram uma clara discriminação espectral entre o controlo e as células tratadas com o fármaco com os valores de IC50, obtidos para o osteossarcoma às 48 h para a cisplatina $(12 \mu \mathrm{M})$, o $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \operatorname{SpmCl}_{4}(14 \mu \mathrm{M})$ e o $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \operatorname{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}(12$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$, administrados a ambas as linhas celulares, MG-63 e HOb. Assim como com a combinação, administrada de acordo com o protocolo EURAMOS-1 de modo a comparar o efeito potenciador dos novos fármacos com a cisplatina às 96 horas de tempo de incubação total $(4,8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ de fármaco $+3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ de DOX às 0 h mais uma dose adicional de 4,8 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ de MTX às 72 h ).
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Bone Cancer

Worldwide, cancer is the second cause of death by a disease, and it is expected to rise to 22 million cases per year within the next two decades. Cancer can spread from organs to bone, known as bone metastasis, which are more common than primary bone cancers (less than $1 \%$ of all cancers). In 2023, are estimated 3970 new cases ( 1810 in females and 2160 in males) and 2140 deaths ( 940 in females and 1200 in males) from primary cancer of the bones and joints by the American Cancer Society [1].

Although bone cancer can begin in any bone, studies report the pelvis and the long bones in the arms and legs as the most frequent places for bone cancer to develop. A scheme of bone cancer in the femur can be found in Figure 1. The definition of bone cancer thus not included metastasis in the bone from other types of cancer [2].


Figure 1: Schematic representation of a type of bone cancer, osteosarcoma.
Bones are composed by four types of cells, osteoclasts, osteoblasts, osteocytes, and bone lining [3]. Osteoblasts are the cells that construct new bone, osteoclasts the ones which dissolve old bone, this continuous formation and dissolution is what maintain the bones strong. Moreover, osteocytes which control the extracellular concentration of phosphate and calcium in bone tissue, and bone lining are present in the surface of the bone [4-8].

There are seven types of bone cancer:

- Osteosarcoma;
- Ewing sarcoma;
- Chondrosarcoma;
- High-grade undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS) of bone;
- Fibrosarcoma of bone;
- Giant cell tumour of bone;
- Chordoma.

The most common treatment in these cases is surgical removal, although chemo and radiation therapies can be necessary, it depends on the type of bone cancer $[2,9,10]$.

### 1.2. Osteosarcoma

Osteosarcoma (OS) is the most common primary malignant bone cancer with poor prognosis for patients with metastatic or recurrent disease. Although OS can develop at any age, the incidence is greater in children and young adults, and more prevalent in males than in females. Hence, there is a need to develop new and more effective anticancer agents in order to kill neoplastic cells while having minimal effects on healthy tissue [2]. According to the International Classification of Childhood Cancer, ICCC, for the United States, the incidence rate per million in children from birth to 14 years old is 4.3 and for young adults, from 15 to 19 years 8.0, with a 5 -year relative survival of $69 \%$ and $67 \%$, respectively $[1,2,11]$.

According to the recent World Health Organization, WHO, Osteosarcoma can be divided in high-, intermediate- and low-grade, which are classified in different therapeutic approaches [12].

### 1.2.1. Current Therapeutic Approaches

Some challenges are found in the diagnosis and management of osteosarcoma, and a way to bypass them is a multidisciplinary approach. Presently, the techniques used are radiotherapy, surgical excision and multiagent systematic therapy. Although low-grade osteosarcoma can be treated by surgery, high- grade treatment consists in the combination of chemotherapy followed by surgery and then more chemotherapy, (sometimes radiation
therapy is also needed). The chemotherapy employed usually consists in a multidrug regimen [10, 13].

In the last 30 years some progresses have been achieved regarding OS therapy and survival rates have increased from less than $20 \%$ to $65-70 \%$ with the multidrug regimen designated as MAP (methotrexate (MTX), adriamycin (commonly known as doxorubicin (DOX)) and cisplatin), in Figure 2 is represented the molecular structure for each drug. However, there is a limiting factor, the severe toxicity associated with MAP implying damage to the kidneys, heart, and a decrease of the bone marrow activity (cisplatin nephrotoxicity, DOX cardiotoxicity, and MTX nephrotoxicity and myelosuppression) [2, 12, 14]. In this context, the currently ongoing European and American Osteosarcoma Study (EURAMOS-1) presently in phase III clinical trial seeks to improve survival rate of OS patients through MAP concentrations adjustments [15]. The EURAMOS-1 study consists in a multimodal treatment that includes surgery as well as pre- and postoperative chemotherapy regimens $[15,16]$.


Figure 2: Molecular Structure of MAP: doxorubicin (DOX), cisplatin and methotrexate (MTX).

### 1.3. Pd and Pt Complexes

Chemotherapy is one of the most common forms of treatment to prevent neoformed tissue from growing. Drugs used in chemotherapy can be categorised according to their mode of action, such as metallic complexes, where the complexes described in the present work are inserted, antimetabolites, and immunotherapy. Compared to other modes, complexes that bond directly to DNA (purine's nitrogen atoms) by the hydrolysis of the chloride groups (Figure 3(A) and (B)) prove to be quite effective preventing its replication. On the other hand, phosphate clamps (Figure 3(C)) are a different type of complex-DNA interactions, it may occur when the complex does not contain those leaving groups to
suffer hydrolysis, and by being positively charged, can establish electrostatic interactions with the DNA phosphates. Combined with Van der Waals forces between the hydrogen from the ligands' carbon chain and the DNA backbone [2, 17-25].


Figure 3: Schematic representation of different mechanisms of anticancer agents.

### 1.3.1. Pd and Pt Complexes approved for Clinical Use

In the early stages of the research of metal complexes with platinum, the first compound to be synthesized and administered was cis-dichlorodiamineplatinum (II), the common name being cisplatin. It was first synthesized in 1845 by Michele Peyrone, and discovered again by Barnett Rosenberg in 1960, during a study of the effect of electric field on bacterial growth of E. Coli. Thus, in the 1970s, cisplatin was tested and approved in clinical trials against metastatic ovarian and testicular cancers [26,27]. Since then, and with the positive results of cisplatin in the chemotherapy treatment of cancer, the development of inorganic anticancer agents has gained prominence, and over the years complexes have been developed with different metal ions, such as ruthenium (II) and palladium (II), and ligands, namely phosphines, chloroquine, and polyamines [28-30].

Platinum complexes approved for clinical use as anticancer agents are cisplatin and second- and third-generation complexes, carboplatin and oxaliplatin, respectively. However, they have some side effects at the renal and hepatic level, as well as acquired resistance (Figure 4) [2, 31].

cisplatin

carboplatin

oxaliplatin
$\bigcirc \mathrm{Pt} \bigcirc \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N} \bigcirc \mathrm{O} \bigcirc \mathrm{Cl} \xrightarrow{\circ}$

Figure 4: Structural representation of cisplatin, carboplatin and oxaliplatin, compounds used in the clinic as anticancer drugs.

The development of new anticancer agents aims at reducing toxicity in order to minimize side effects, increase treatment efficacy, and overcome cellular resistance mechanisms. In short, the new compounds are evaluated in five parameters, absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity. This optimization is carried out based, not only on the structure, choice of metal ion/ions, type of leaving groups and amine ligands, but also on its conformation, as these factors affect its reactivity and absorption. For an in-depth knowledge of the complexes and determination of structure-activity relationships (SARs), vibrational spectroscopy techniques coupled to quantum mechanical calculation may be used, for understanding the structures and conformational behaviour, by comparison of the theoretical and the experimental vibrational methods [28, 32, 33].

### 1.4. Polynuclear Complexes of Platinum and Palladium with Polyamines

Biogenic polyamines, putrescine, spermine and spermidine (Figure 5), are present in all cells since they are essential for eukaryotic cells growth. One of the main features consists of polycations, which allows the interaction with negatively charged molecules such as DNA [28, 34, 35].


Figure 5: Structural representation of the biogenic polyamines (putrescine, spermine and spermidine) at physiological conditions.

These ligands have high conformational freedom and lipo-hydrophilic duality. The advantage of using polyamines as ligands is that it gives the complexes a greater cytotoxic effect. Complexes with this type of ligand cause a more severe and less reversible DNA damage than conventional complexes, because not only the ligand flexibility provides intrachain crosslinks, but also interchain crosslinks [28, 29, 36].

Although the metals, platinum and palladium are quite identical, belonging to the same group in the periodic table, having 8 valence electrons, it has been observed experimentally that chemical reactions with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes are faster than those with $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ [17]. As platinum has more occupied orbitals than palladium, it has a higher energy splitting in the 5d orbitals than palladium in the 4d, according to the Ligand Field Theory. This leads to the electrons in the 5d orbital being less attracted to the nucleus, allowing the donation of 2 electrons from the valence layer to the ligands with the formation of more stable bonds, these being dative bonds, that is, formed entirety by the donation of charge of the metal towards the ligand, which will make the complex more inert than those formed with palladium. As observed in recent studies, the use of polynuclear complexes instead of mononuclear complexes presents a higher advantage, as it leads to an increase in the antineoplastic activity of the drug [36]. Polynuclear complexes of platinum and palladium with polyamines are compounds that have been studied in the last decades, e.g., their reactivity of palladium and platinum complexes, their effect against several types of cancer [17, 36-40]. In the case of the inorganic complex, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ (Figure 6) is in many studies compared to cisplatin [28, 38, 39, 41]. With the positive results shown for this type of polynuclear drug in cancer treatment, the present work consists on the
comparison of cisplatin and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ to four new polynuclear compounds: $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$, $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\left(\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{4+}\right), \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.

cisplatin




Figure 6: Structural representation of cisplatin, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}, \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{4+}, \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.

### 1.5. Computational Chemistry

### 1.5.1. Quantum Mechanics

The interrelation of quantum mechanics and vibrational spectroscopy provides a deep understanding of molecular conformation.

Electronic structure calculations of molecules, in the stationary state, can be achieved by the ab initio method, which is based on Schrödinger's equation, by solving the equation (1), time-independent, also known as the Hamiltonian eigenvalues' equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathrm{H}} \Psi=\mathrm{E} \Psi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the Hamiltonian operator ( $\hat{\mathrm{H}}$ ), wave function ( $\Psi$ ) and energy (E) describing the system are dependent on every particle's coordinates.

The Hamiltonian operator is composed of the sum of the kinetic and potential energies of all the particles in the system, equation (2) which describes the momentum of the particles (kinetic energy) and the interaction between particles and their system (potential energy) for N electrons and M nucleus in the system.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\sum_{i}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{A}^{M} \frac{Z_{A}}{\left|r_{i}-R_{A}\right|}+\sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{k>i}^{M} \frac{1}{\left|r_{k}-r_{i}\right|}+\sum_{A=1}^{M} \sum_{B>A}^{M} \frac{Z_{A} z_{b}}{R_{A B}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplacian operator, $\nabla$, is given by the second derivative concerning the electron's cartesian coordinates, equation (3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (2) can be simplified by the following terms: T corresponding to the kinetics energy and the sum of the different potential energies considered in the system, the nucleus-electron attraction ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ne}}$ ), electron-electron interaction ( $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ee }}$ ) and nucleus-nucleus repulsion (Env) the following equation (4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ne}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ee}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{NN}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The methods used in the present work are based on the molecular orbital theory (MO) where a new orbital is formed by combining the orbitals of each electron sharing atom. This consists of an orbital approximation, whereas in monoelectronic atoms this does not happen, because the wave function depends only on one coordinate, so the Schrödinger equation has an analytical solution. In polyelectronic atoms, this approximation occurs in agreement with the Pauli principle, so the wave function is decomposed in a product of monoelectronic functions resulting in an antisymmetric solution [42, 43].

The resolution of Schrödinger's equation in ab initio calculations required three approximations: Hamiltonian, orbital and Born-Oppenheimer. The last one considers the atom's nucleus to be static, since the electrons and nucleus have different movement velocities the wave function is divided in two, one for the nucleus's static geometry and one with the influence of electronic potential energy [42].

### 1.5.2. Hartree-Fock Method

The HF method with Self Consistent Field (SCF), (HF-SCF) is the beginning point of the majority of $a b$ initio methods, designated as post-Hartree-Fock. Based on the MO theory, supposing that the number of MO is equal to the atomic orbital the MO is calculated according to the Linear Combination of Atomic Orbitals (LCAO).

HF foundation is based on the approximation for the determination of wave function and energy of various electrons system in the stationary state by solving the Schrodinger equation. From this method, one Slater determinant allows the approximation of N orbital spins for the wave function of N bodies in a quantum system. A solution of the derivation of a group of N equations accoupled to N spin orbital is obtained by the variational method. A solution of this equation gives a wave function of Hartree-Fock and the ground-state energy. In the calculation of electronic energy, there are essentially two principal approximations: the Hamiltonian (perturbation method) and wave function (variational method) [44].

As worldwide used, the HF method is computationally efficient. Nevertheless, have two inabilities, the repulsion energy as an average of the MO and the inexistence of an electronic correlation term [45].

### 1.5.3. Density Functional Theory Method

Density functional theory (DFT) is an ab initio computational method that allows to solve systems with a large amount of electron, by the application of functionals without experimental or empiric parameters. Functionals are functions that take another function, about electronic density, as an argument, that allows the obtainment of correlation energy. From the premise that the entire energy of a system may be described in terms of electron density, provides a greatly simplicity of the calculation, rather than wavefunction, that
depends on each electron and spin coordinates. This is the essence of the Kohn-Sham equations, which are a set of single-particle Schrödinger equations with an effective potential that relies on the electron density, yields the electron density. These equations are solved loopily, to achieve self-consistency it starts with an initial guess for the electron density. Once the first step has been determined the total energy of the system, can be calculated by assessing the functional of the electron density. These equations are similar to the Hartree equations excepting the Hamiltonian operator and the inclusion of term representing the exchange-correlation potential. The first exchange-correlation functional was based on the Local Density Approximation, LDA, which stands for the assumption that exchange-correlation energy depends only on the value of electronic density in each spatial point, stipulating that is locally constant, without considering its gradient. A premiss not actually correct for high and low electronic densities.

The wB97XD functional widely used in transition metal complexes studies, is the combination of two different functional a long-range corrected functional, wB97X, and the exchange-correlation functional, XDH describing accurately weak Van der Waals forces and intermolecular interactions, respectively [32, 46-49].

### 1.5.4. Basis Functions

The wave-like behaviour of electrons in molecules is described by basis functions, which are mathematical functions with an exponential behaviour, meaning that they describe exponentially the digression of electron and nucleus. Basis functions has two main types: STO (slater type orbital) and GTO (gaussian type orbital) that simplify the wave function by expressing the MO as LCAO [50].

STOs are mathematically resembling to the wave function of monoelectronic atoms. Is unconsidered to be accurate basis function for complex electron density distribution in many molecules. Opposing to GTOs that are similar to the Gaussian probability distribution. Although having a higher computational complexity, because the foundation is the decomposition of a Slater orbital in a linear combination of gaussian functions.

The basis function used in this work, belonging to the Pople basis set, is a doublezeta (double- $\zeta$ ) type, when two function is applied to each atomic orbital. The Pople basis set consists of a split-valence basis which provides flexibility to the valence orbitals and a
single set of functions for the core. The $6-31 G^{*}$ consists in 6 gaussian primitives for each core orbital, 3 gaussian functions and 1 gaussian primitive that composes a linear combination each for the valence orbitals. The * denotation adds to 6-31G five d-types cartesian gaussian set of polarization functions. A type of function useful in a numerus electron's system, helping to describe the electron cloud distortion by adding a different angular moment to the basis set.

### 1.5.5. Effective Core Potentials

The ECPs method consists in the approximation of the frozen core electrons replacing them by a pseudopotential, a constant effective potential that provides a similar electron interaction with the valence orbitals. This outperforms the usage of large set of gaussians minimising the computational effort without sacrificing the calculations efficiency. On the opposite, ECPs doesn't account for the relativistic effects generated in atoms with a substantial atomic number. The use of Relativistic Effective Core Potentials (RECPs) can surpass the last problem by including the effects of electron-electron interactions, considered a better relativistic pseudopotential for calculations that require higher accuracy.in the RECPs parametrization, not only the relativistic effects spin independent and spin-orbital interaction ca be incorporated, but basis functions of density potentials also including diffuse and polarised functions can be added [51]. Throughout this work, the RECP LANL2DZ (Los Alamos National Laboratory 2 of Double Zeta) created by Hay and Wadt is used exclusively in the treatment of platinum and palladium atoms.[17, 52, 53]

### 1.5.6. Geometry Optimization and Frequency Calculations

MO calculus permits the achievement of energy values for different molecular conformations and harmonic vibrations frequencies, active in Raman and/or infrared spectroscopies. However, many types of energy minima can be achieved through a geometry optimization process. In other words, different potential energy surface stationary points, i.e. different minima (global or local) and saddle points can be reached. With the last step completed, the frequency calculations provide the thermodynamic parameters for each geometry in study.

### 1.6. The Methods

### 1.6.1. Viability Evaluation

The MTT (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-Diphenyltetrazolium Bromide) is a colorimetric assay, one type of in vitro assays, and is used to study the cells' viability after drug exposure. Within the mitochondria, the yellow tetrazolium salt is reduced to purple formazan crystals by an enzyme designated succinate dehydrogenase, a process NADH dependent (Figure 7) which only happens in metabolically active cells[25, 40, 54].


Figure 7: Structural representation of NADH reduction of MTT to Formazan.

### 1.6.2. Spectroscopy

Spectroscopy studies the interactions of electromagnetic radiation with matter, in which the interaction promotes transitions at the energy level, namely at the rotational, vibrational, and electronic levels. The energy involved transfers in discontinuous increments, whether absorbed or emitted. By the principle of equipartition of energy, the change in the energy of a system is given by the change in the energies of all its components, a relationship carried out in equation (5) in which it is considered that the electronic energy is constant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{E} \text { sis } \simeq \Delta \mathrm{E} \text { vib }+\Delta \mathrm{E} \text { rot }+\Delta \mathrm{E} \text { trans } \ldots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are several types of energy transformation, the vibrational one being used for this work. Planck proposed that the energy of a given electromagnetic radiation is governed by specific energy values and that these do not vary arbitrarily. With this, Planck designated these energy limitations as being their quantization. Where for energy values allowed in an electromagnetic oscillator, $v$ corresponds to its respective frequency, integer
values of ho would be obtained, where $h$ was deduced by Planck, as the value that would provide a better fit, where $\mathrm{h}=6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J}$.s. From the equation defined by it, equation (6), it is possible to quantify the energy difference between two certain energy levels [33, 41, 55].

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=h \nu \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.6.2.1. Vibrational Spectroscopy

The vibrational spectrum of a molecule depends not only on the mass of the atoms that constitute it but also on its spatial arrangement and strength of chemical bonds.

In the treatment of the vibrations of a molecule, the mechanical harmonic approximation can be considered, this approximation despite being crude, simplifies the treatment of the vibrations, being the harmonic potential close to the real potential profile close to the place of minimum energy. If the intention is to analyse the equilibrium geometries of molecules, this approach pays off by simplifying calculations and works reasonably well. In analysing the molecular vibrations of a diatomic molecule, it will be easier to interpret when considering it as two masses separated by a weightless elastic spring (Figure 8), by the elastic force constant, $k$, where each mode of vibration will have a wave number difference observable in the vibrational spectrum, this model is based on Hooke's law. A high force constant implies a harder deformation, hence a tighter bending of the oscillator. In this system, being defined by a harmonic oscillator, in which the spring constant force is related to the electronic characteristics of the system, for a displacement of $\Delta r$ from the equilibrium position, the spring restoration force, $F$, is defined, following Newton's second law is deduced from Hooke's law, equation (7):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{ma}=\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} r}{\mathrm{dt}^{2}}=-\mathrm{f} . \Delta \mathrm{r} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the reduced mass obtained by equation (8) and the elastic force from the vibration frequency, equation (9):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{1}+\mathrm{m}_{2}}  \tag{8}\\
v=\frac{1}{2} \pi \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mu}} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

In Figure 8 the harmonic oscillator is compared to the anharmonic oscillator being this the most correct to be considered for a diatomic molecule, this is because, in a harmonic oscillator, the dissociation of the molecule and the approximation of vibrational levels are not considered, due to its simplicity [55].


Figure 8: Schematic representation of the potential energy curve and energy levels corresponding to the Hooke model (harmonic oscillator) for a diatomic molecule $v s$ the Morse model (anharmonic oscillator). (Adapted from [33])

The vibrational states of a diatomic molecule are more realistically defined by the anharmonic oscillator in equation (10):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=D e[1-\exp (-\beta \Delta r)]^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy for a given vibrational level is given by the expression (11), the first part of which is relative to the harmonic oscillator and the second to the anharmonic one.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{h} v\left(\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\mathrm{h} v \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{an}}\left(\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation is made, because the energy for the smallest energy level, $\mathrm{n}=0$, is greater than the minimum of $1 / 2 \mathrm{hv}$. In Figure 8, the dissociation energy, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, consists of the energy involved starting at zero energy up to the maximum energy at which dissociation occurs, whereas $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{o}}$, corresponds to the dissociation energy with the knowledge of the zero point of vibrational energy [33].

Through the classic treatment of molecular vibrations, in which it is defined that the total number of degrees of freedom of a molecule is $3 n$, where n is the total number of atoms that constitute it, both the translational and rotational components use each one with 3 degrees of freedom, according to Cartesian coordinates. For non-linear molecules, the number of vibrational degrees of freedom is given by $3 n-6$, and for linear ones $3 n-5$,
these being normal modes of vibration. A normal mode of vibration is a mode of vibration in which the centre of mass of the molecule is not changed, which leads to deformation both in length and in the angles established between chemical bonds, periodically [56].

### 1.6.2.1.1. Infrared Spectroscopy

In spectroscopic terms, if in each case there are two vibrations, one symmetric and the other antisymmetric, in the infrared the most intense band will correspond to the antisymmetric vibration because normally it is the one that causes a greater variation of the dipole moment. Thus, for a molecular vibration to be detected by infrared, there must be a change in the dipole moment, $\mu$, in short, by analysing equation (12), the derivative of the dipole moment with the coordinate of the movement between the atoms involved in this vibration will have to be different from zero.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right)_{0} \neq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dipole moment can be defined as the sum of the charges and the relative distances of the atoms belonging to the molecule under study, equation (13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\sum q_{i} r_{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the electromagnetic spectrum, Figure 9, the infrared region can be divided into three zones, each corresponding to a range of specific wavenumber values:
(i) close ( $12800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) where most overtones are found;
(ii) average ( $4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) associated with the fundamental vibrations of the system and the fingerprint region that is unique for each molecule;
(iii) distant ( $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) can also be used in rotational spectroscopy.


Figure 9: Schematic representation of the electromagnetic spectrum. (Adapted from [33])

The vibrations corresponding to the vibrational modes are observable and their energy is measurable through infrared spectroscopy [57]. In Figure 10, an energy diagram is represented, where the lowest, fundamental energy electron level, the corresponding transitional levels, and a representation of phenomena that can be used in infrared spectroscopy are shown [33].


Figure 10: Energy diagram with transitions that occur in the infrared region.
As it can be seen in Figure 10, transition a) is a fundamental transition and b) an overtone. These transitions occur due to the absorption of infrared radiation, directly. Comparing the transitions, the most intense are the transitions of type a), and those that are normally analysed in an infrared spectrum. By the Bohr condition, a transition between two vibrational states occurs when there is the absorption of a photon containing exactly the energy necessary for the transition.

In qualitative terms, it is possible to state that the greater the variation in the polarity of a bond during the vibration, the greater its sign. If the dipole moment varies substantially, it will correspond to a band of high intensity, if it does not vary, a band will not be observed [33, 55].

The two sampling modes used in this work are reflectance and transmittance. In the reflection mode, the absorption properties of the sample can be extracted by reflected light. In transmission, a more conventional method, quantification is more direct than in other samplings, detection is carried out by the radiation passing through the sample, in this case, the Beer-Lambert Law can be applied to transform the spectrum signal into absorbance if the purpose is quantitative analytical determinations [56].

The coupling of synchrotron radiation (SR) as a photon source to the FTIR, allows a substantial improvement of a special resolution, in the mid-infrared spectral region, providing a more chemical detailed spectrum [58]. The SR-FTIR experiments were carried out in the Multimode InfraRed Imaging And Microspectroscopy beamline B22 (MIRIAM)
at the Diamond Light Source (DLS) of the Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, (Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), UK [59].

### 1.6.2.1.1.1. Fourier Transform Infrared

The acronym FTIR corresponds to Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. Fourier-Transform Infrared, this consists of converting a periodic function in space-time, equation (14), into a series of signals/pulses in frequency space, equation (15):

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\omega) e^{i \omega t} d \omega  \tag{14}\\
& F(\omega)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-i \omega t} d t \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

This relationship dictates that any signal can be decomposed as a sum of periodic contributions, improving the signal-to-noise ratio, $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ [57].

### 1.6.2.1.2. Raman Spectroscopy

The fundamental of Raman spectroscopy relies on the inelastic scattering of the light from an incident monochromatic radiation, when the matter is irradiated. This incident radiation can be scattered in two diverse ways upon interaction with a molecule, Rayleigh scattering and Raman scattering. The characteristics that differentiate them consist of the difference in the variance of energy of the emitted and absorbed photons. In Rayleigh scattering the energy of both photons is equal, when energy is given to the molecule it can maintain its original frequency. In both types of Raman scattering, Stokes or anti-Stokes (Figure 11), the photons have different energies, meaning the laser's light and the scattered light have different frequencies. If the final vibrational state is more energetic then the ground state, a red-shift occurs, while with anti-Stokes a blue-shift of the scattered light occurs. The intensity of the Stokes bands is significantly stronger than the anti-Stokes signals, as a consequence of the Boltzmann distribution within the vibrational states of the molecule, the population of ground state being much lower than that of the excited states. The scattering process always involves two photons, the incident and the emitted, and since it is an inelastic process it involves three types of states: initial, final, and nonstationary state, physically non-observed designated as a virtual state. In contrast to absorption and emission, a single photon process has the initial and final state [60].


Figure 11: Schematic representation of the energy-level diagram with the different states involved in Raman Spectroscopy. (Adapted from [33])

As a way for a molecular vibration to be active in Raman, an alteration of the polarizability, $\alpha$, of the molecule may occur (as a deformity of the electronic cloud), calculated by the derivative of $\alpha$, in relation to the rearrangement of r coordinate of the vibrational mode, equation (16). In other words, when the derivative below is different from zero, the vibration is active in Raman.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right)_{0} \neq 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The intensity of the bands in terms of polarizability change can be explained by a selection of rules defined by the classical theory of Raman scattering. It can explain the uncomplicated impact that an electric field, in a form of incident radiation, has upon an electronic cloud of a molecule, inducing a dipole moment. Considering a vector, $\vec{\mu}$,this result can be obtained by multiplying the polarizability, $\alpha$, and the electric field vector, $\vec{E}$, equation (17):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\mu}=\alpha \vec{E} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.6.2.2. Vibrational Microspectroscopy

The coupling of microscopy to infrared and Raman spectroscopies is used in the analysis of complex tissues, cell lines and heterogeneous samples, in situ and nondestructive analysis of the biological components under analysis, in the distinction of benign and malignant tumours, in obtaining images and in a large number of spectra from specific analysis sites, among other factors, allows a better understanding at the biological and chemical level, by enhancing spatial resolution and sensitivity [57, 61-65].

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Reagents and Material

Table 1: List of reagents, material, equipment, and software used in the present work.

| Reagents |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Acetic acid glacial (99.7\%) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Acetone ( $\geq 95 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Hydrochloric acid (37\%) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Crystal Violet ( $\geq 90.0 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| cis-dichlorodiammine platinum(II) (cisplatin) (99.9\%) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| cis-dichlorodiammine palladium(II) (cispalladium) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) ( $\geq 99 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Doxorubicin | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| HBSS (Hanks' balanced salt solution) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Minimum Essential Medium - High Glucose (EMEM/NEAA) (with Earle's Balance salts, 2.0 mM Lglutamine and NEAA without sodium bicarbonate) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| MEM Non-essential Amino acid solution (100x) (without L-glutamine, liquid, sterile filtered, BioReagents, suitable for cell culture) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Ethanol (99.8\%) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) ( $\geq 98.5 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (EU Approved (South American)) | Gibco-Life Technologies, Porto, PT |
| Formalin solution, neutral-buffered, 10\% | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Methanol ( $\geq 99.8 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Methotrexate | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Osteoblast Growth Medium | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Palladium(II) chloride (99.9\%) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Penicillin-Streptomycin solution (Pen/Strep) (10,000 units penicillin and 10 mg streptomycin $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Potassium bromide, (FTIR grade, $\geq 99 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
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| Potassium chloride | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Potassium phosphate monobasic ( $\geq 99.0 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Potassium tetrachloropalladate(II) (98\%) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Potassium tetrachloroplatinate(II) (98\%) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Putrescine ( $\geq 97 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Quercetin ( $\geq 95 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Sodium bicarbonate ( $\geq 99.7 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Sodium chloride (99.0\%) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Sodium hydroxide | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Sodium phosphate dibasic ( $\geq 99.0 \%$ ) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Sodium pyruvate | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Spermine (97\%) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Spermidine ( $\geq$ 99.0\%) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Trypan Blue (0.4\% (w/v) solution) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Trypsin (10x solution, 25 g porcine trypsin per litre in $0.9 \%$ sodium chloride) | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium Bromide | Merck KGaA, Sintra, PT |
| Material |  |
| 12 wells plates | OrangeScientific, Frilabo, PT |
| 24 wells plates | OrangeScientific, Frilabo, PT |
| 48 wells plates | OrangeScientific, Frilabo, PT |
| 96 wells plates | OrangeScientific, Frilabo, PT |
| T $75 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ culture flasks | OrangeScientific, Frilabo, PT |
| T $182 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ culture flasks | OrangeScientific, Frilabo, PT |
| 15 and 50 mL conic tubes | OrangeScientific, Frilabo, PT |
| 1.5 and 2 mL micro-tubes | OrangeScientific, Frilabo, PT |
| 5,10 and 25 mL pipettes | OrangeScientific, Frilabo, PT |
| 5,10 and 20 mL syringes | BD Falcon, Enzifarma, PT |
| $0.80 \times 120 \mathrm{~mm}$ needles | Sterican, Braun, PT |
| $\mathrm{MgF}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm})$ windows | Crystran, UK |


| $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ (IR-grade, 1x13 mm) windows | Crystran, UK |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ (UV-grade, 1x13 mm) windows | Crystran, UK |
| Q-Max syringe filters | OrangeScientific, Frilabo, PT |
| Main Equipment |  |
| Analytical balance (Toledo AB54) | Mettler, Rotoquímica, PT |
| pH-meter (Basic $20+$ ) | Crison, Rotoquímica, PT |
| Shaker "Vortex" (MS2 Minishaker) | IKA® Works, Frilabo, PT |
| Water purification apparatus Milli -Q (Gen Pure) | TKA, Frilabo, PT |
| Centrifuge with cooling (MPW-350R) | MPW, Frilabo, PT |
| Incubator MCO-19AIC (UV) | Sanyo, Frilabo, PT |
| Laminar flow hood (BW 100) (flow rate : $1050 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ ) | BioWizard, Frilabo, PT |
| Microplate reader $\mu$ Quant MQX200 | BioTek, PT |
| Microscope CRX41 coupled to a DP20 camera | Olympus®, PT |
| Senterra dispersive Raman microspectrometer with a charge-coupled device (CCD) detector | Bruker, UK |
| Infrared beamline B22 (MIRIAM - Multimode InfraRed Imaging And Microspectroscopy) - Vertex 80v FTIR spectrometer, Hyperion 3000 microscope | Diamond Light Source, UK |
| Vertex 70 FTIR spectrometer | Bruker, PT |
| Software |  |
| Gaussian 16w | Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, USA |
| GaussView 6.0 | Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, USA |
| GraphPad Prism 8 | La Jolla, CA, USA |
| Matlab 2020b | The MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA |
| OPUS 9.1 | Bruker Optik, DE |
| OriginPro 9.1 | OriginLab, USA |
| Quasar 16.0 | Orange, SI |
| Gen5 1.11 | Agilent BioTek, USA |

### 2.2. Experimental Methods

### 2.2.1. Synthesis of Metal Complexes with Polyamines

### 2.2.1.1. $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$

$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 326.4 \mathrm{mg})$ was dissolved in the minimum volume of distilled water and spermidine ( $0.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 104 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added in agitation for 15 minutes, a beige compound was revealed. The solution was filtrate, and the precipitate was left drying after being washed with distilled water, ethanol, and ketone.(yield 65.5\%). The reaction was prepared according with Navarro-Ranninger et al [35].

### 2.2.1.2. $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$

$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{4}(1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 623.0 \mathrm{mg})$ was dissolved in 3 mL of distilled water and spermidine ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 157 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added in agitation for 24 hours, a brown compound was revealed. The solution was filtrate, and the precipitate was left drying after being washed with distilled water, and ethyl ether yielding 72.4\%. The reaction was prepared according to Navarro-Ranninger et al [66].

### 2.2.1.3. $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$

$\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ ( $2.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 487.7 . \mathrm{mg}$ ) was dissolved in 2.5 mL of methanol and putrescine dihydrochloride ( $2.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 443.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was dissolved in 1.25 mL of methanol. Both were mixes added in agitation for 96 hours, a yellow dark compound was revealed. The solution was filtrate, and the precipitate was left drying after being washed with a solution of 6 M hydrochloric acid, distilled water, and ethyl ether (yield 51.7\%). The reaction was prepared according to an optimisation with Navarro-Ranninger et al [67].

### 2.2.1.4. $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{4+}$

Cisplatin ( $2 \mathrm{mmol}, 600 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was dissolved in distilled water and putrescine ( 2 mmol , $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added slowly in agitation at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 hours. The solution was filtered, evaporated to approximately 1 mL , and left for 48 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The white precipitate was
isolated by filtration and washed two times with ethanol (yield 11.5\%). The reaction was prepared according to an optimisation with Farrell et al [68].

### 2.2.2. Preparation of Solutions

Table 2: Solutions used in the experimental work.

| Solution | Components | pH | Storage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cell culture |  |  |  |
| Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) 10x | $2.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(15 \mathrm{mM})$ <br> $6.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}(43 \mathrm{mM})$ <br> $2.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl}(27 \mathrm{mM})$ <br> 87.7 g NaCl (1.5 M) <br> 1000.0 mL ultrapure water | 7.4 | Room temperature |
| PBS 1x | 100.0 mL PBS 10x <br> 900.0 mL ultrapure water | 7.4 | Room temperature |
| MEM | 9.61 g MEM <br> $2.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ <br> 1000.0 mL (final volume) ultrapure water | 7.0 | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| MEM 10\% (v/v) FBS, $1 \%$ Pen/Strep, 1\% Non-essential Amino acid and $1 \%$ sodium pyruvate | 890.0 mL MEM <br> 100.0 mL FBS <br> 10.0 mL Pen/Strep <br> 10.0 mL Non-essential Amino acid solution <br> 10.0 ml sodium pyruvate | 7.0 | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Trypsin-EDTA 1x | $\begin{aligned} & 90.0 \mathrm{~mL} \text { PBS } 1 \mathrm{x} \\ & 10.0 \mathrm{~mL} \text { Trypsin } 10 \mathrm{x} \\ & 20.0 \mathrm{mg} \text { EDTA } \end{aligned}$ | 7.4 | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Trypsin. Inhibitor | 95.0 mL HBSS 5.0 mL FBS | 7.4 | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Tested agents |  |  |  |
| Cisplatin 1 mM | 3.0 mg cisplatin 10.0 mL PBS 1x | - | $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4} 500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4} \\ & 9.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{PBS} 1 \mathrm{x} \\ & 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DMSO} \end{aligned}$ | - | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} 3 \mathrm{mM}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \\ & 9.0 \mathrm{~mL} \text { PBS } 1 \mathrm{x} \\ & 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DMSO} \end{aligned}$ | - | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |


| $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} 1.7 \mathrm{mM}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \\ & 9.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{PBS} 1 \mathrm{x} \\ & 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DMSO} \end{aligned}$ | - | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} 3 \mathrm{mM}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \\ & 9.0 \mathrm{~mL} \text { PBS } 1 \mathrm{x} \\ & 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DMSO} \end{aligned}$ | - | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.9 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \\ & 10.0 \mathrm{~mL} \text { PBS 0.8x } \end{aligned}$ | - | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Doxorubicin 3 mM | 16.3 mg Doxorubicin 10.0 mL ultrapure water | - | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Methotrexate 1.44 mM | 6.5 mg Methotrexate <br> 10.0 mL PBS 1x | - | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| MTT colorimetric assay |  |  |  |
| MTT $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 15 mg MTT <br> 30 mL ultrapure water | - | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Spectroscopic experiments |  |  |  |
| Sodium chloride 0.9\% | 9.0 g Sodium chloride <br> 1000 mL ultrapure water | 7.4 | Room temperature |
| Formalin 4\% | 40.0 mL <br> 60.0 mL ultrapure water | - | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

### 2.2.3. Characterization of the Complexes

### 2.2.3.1. FTIR-ATR Spectroscopy

FTIR acquisitions were performed using a Bruker Optics Vertex 70 spectrometer with a liquid nitrogen-cooled mercury-cadmium-telluride (MCT) detector for midinfrared ( $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and for far-infrared ( $40-600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) a deuterated triglycine sulphate (DTGS) detector, purged by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-free dry air. Each spectrum was the sum of 128 scans, at $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ resolution.

### 2.2.3.2. Raman Spectroscopy

Raman microspectroscopy acquisition was performed using a WITec confocal Raman microscopy system Alpha 300 R coupled to an ultra-high-throughput spectrometer (UHTS) 300 VIS-NIR, using a CDD (charged-coupled device) detector and a diode laser of 785 nm as excitation font. The laser power on the sample was kept at 16 mW and the
measurements were achieved using a $10 \times$ Zeiss Epiplan objective with 30 accumulations of 30 s for $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$, and 5 accumulations of 30 s per spectrum for the other complexes.

### 2.2.3.3. Computational Methods

Quantum mechanical calculations were performed for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$, using the Gaussian 16 W program. Both geometry optimisation and calculation of the harmonic vibrational frequencies, within the Density Functional Theory (DFT) approach, at a level which was previously shown by the authors to be the best choice for describing this type of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$-biogenic polyamine complexes, since it presents the finest compromise between accuracy and computational demands. The wB97XD functional was used, along with the split valence basis set 6-31G*, for all atoms except for the metal. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ were represented by the relativistic Effective Core Potentials of Hay and Wadt (G16W keyword LANL2DZ).

The harmonic vibrational wavenumbers, as well as Raman activities and infrared intensities, were obtained at the same theory level as the geometry optimisation procedure.

### 2.2.4. In vitro Assay

### 2.2.4.1. Cell Culture

The osteosarcoma cell line (MG-63) and non-tumorigenic (HOb) cell lines were obtained from the ECCAC Culture Collections and supplied by Merck KGaA (Sintra, Portugal). They were cultured as monolayers, at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The MG-63 cultures were maintained in MEM culture medium, supplemented with 10\% (v/v) heat-inactivated FBS, 1\% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin, 1\% (v/v) non-essential Amino acid solution and $1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ sodium pyruvate 1 M solution. The HOb cultures were maintained in Osteoblast Growth Medium. The MG-63 and HOb cells were subcultured at $80 \%$ confluence, using $0.05 \%$ trypsin-EDTA ( $1 \times$ ) in PBS [69, 70]. Differently from the trypsin inactivation for the MG-63 cell line that is proceed by the addiction of MEM culture
medium, for the HOb cell line is added a trypsin neutralizing solution to inhibit the trypsin's effect.

### 2.2.4.2. Evaluation of Cells' Viability

For both cell lines, cell cultures were established in 96-well plates ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well) at a density of $3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{cells} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for MG-63 and $1.6 \times 10^{4}$ cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for HOb , and were allowed to attach for 24 h . Triplicates were treated for different incubation periods (three independent experiments) with a range of concentrations between 5.31 and $170 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}, 3.91$ and $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4^{4+}}, 18.3$ and $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$, in singledrug administration for MG-63 cell line. According to the population doubling time for all cell lines (c.a. 24 h ), 24,48 , and 72 h time points after drug administration were chosen. For the HOb cell line, for single-drug administration, the triplicates were treated with the IC50 determined for the MG-63 cell line at 48h. The concentrations chosen regarding the combined administration were the same for both cell lines (MG-63 and HOb), they were selected according to the EURAMOS-1 protocol: cisplatin ( $4.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), DOX ( $4.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and MTX $(3 \mu \mathrm{M})$, the concentrations of the new designed drugs were the same as the one stipulated for cisplatin. At 0 h , the MG-63 cell line was expose to $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$, $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4^{4+}}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ all with DOX, incubating for $24,48,72$ and 96 h followed by the MTT assay (Figure 12 (A)). At the 72h time point, MTX was administrated and incubated for more 24 h . For the HOb cells, cisplatin, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ with DOX were administrated, 72 h later the MTX was added and at the 96 h time point the cells' viability was assessed by the MTT assay (Figure 12(B)).
(A)
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Addiction of MTX


Drug + DOX + MTX

Figure 12: Experimental workflow for (A) single administration and (B) combined administration assays.

In order to evaluate cell viability, the MTT assay was used. Briefly, at each timepoint, the growth media was removed, cells were washed with PBS and MTT solution (2.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ was added to each well ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 3 h of incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the formazan crystals were solubilized in DMSO ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and the absorbance was measured at 570 nm .

### 2.2.5. Sample Preparation for Spectroscopy Analysis

Upon harvesting by trypsinization, MG-63 and HOb cell lines were centrifuged, and the pellet was resuspended in culture medium and seeded, at a concentration of $3 \times 10^{4}$ cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for MG-63 and $1.6 \times 10^{4}$ cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for HOb , on optical substrates suitable for either FTIR or Raman data collection, respectively, CaF2 disks (Crystran UV-grade, $1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 13$ mm ) or $\mathrm{MgF}_{2}$ disks (Crystran Raman-grade, $1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 13 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), which were previously washed with $70 \%$ ethanol. After incubation for 24 h (allowing the cells to adhere), each of the tested single-drugs were added according to the respective $50 \%$ cell growth inhibition values (IC50) for each cell line, and the cells were allowed to culture for a further 48 h . The samples tested with the combinations were incubated for 96 h with each corresponding $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pd}$ drug in combination with DOX, and at 72 h after these two drugs combination exposure the MTX was added. The growth medium was then removed, the cells were washed twice with PBS 1x, fixed in $4 \%$ formalin (diluted in $0.9 \%$ ultrapure water from the commercial neutral buffered formaldehyde solution) for 10 min , and washed several times with deionized water (to remove any residual salt). The disks were allowed to air-dry prior to spectroscopic analysis.

All samples were prepared in duplicate, in two independent experiments.

### 2.2.6. Optical Vibrational Microspectroscopy

### 2.2.6.1. FTIR Microspectroscopy

FTIR acquisitions were carried out at B22 (MIRIAM) at Diamond Light Source (UK) using a Bruker Hyperion 3000 microscope with a liquid nitrogen-cooled mercury-cadmium-telluride (MCT) detector, in transmission mode using a $15 \times$ Cassegrain both condenser and objective, coupled to a Bruker Optics Vertex 80 spectrometer, both evacuated. In order to obtain more accurate spectral data from the whole cell, a slit size of
$20 \times 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ was used and, depending on cell size and shape, several spectra where acquired, varying from 1 to 6 spectra for each cell, at $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ spectral resolution and a sum of 128 scans per cell. Background was measured every 10 spectra.

### 2.2.6.2. Raman Microspectroscopy

Raman microspectroscopy acquisition was performed using a WITec confocal Raman microscopy system Alpha 300 R coupled to an ultra-high-throughput spectrometer (UHTS) 300 VIS-NIR, using a 532 nm diode-pumped solid-state laser. The laser power on the sample was kept at 16 mW and the measurements were achieved using a $100 \times / 0.8$ Zeiss Epiplan objective with 5 accumulations of 10 s per spectrum for MG-63 cell line control and single-drug treated, and 5 accumulations of 20 s per spectrum for HOb cell line and MG-63 cell line treated with the combinations.

### 2.2.7. Data Analysis

### 2.2.7.1. Statistical Analysis

Cells viability data results are expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) and compared with non-treated controls. Statistical analysis was carried out through one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test. A p-value $<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

### 2.2.7.2. Data Pre-processing and Fitting Procedures

Synchrotron-microFTIR data acquisition was performed using OPUS 9.1 software. A Blackman-Harris 4-term apodization function was applied during the FT-processing, using a zero-filling factor of 2 and a Maths phase correction to yield a spectral data point spacing of $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Infrared transmission spectra were obtained by rationing to a background measured from a clean area of the sample substrate (where no cells were present). To the microFTIR spectral data a data binning was performed for each cell which corresponds to the average of the spectra taken. The quality of the spectra was assessed based on the intensity of the amide I band. The spectra were corrected for Mie scattering
using the method created by J. Sulé-Suso and A. Kohler [71, 72]. The analysed spectra were truncated from $1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and vector normalized.

The microRaman spectral data were obtained using the Project FIVE software (WITec). In order to reduce the spectral noise, principal component noise removal was performed, retaining the first 20 principal components. The spectral data were cropped to the fingerprint region, $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and further corrected for cosmic ray removal, Savitzky-Golay smooth filtered (window width of 7 points), back-ground subtraction (polynomial order fitting of 2nd order), normalization and PCA denoising with 15 components.

Spectra were then cropped to the spectral ranges $1050-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for microFTIR and $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for microRaman, followed by multivariate analysis of the results unsupervised PCA (principal component analysis) which was carried out (separately for each technique used) with standard singular value decomposition of the data. The order of the principal components (PC) denoted their importance in relation to the data set variance, PC1 corresponding to the highest variance present in the data. The data preprocessing and analysis was performed using the Quasar Spectroscopy 1.6.0 software [71, 72].

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Characterisation of the Complexes

### 3.1.1. $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$

The most stable geometry calculated for the $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ chelate (Figure 13) (Appendix A, Figure A1, Tables A1 and A2) corresponds to a C2 symmetry, displaying 195 vibrational modes, 98 with A symmetry and 97 with B symmetry, all infrared and Raman active.


Figure 13: Structural representation of $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.
The vibrational spectra obtained for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ (FTIR and Raman) both experimental and theorical are comprised in Figure 14, allowing access to a more detailed assignment of the experimental vibrational profile, described in Table 3.

The use of computational calculations allowed an interpretation of the experimental results obtained through Raman and ATR-FTIR where a good agreement between theoretical and experimental was found. The scaling of the calculated values is used to correct the known overestimation of the calculated harmonic vibrational frequencies due to the neglect of anharmonicity effects in the theoretical treatment [73].


Figure 14: Vibrational spectra ( $100-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2600-3700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ : experimental (A) and calculated (B) Raman; experimental (C) FIR and (D) MIR and calculated (E), FTIR.

A special attention was given to bands that indicate metal coordination mainly through the characteristic $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ deformation and stretching bands, such as $545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 504 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 418 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 417 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 379 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 330 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 327 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 305 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $166 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ obtained from FTIR and Raman experimental results.

Table 3: Experimental and calculated vibrational wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.

| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Approximate description | Experimental |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated | Sym. Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FTIR | Raman |  |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ |  | 166 | 160 | B |
| $\mathrm{vas}^{\text {Cl-Pd-Cl }}$ |  | 305 | 335 | B |
| $\mathrm{vas}^{\text {Cl-Pd-Cl }}$ | 327 |  | 322 | B |
| $v_{s} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 330 |  | 346 | A |
| $v_{s} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ |  | 379 | 350 | A |
| SC-C-C |  | 402 | 411 | A |
| ${ }^{\text {PPd-N }}$ | 417 | 418 | 440 | A |
| ¢C-C-N | 504 | 473 | 492 | A |
| $v_{s} \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ |  | 504 | 535 | A |
| $\delta \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{v}_{s} \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ | 545 |  | 566 | A |
| $\rho \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (central) | 699 |  | 669 | A |


${ }^{\text {a }}$ At the wB97XD level. Scaled according to: 0.9475 for the bands in the $500-3700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range; bSymbols for vibrational modes: $v$ stretching, $\alpha$-scissoring, $\delta$-in-plane deformation, $\tau$ - twisting, $\rho$ - rocking, $\omega$ - wagging, $\gamma$ - out-of-plane deformation, s , as, and a refer to symmetric, antisymmetric and asymmetric modes.

A blue shift was detected for the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ asymmetric stretching and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ asymmetric and symmetric stretching, occurring at $3482 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3133 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2880-2936 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, relative to the free spermidine ligand (Appendix B, Figure B1) at $3356 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2929 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2852 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The bands corresponding to $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ symmetric stretching $3211 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}-1581 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-1445-1462 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ scissoring modes, appeared shifted to lower frequencies when comparing to the ligand spectra, $3274 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $1470 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. These observed changes were due to the metal chelate effect, proving metal-ligand coordination.

### 3.1.2. $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$

Like the $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$, the most stable geometry for the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ chelate (Figure 15) (Appendix A, Figure A2, Tables A3 and A4) agrees with a C2 symmetry, and displays 195
vibrational modes, 98 with A symmetry and 97 with B symmetry, all infrared and Raman active.

The vibrational spectra obtained for $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ (FTIR and Raman) both experimental and theorical are comprised in Figure 16, allowing access to a more detailed assignment of the experimental vibrational profile, described in Table 4.


Figure 15: Structural representation of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$


Figure 16: Vibrational spectra ( $100-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2600-3700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) for $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ : experimental (A) and calculated (B) Raman; experimental (C) FIR and (D) MIR and calculated (E), FTIR.

The bands indicating metal coordination resulting from the experimental results are: $\delta \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ at $171 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\text {as }} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ at $326 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ at $330 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $331 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v \mathrm{vt}-$ $\mathrm{N}_{(\text {ring })}$ at $459 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $475 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{vPt}-\mathrm{Nat} 528 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 526 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $475 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Table 4: Experimental and calculated vibrational wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.

| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Approximate description | Experimental |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated | Sym. <br> Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FTIR | Raman |  |  |
| $\delta \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ |  | 171 | 156 | B |
| ठC-NH-C | 312 |  | 302 | B |
| $\mathrm{vasCl}^{\text {- }} \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 326 |  | 324 | B |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 330 | 331 | 334 | A |
| $\delta \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{C}+\delta \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {(ring) }}+\mathrm{vPt}^{\text {- }}$ (ring) | 459 | 475 | 464 | A |
| $\nu \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}+\delta \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ | 526 | 528 | 516 | A |
| $\rho \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (central) | 711 |  | 699 | A |
| $\rho \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{\rho CH}_{2}$ | 735 |  | 720 | A |
| $\rho \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\tau \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\nu \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {(ring) }}+\nu \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}$ | 866 |  | 853 | B |
| $\rho \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (rings) }}+\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (chains) }}+\mathrm{tNH}_{2 \text { (central) }}+\gamma \mathrm{NH}$ | 932 | 935 | 914 | A |
| $v \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}+\nu \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}+\gamma \mathrm{NH}$ | 974 | 976 | 957 | B |
| $\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\tau \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{vC}-\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{vC}-\mathrm{C}$ | 1040 |  | 1055 | B |
| $\omega \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{vC}-\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{vC}-\mathrm{N}_{(\text {rings) }}$ |  | 1055 | 1063 | A |
| $\omega \mathrm{NH} ; \omega \mathrm{NH}_{2 \text { (rings); }} \omega \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (chain) | 1135 |  | 1108, 1150, 1167 | B; A; B |
| $\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\tau \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (central) | 1249 |  | 1236 | A |
| $\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (rings) | 1284 |  | 1268 | A |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (chain) | 1320 |  | 1327 | B |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (rings) }}+\mathrm{tCH}_{2}$ (chain) $+\tau \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (chain) | 1356 |  | 1340 | B |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1381 |  | 1371 | B |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (rings) }}$ | 1398 |  | 1384 | A |
| $\delta \mathrm{NH}+\alpha \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1440 |  | 1444 | B |
| $\alpha \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |  | 1445 | 1444 | A |
| $\alpha \mathrm{NH}_{2 \text { (chain); }} \alpha \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (rings) | 1577 |  | 1591; 1614 | B |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2881 |  | 2890; 2930 | B |
| $\mathrm{vasch}_{2}$ | 2935 |  | 2950; 2965 | B |
| ${ }^{\text {NHH; }} \mathrm{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 3192 |  | 3293;3298 | B |
| $\mathrm{vash}_{2}$ | 3449 |  | 3382 | B |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | 2881 |  | 2890 | A |
| $\nu \mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{vas}_{\text {CH }}$ | 2935 |  | 2930 | B |
| $\mathrm{Vas} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3126 |  | 3002 | B |
| $\nu \mathrm{NH}$ | 3192 |  | 3299 | B |
| $\mathrm{vasNH}_{2}$ | 3449 |  | 3382 | B |

${ }^{a}$ At the wB97XD level. Scaled according to: 0.9475 for the bands in the $500-3700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range; ${ }^{\mathrm{b} S y m b o l s}$ for
vibrational modes: $v$ stretching, $\alpha$-scissoring, $\delta$ - in-plane deformation, $\tau$ - twisting, $\rho$ - rocking, $\omega$ - wagging,
$\gamma-$ out-of-plane deformation, s , as, and a refer to symmetric, antisymmetric and asymmetric modes.

Exactly like the $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ complex, $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ presented a blue shift which was detected for the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ asymmetric stretching and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ asymmetric and symmetric stretching, occurring at $3449 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3126 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2881-2935 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, relative to the free spermidine ligand (Appendix B, Figure B1) at $3356 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2929 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2852 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The bands corresponding to $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ symmetric stretching - $3192 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}-$ $1577 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-1440-1445 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ scissoring modes, appeared shifted to lower frequencies comparing to the ligand spectra, $3274 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1470 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. These observed changes were due to the metal chelate effect, proving metal-ligand coordination.

### 3.1.3. $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$

The conformation of the most stable geometry for the $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ chelate (Figure 17) (Appendix A, Figure A3, Tables A5 and A6) belongs to a $C_{i}$ point group, displaying 120 vibrational modes, 60 with $\mathrm{A}_{g}$ symmetry Raman active and 60 with $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ symmetry infrared active.

The vibrational spectra obtained for $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ (FTIR and Raman) both experimental and theorical are comprised in Figure 18, allowing access to a more detailed assignment of the experimental vibrational profile, described in Table 5.


Figure 17: Structural representation of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$.


Figure 18: Vibrational spectra ( $100-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2600-3700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) for $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ : experimental (A) and calculated (B) Raman; experimental (C) FIR and (D) MIR and calculated (E), FTIR.

The experimental results obtained: $\delta \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ at $163 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $195 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{Vas}^{\mathrm{Cl}}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$, at $302 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $314 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{s} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ at $332 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $\nu \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ at $427 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, indicate metal coordination.

Table 5: Experimental and calculated vibrational wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$.

| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Approximate description | Experimental |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated | Sym. Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FTIR | Raman |  |  |
| $\tau \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | 112 |  | 113 | Au |
| $\tau \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}+\delta \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ | 163 |  | 177 | Au |
| $\tau \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}+\delta \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ | 195 |  | 215 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{vas} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ |  | 302 | 332 | $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ |
| $\mathrm{vas}^{\text {Cl}}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 314 |  | 329 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ |  | 332 | 353 | $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ |
| $v \operatorname{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ | 427 |  | 416 | Au |
| ¢N-C-C |  | 543 | 574 | $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ |
| $\rho \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 609 |  | 630 | Au |
| $\rho \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 732 |  | 673 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\rho \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\tau \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\omega \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 923 |  | 897 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $v_{s} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{tNH}_{2}+\omega^{\text {NH2 }}$ | 944 |  | 944 | Au |
| $v_{s} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{tNH}_{2}+\mathrm{\omega NH}_{2}$ | 987 |  | 1000 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $v C-C+v C-C$ | 1012 |  | 1006 | $\mathrm{Au}^{\text {u}}$ |


| $v_{\text {as }} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | 1035 |  | 1045 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\tau \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\omega \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1088 |  | 1078 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\rho \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\tau \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\omega \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1169 |  | 1173 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{tNH} \mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{NN}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 1210 |  | 1184 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{tNH}_{2}+\omega \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1245 |  | 1236 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1291 |  | 1285 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}$ | 1372 |  | 1370 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1390 |  | 1391 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\alpha \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1448 |  | 1453 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\alpha \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1464 |  | 1460 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\alpha \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1484 |  | 1470 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\alpha \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1576 |  | 1596; 1603 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\alpha \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  | 1660 | 1596; 1602 | $\mathrm{Ag}_{8}$ |
|  | 1671 |  |  | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{vas}_{\text {a }} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2937 |  | 2919; 2963 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\text {s }} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 3138 |  | 3291 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 3191 |  | 3319 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| VasNH2 | 3225 |  | 3386 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| OH | 3435 |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ At the wB97XD level. Scaled according to: 0.9475 for the bands in the $500-3700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range; ${ }^{\text {b Symbols for }}$ vibrational modes: $v$ stretching, $\alpha$ - scissoring, $\delta$ - in-plane deformation, $\tau$ - twisting, $\rho$ - rocking, $\omega$ - wagging, $\gamma$ - out-of-plane deformation, s , as, and a refer to symmetric, antisymmetric and asymmetric modes.

A shifted to lower frequencies was detected for the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ symmetric stretching at 3191 $-3138 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, relative to the free putrescine hydrochloride ligand (Appendix B, Figure B2) at $3068 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Other changes proving metal-ligand coordination are the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ scissoring (1448-1484 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) and both stretching modes $\left(2937 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ asymmetric stretching ( $3225 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and scissoring modes ( $1576-1671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).

The OH band found in FTIR experimental results at $3435 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is means that the compound was not fully dry when the spectra was acquired.

### 3.1.4. $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4^{4+}}$

The conformation of the most stable geometry for the $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} 4^{4+}$ chelate (Figure 19) (Appendix A, Figure A4, Tables A7 and A8) belongs to a $C_{i}$ point group, displaying 156 vibrational modes, 78 with $\mathrm{A}_{g}$ symmetry Raman active and 78 with $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ symmetry infrared active.

In the calculation the chlorine anions were not considered, since their specific location was difficult to predict and, as reported in previous studies, the structure optimization was performed as if the molecule was inside the cells (physiologic $\mathrm{pH}=7.4$ ), with a charge of $4+[74]$.

The vibrational spectra obtained for the $\operatorname{Pt}_{2} \operatorname{Put} 2\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) 4^{4+}$ (FTIR and Ramen) both experimental and theorical are comprised in Figure 20, leading to a more detailed assignment of the experimental vibrational profile, described in Table 6.


Figure 19: Structural representation of $\operatorname{Pt}_{2} \operatorname{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{4+}$.
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The experimental results show a metal coordination through the Pt-N-C in plane deformation at $420 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ symmetric stretching at $510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $523 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Table 6: Experimental and calculated vibrational wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) 4^{4+}$.

| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Approximate description | Experimental |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated | Sym. Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FTIR | Raman |  |  |
| $\tau \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ |  | 173 | 172 | Ag |
| $\delta \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ |  | 381 | 403 | Ag |
|  | 382 |  | 404 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| SPtNC |  | 420 | 428 | Ag |
| $\mathrm{v}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 510 |  | 496 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{v}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ |  | 523 | 503 | $\mathrm{Ag}_{g}$ |
| $\rho \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{\rho NH}_{2}$ |  | 647 | 664 | $\mathrm{Ag}_{8}$ |
| $\rho \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{\rho CH}_{2}$ |  | 752 | 760 | $\mathrm{Ag}_{8}$ |
| $\rho \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{\rho CH}_{2}$ | 804 |  | 783 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\rho \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{tCH}_{2}$ | 895 |  | 903 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{v}^{\text {C-NH2 }}$ | 937 |  | 930 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $v \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{v}_{5} \mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{CC}$ | 979 |  | 962 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $v_{\text {as }} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | 1004 |  | 1005 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| vasCC-CC | 1018 |  | 1020 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\tau \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1043 |  | 1050 | Au |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\tau \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\omega \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1151 |  | 1185 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\omega \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1212 |  | 1210 | Au |
| $\omega \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1291 |  | 1282 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1312 |  | 1306 | Au |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1351 |  | 1346 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1387 |  | 1386 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\delta_{\text {s }} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 1404 |  | 1405 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\alpha \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1456 |  | 1455 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\alpha \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1464 |  | 1460 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\alpha \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1480 |  | 1468 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\delta_{\text {ass }} \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\alpha \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1538 |  |  |  |
| $\delta_{\text {ass }} \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\alpha \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1556 |  |  |  |
| $\delta_{\text {as }} \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\alpha \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1597 |  | 1623 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2872 |  | 2888 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2936 |  | 2915 | $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{vas} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2959 |  | 2951-2966 | $\mathrm{Au}_{u}$ |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\text {s }} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 3105 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\text {s }} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 3174 |  |  |  |


| $v_{s} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 3209 |  | 3274 | $\mathrm{~A}_{u}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v_{s} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 3285 |  | 3306 | $\mathrm{~A}_{u}$ |
| $v_{\text {as }} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+v_{\text {as }} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 3396 |  | $3355-3378$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{u}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ At the wB97XD level. Scaled according to: 0.9475 for the bands in the $500-3700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range; ${ }^{\text {b Symbols for }}$ vibrational modes: $v$ stretching, $\alpha$-scissoring, $\delta$-in-plane deformation, $\tau$ - twisting, $\rho$ - rocking, $\omega$ - wagging, $\gamma$ - out-of-plane deformation, s , as, and a refer to symmetric, antisymmetric and asymmetric modes

A blue shift was detected for the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ asymmetric at $3396 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and symmetric stretching at $3285 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ asymmetric and symmetric stretching at $2959 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and at $2872-2936 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, relative to the free putrescine hydrochloride ligand (Appendix B, Figure B3) at $3329 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 3169 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2923 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2855 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Other changes proving the metal-ligand coordination are the presence of the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ scissoring mode at 1538 $-1597 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ scissoring mode at $1456-1495 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ relative to the ligand at 1601 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ scissoring mode and $1464 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ scissoring mode.

The full conformational characterisation of this type of $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-amine compounds, will be useful along this work, helping to clarify their mechanism of action within a cell and expose the molecular basis of their cytotoxicity. Another upside of this type of studies is their contribution to the rational design of new and more efficient cisplatin-like anticancer agents.

### 3.2. Cell Viability Evaluation

### 3.2.1. Single Drug

According to previous researches, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}, \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{4+}, \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ have reported results against cell lines such as HSC-3 (IC50 $=32 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ at 48 h ) oral squamous carcinoma cells [35], MDA-MB-468 (IC ${ }_{50}=0.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ at $72 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ at 72 h ) and MDA-MB-231 (drug sensitive and drug-resistant, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.44 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $10.63 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$, respectively, and for both the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ is higher than $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 24,48 and 72 h ) breast cancer cell lines [75, 76], HL-60 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.53 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ at 24 h$)$ [67] leukaemia cells and A549 (IC50 $=$ $1.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4^{4+}}$ at 72 h$)$ lung cancer [40]. Cisplatin, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ were assessed in previous studies against the MG-63 cell line ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=12.0 \mu \mathrm{M}, 14.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$, $240.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at 48 h , respectively) [2].

Figure 21 comprises the MTT results for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}(\mathrm{~A}), \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}(\mathrm{~B}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ (C), and $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{4+}(\mathrm{D})$ for MG-63 cell line, which showed to be sensitive in the presence of all the tested drugs.

For $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}(\mathrm{~A})$, a range of concentrations from 5.31 to $170 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was tested in order to evaluate the cytotoxic effect. The MTT results present a continuous decrease in cell viability with increasing drug concentration, for each exposure time. The lowest IC $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ found, which indicated the higher drug effect was $10.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at 24 and 72 h (Table 8).

A range of concentrations from 18.3 to $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was tested, for $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}(\mathrm{~B})$. The MTT results present a continuous decrease in cell viability with increasing drug concentration for each time point. The lowest IC 50 , was $48.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at 72 h , a lower value than what was obtained for MDA-MB-231 (IC50 $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) [75].

The analogue complexes, $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$, present distinct $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values, Pd greatly surpasses the activity displayed by Pt. Comparing to other analogue complexes such as $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ the same is observed, in osteosarcoma [2], triplenegative breast cancer [77], and ovarian cancer [78].

The same range of concentrations of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ was used for $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$. At 72 h of exposure the two lower concentrations presented an increase of cells viability than the
control. The lowest $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, was $91.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at 48 h , which is very high and not suitable concentration to use in cancer treatment.
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Figure 21: Effect on cell viability measured by the MTT assay of (A) $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$, (B) $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$, (C) $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ and (D) $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4^{4+}}$, against MG-63 cell line, after 24,48 and 72 h of drug exposure, at concentrations ranging from 5.31 to $170 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}, 3.91$ to $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{4+}, 18.3$ to $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$. The results are expressed in $\%$ of control $\pm \mathrm{SD}$, obtained from four independent experiments, each with eight replicates. The one-way ANOVA statistical analysis was carried out to verify the significance of the obtained results $(\# \mathrm{p}<0.0001)$ vs. the control for the same time-points followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test.

Finally, $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4^{4+}}$ was tested with a concentration range of 3.91 to $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ The MTT results present a continuous decrease in cell viability at each time point with increasing drug concentration. The lowest IC50, was $11.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at 48 h , demonstrating
promising results, and enhance to be analyse in the future not only the evaluation of cells' viability in combination with doxorubicin and methotrexate, but also the metabolic impact caused in MG-63 and HOb cell lines. However, it was not chosen because, since it had almost identical results to the $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ compound, and it was intended to compare with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ and cisplatin it was opted for the more similar compound (overall structure and type of interactions with DNA).

The IC50 values summary for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}, \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) 4_{4}^{4+}$ against the MG-63 cell line for the three time points study are comprised in the Table 7.

Table 7: Half maximal inhibitory concentration ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}, \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put} 2\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) 4^{4+}$ against osteosarcoma (MG-63) cell line, at 24,48 , and 72 h incubation times.

| Drug | $\mathbf{2 4} \mathbf{h}$ | $\mathbf{4 8} \mathbf{~ h}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 ~ h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P d}_{3} \mathbf{S p d}_{2} \mathbf{C l}_{6}$ | $10.9 \pm 2.1$ | $13.5 \pm 1.3$ | $10.9 \pm 1.1$ |
| $\mathbf{P t}_{3} \mathbf{S p d}_{2} \mathbf{C l}_{6}$ | $207 \pm 1$ | $69.8 \pm 1.4$ | $48.2 \pm 1.4$ |
| $\mathbf{P d}_{2} \mathbf{P u t}_{2} \mathbf{C l}_{4}$ | $301 \pm 2$ | $91.9 \pm 1.1$ | $121 \pm 1$ |
| $\mathbf{P t}_{2} \mathbf{P u t}_{2}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{3}\right)_{4^{4+}}$ | $19.6 \pm 1.6$ | $11.4 \pm 1.5$ | $11.8 \pm 1.8$ |

The HOb cells were administrated with the IC50 previously determined for the MG-63 cells at 48 h incubation time, for cisplatin, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ and the drug that have exhibited promising results and have a similar mechanism of action, in this case, $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$. The results for the HOb cell line when incubated with the chosen complexes are depicted in Figure 22.
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Figure 22: Effect on cell viability measured by the MTT assay of cisplatin ( $12 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}(14 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}(12 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in HOb cell line, after 48 h of exposure. The results are expressed in $\%$ of control $\pm \mathrm{SD}$, obtained from four independent experiments, each with eight replicates. The one-way ANOVA statistical analysis was carried out to verify the significance of the obtained results ( $\# \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ) vs the control for the same time-points followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test.

Since in healthy cells the aim is having the higher percentage of control, the target is compound selectivity, maintaining the maximum integrity of the healthy cells, but simultaneously induce irreversible damages to cancer cells. In this case the concentration needed to produce half of the effect ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) on MG-63 population was used. Comparing the results cisplatin have shown the best data in single drug administration with an IC50 of $12.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at 48 h .

### 3.2.2. Drug Combination

According to the EURAMOS-1 protocol, the combination of cisplatin with DOX and MTX has stunning results when compared to single drug administration. The same protocol was applied to the complexes under study, so they could be compared to cisplatin meaning that cells were allowed to incubate with the $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pd}$ drug in combination with DOX for 72 h prior to MTX administration and incubation for an additional 24 h period.

The evaluation of the effect of these drugs cocktails against MG-63 and HOb cell lines was caried out through the MTT assay (Figure 23). For the MG-63 cell line $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$, $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}, \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4^{4+}}$, in combination with DOX was added and cell viability evaluated at $24,48,72$ and 96 h time points, in order to understand the combination of the $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pd}$ drug with DOX effect along all the exposure times and to compare with the 96 h time point also treated with MTX at 72 h after initial drug exposure. In HOb the combinations with cisplatin, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ was administrated and the 96 h time point from initial drug exposure (with and without MTX) was evaluated.

All the combinations used against MG-63 cells have exhibited promising results, and when compared to single drug, the outcome agrees to what is described in EURAMOS-1 protocol, other articles have presented increase the success rate when using more than one drug in the treatment [79-81]. The importance of performing single drug assays was allowing to prove the combination synergetic effects. The regimen needed to be investigated also against HOb cells, in order to understand if the combination have some type of selectivity, as a way to design more effective anti-cancer drugs with minimal toxic side effects.


Figure 23: Effect on cell viability measured by the MTT assay of: (A) $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}, \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) 4^{4+}, \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ or $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ in combination with DOX against MG-63 cell line after 24,48 and 72 h of exposure, and with or without MTX after 96 h of exposure; (B) cisplatin, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ in combination with DOX and with or without MTX against HOb cell line after 96 h of exposure (B). The results are expressed in \% of control $\pm$ SD, obtained from four independent experiments, each with eight replicates. The one-way ANOVA statistical analysis was carried out to verify the significance of the obtained results ( $\# \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ) vs the control for the same time-points followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test.

### 3.3. Metabolic Impact

According to the results obtained in previous and already published studies regarding the evaluation of the antitumor effect of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ and cisplatin against MG-63 cells carried out at QFM-UC, at different time-points and dosages, for a single drug administration a 48 h exposure period with the IC $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ concentrations at this time $(14 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $12 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) was chosen. Since $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$, with a $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ concentration of $12 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at 48 h of drug exposure, has shown promising results, it was chosen to be compared to the drugs from previous studies [2, 39, 40, 75]. The drug exposure methodology was performed the same way for both MG-63 and HOb cell lines.

MG-63 and HOb cells were fixed according to a biocompatible preservation method, using formalin, a solution of formaldehyde in water, as a fixing agent. This is considered the best method of cell fixation, as a way to avoid contamination in the fixation and keeping the sample in a condition similar to the physiological state. Formalin allows the formation of methylene bridges between its aldehyde groups and the primary and secondary amine groups of the cell's proteins, which allows keeping the cell's constituents similar to those in in vivo cells. Due to the presence of this fixing agent, the intensity of the signal obtained by infrared is expected to be slightly lower, due to the conformational change of proteins and lipids breakdown.

For each experimental condition, an average spectrum (Figure 24) was obtained containing information from cells present in either $\mathrm{MgF}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ disks that describes the biochemical characteristics of the cells and type of single drug/combination. It is important to bear in mind that the result of these spectra will vary from cell to cell, so a high spectral heterogeneity is needed. That is, the cells will be in various stages of the cell cycle, opposing to single cell spectral acquisition, minimising the effect of the cell cycle profile, which in normal conditions is predominantly $\mathrm{G}_{1}$.

The representation of the average spectrum obtained for both cell lines with the respective allocation of the most important bands from $1050-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for FTIR and 600 $-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for Raman (Figure 24).


Figure 24: Mean Raman ( $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and FTIR ( $1050-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) spectra of MG-63 and HOb cell lines for untreated/control (black line), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$-treated (red line), Combination of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$-treated (blue line), $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$-treated (pink line), Combination of $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$-treated (green line), cisplatin-treated (yellow line), and Combination of cisplatin-treated (purple line) cells.

Table 8 identifies the assignments for all bands present in the infrared and Raman spectrum of both cell lines, separated by nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates, relied on reported studies on several cell lines and biomolecules [36, 38, 39, 82, 83].

Table 8: Raman and infrared bands for human healthy bone cells and osteosarcoma cells (HOb and MG-63). Features from specific drug-prompted DNA and protein conformational rearrangements are presented in red. The signals exclusively detected by infrared are shaded in grey.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Bands } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Assignment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nucleic acids | Proteins | Lipids | Carbohydrates |
| 1738 |  |  | phospholipids ( $\mathrm{v} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}_{\text {ester }}$ ) |  |
| 1714-1712 | A-DNA (vC=O) |  |  |  |
| 1709 | B-DNA ( $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 1697 |  | $\mathrm{vC}=\mathrm{O}_{\text {amino acid side chain }}$ |  |  |
| 1692-1676 |  | amide I/ $\beta$-sheet, antiparallel |  |  |
| 1663-1648 |  | amide I/random coil |  |  |
| 1660-1650 | DNA ( $\delta \mathrm{NH}$ ) | amide I ( $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) $/ \alpha$-helix | $\nu \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ |  |
| 1668-1559 | A,G (vCC ${ }_{\text {ring }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 1633-1615 |  | amide I/ $\beta$-sheet, parallel |  |  |
| 1616-1615 | $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{vCC} \mathrm{rring}^{\text {a }}\right.$ ), $\mathrm{C}\left(\delta \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ | Phe, Tyr, $\operatorname{Trp}(\mathrm{vC}=\mathrm{C}), \mathrm{\delta NH} 2$ |  |  |
| 1585-1582 |  | $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, ~ v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ | $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, ~ v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ |  |
| 1574-1573 | A,G (vCCring |  |  |  |
| 1556 | G (vCC $\mathrm{ring}_{\text {\% }}$ ) | $\operatorname{Trp}$ (vCC ${ }_{\text {ring }}$ ), $\mathrm{vC=}=\mathrm{C}_{\text {porphyrin }}$ |  |  |
| 1543-1542 |  | amide II ( $\delta \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{vCN}$ ) |  |  |
| 1523-1517 |  | $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}_{\text {porphyrin }}$ |  |  |
| 1509 |  | Tyr ( $\mathrm{vCC}_{\text {ring }}$ ) |  |  |
| 1483 |  | $\mathrm{\delta NH}_{3}$ |  |  |
| 1467-1464 |  | $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{2}, \mathrm{\delta CH}_{3}$ | $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{\delta CH}_{3}$, aromatic lipids | $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{2}$ |
| 1454-1445 |  |  | $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{2}$ |  |
| 1430-1427 | Z-DNA ( $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 1420-1413 | A-DNA ( $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 1410 |  | $\mathrm{\delta NH}_{3}$ |  |  |
| 1403-1402 |  | $\mathrm{\delta NH}_{2}$ |  |  |
| 1397-1395 |  | $\delta^{\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{\rho CH}}{ }_{2}$ | membrane lipids ( $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{2}$ ) | $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2,}, \mathrm{\rho CH}_{2}$ |
| 1375 | A,G,T (vCC ${ }_{\text {ring }}$ ) | glycoproteins ( $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{3}$ ) | lipids/acyl chains ( $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{3}$ ) | saccharides ( $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{2}$ ) |
| 1344-1339 | G (vCC $\mathrm{rang}_{\text {c }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 1316 | $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{vCC} \mathrm{ring}_{\text {ing }}\right)$ | $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{2}$ |
| 1307-1303 | RNA/A, C (vCC ${ }_{\text {ring }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 1293-1290 |  | Amide III/ $\alpha$-helix | $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| 1265 | A,T (vCC ${ }_{\text {ring }}$ ) | $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{\delta C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{\delta C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {phospholipids }}$ | $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \omega \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \tau \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| 1259 | RNA/dT (vCCring) |  |  |  |
| 1250-1240 |  | amide III/random coil |  |  |
| 1239-1236 |  |  |  |  |
| 1235-1228 |  | amide III/ $\beta$-sheet |  |  |
| 1212-1211 |  | Hyp, Phe, Tyr (vCC) |  |  |
| 1182-1168 | C,G,T ( $v$ CCring ${ }_{\text {r }}$ | Tyr, Phe ( $\delta \mathrm{CH}$ ) |  |  |
| 1160-1157 |  | $v \mathrm{CC}, ~ v \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{\delta CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \\ \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\text { conjuggated } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| 1128-1120 | RNA/ribose (vCO) | $v \mathrm{CN}$ | $v$ CCacyl (trans conformation) | $\nu \mathrm{CO}, ~ v C C$ |
| 1102 |  |  | vCC, vCN | $\nu \mathrm{CC}, \mathrm{vCO}$ |
| 1099-1098 | A-DNA ( $\mathrm{v}_{5} \mathrm{PO}_{2}{ }^{-}$) |  |  |  |
| 1096-1095 | Z-DNA ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{PO}_{2}{ }^{-}$) |  |  |  |
| 1090-1089 | B-DNA ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{PO}_{2}{ }^{-}$) |  |  |  |
| 1086-1085 |  | $v \mathrm{CC}, \mathrm{vCN}$ | phospholipids ( $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{PO}_{2}$ ) | glycogen (vCC, vCO) |
| 1070-1065 | B-DNA/deoxyribose (vCO) | $v \mathrm{CC}, \mathrm{vCN}$ | vCC, vCO | $v \mathrm{CC}, \mathrm{vCO}, \delta \mathrm{OCH}$ |
| 1037-1035 |  | Phe ( $\delta \mathrm{CH}$ ), vO- $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\nu \mathrm{CC}$, phospholipids ( $\mathrm{\delta CH}$ ) | $v \mathrm{CC}, \nu \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{vC}-\mathrm{OH}$ |
| 1006 |  | Phe ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{CC}$ ring ) |  |  |
| 979-973 | RNA (ribose, vCC ring) |  |  |  |
| 960 | DNA ( $v(\mathrm{CC}) / \mathrm{vCO} \mathrm{Cackbone}^{\text {) }}$ |  |  | polysaccharides ( $\delta \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) |
| 940 | RNA/ribose ( $\mathrm{vCC}_{\text {ring }}$ ) |  |  | polysaccharides (skeletal modes) |
| 935-927 | Z-DNA (vOPO ${ }_{\text {backbone }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 897 | deoxyribose ( $\mathrm{vCC}_{\text {ring }}$ ) | vCC | fatty acids (vCC, vCO) | ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{COC}$ |
| 883-880 |  | $\rho \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |  |  |
| 874-872 | Z-DNA (vOPO ${ }_{\text {backbone }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 856 |  | Pro, Tyr, Val (vCC, $\delta$ CCH) |  | polysaccharides ( $\gamma \mathrm{COC}$ ) |
| 848-839 | Z-DNA (vOPO ${ }_{\text {backbone }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 833 | B-DNA (vOPO ${ }_{\text {backbone }}$ ) | Pro, Tyr (vCC) |  |  |
| 819-815 | RNA (vOPO ${ }_{\text {backbone }}$ ) | Pro, Tyr (vCC) |  |  |
| 785 | B-DNA (vOPO ${ }_{\text {backbone }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 760-759 | B-DNA/dT (vCCring ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\operatorname{Trp}\left(v_{s} \mathrm{CC}_{\text {ring }}\right)$ |  |  |
| 748 | Z-DNA (vOPO ${ }_{\text {backbone }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 723-721 | B-DNA/A (vCCring) | $\operatorname{Trp}\left(v_{s} \mathrm{CC} \mathrm{ring}_{\text {r }}\right)$ |  |  |


| 703 | B-DNA/dG (vCC ring $)$ | Met $(v C S)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 674 | B-DNA/G,T $\left(v C_{\text {ring }}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| 667 | A-DNA/dG $\left(v C C_{\text {ring }}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| 646 |  | $v C S, \operatorname{Tyr}(\tau C C)$ |  |  |
| 625 |  | Phe $(\tau C C)$ |  |  |
| 620 | Z-DNA/dG $\left(v C C_{\text {ring }}\right)$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{~A}$ - adenine; C - cytosine; dG - deoxyguanine; dT - deoxythymine; G - guanine; Glu - glucose; Hyp - hydroxyproline; Met - methionine; Phe - phenylalanine; Pro - proline; T - thymine; Trp - tryptophan; Tyr - tyrosine; U - uracil; Val - valine. $\delta$ - in-plane deformation; $\gamma$ - out-of-plane deformation; $v$ - stretching; $\rho$ - rocking; $\tau$ - twisting; $\omega$ - wagging. $s$ - symmetric; as - anti-symmetric.

Not only MG-63 cells, but also HOb cells were sensitive in the presence of single drug administration and combination, observed by each condition average spectra (Figure 24) and in more detailed by principal components analysis (PCA) (Figure 25, Figure 26 and Figure 27), of the FTIR and Raman spectroscopic results was performed in order to unveil the chemical differences between control and drug treated cells for each cell line under study (Figure 6). Overall, a good discrimination was attained for both spectroscopies between controls, single drug, and combination treated cells for each cell line. In order to help with the discrimination for the single drug vs combination, related figures are appended to Appendix C (Figures C 1 to C 12 ). A slight overlap between the scores of the control and drug treated classes was expected, evidencing that the drugs did not affect the cells substantially towards complete destruction, already proved in the literature [38, 39, 57].

Due to optical problems (FTIR) and fluorescence (Raman), the high wavenumber spectral region cannot be analysed.

In the same way as reported in other studies [38, 84, 85], the spectral results are different for each cell line, so a PCA was obtained for both untreated cells (Figure 25) to understand the specific biomarkers that differentiate them through both microspectroscopies, FTIR and Raman.

The main discrimination observed in the FTIR spectra was along principal component 2 (PC2) (explaining 12.7\% of total variance) and in Raman spectra by principal component 1 (PC1) (explaining $38.5 \%$ of total variance). From these scores and loadings, it is possible to observe a clear discrimination between control MG-63 vs control HOb.

MG-63 have a higher contribution from DNA bands ( $\mathrm{vCC} \mathrm{Cring}^{-}$- adenine, guanine and thymine bases) at $1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},(\delta \mathrm{NH})$ at $1651 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) and ( $\mathrm{vCC} / v \mathrm{vCO}_{\text {backbone }}$ ) at $964 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, in deoxyribose ( vCC ring) at $898 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman) and in the conformation B-DNA ( $\mathrm{vC=O}$ ) at $1712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},\left(v O P O_{\text {backbone }}\right)$ at $833 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $785 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{dG}$ (vCC ring) at $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), ( $\mathrm{vasPO}_{2}$ ) at $1234 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) and at $1239 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). In proteins, the $\alpha$-helix of amide I at
$1651 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and amide III at $1296 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \delta \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at $1416 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR}), \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{CC}_{\text {ring }}$ of phenylalanine at $1006 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, in proline, tyrosine and valine ( vCC and $\delta \mathrm{CCH}$ ) at $857 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). In lipids phospholipids $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}_{\text {ester }}$ at $1734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) and $v_{s} \mathrm{PO}_{2}{ }^{-}$at $1081 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ from aromatic lipids, $1464 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \delta \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in acyl chains at $1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, fatty acids $(v \mathrm{CC}, \mathrm{vCO})$ at $1073 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) and $898 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{vCC}$ and $v \mathrm{CN}$ at $1101 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman).

On contrary, HOb have a higher contribution in G ( $\mathrm{vCC} \mathrm{C}_{\text {ring }}$ ) of nucleic acids at $1553 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1334 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), in vCO of the ribose in RNA, $1128 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the deoxyribose of B-DNA, $1073 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR). The $v C C_{\text {ring }}$ of tyrosine and the $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ of porphyrins at $1553 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), in proteins. For lipids, in $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{2} 1438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), and $v$ CCacyl from the trans conformation at $1128 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR).


Figure 25: PCA scores and loading plots of FTIR ( $1050-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and Raman ( $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for HOb vs MG-63 cell lines. (For clarity the loadings are offset, the dashed horizontal lines indicating zero loading).

By this clear discrimination between these two cell lines a direct comparison by PCA of the same drug condition in both cell lines cannot be performed, because the results will
not only be showing the effect cause by the drug exposure in different cell lines, but also the cell lines effect it selves.

### 3.3.1. MG-63 Cell Line

For cisplatin, the main discrimination observed in the FTIR spectra (Figure 26(A)) was along PC5 where there is a separation of cisplatin (with a slit overlap of the control) from the combination, which demonstrates the different mechanisms of action for each condition, and PC6 that separates treated from non-treated (explaining 1.6\% and 1.0\% of total variance, respectively).

In Raman (Figure 26(D)) spectra, the separation was obtained along PC3 and PC4 (explaining $14.1 \%$ and $4.5 \%$ of total variance, respectively). Due to fluorescence induced by the presence of MTX in the Raman spectra [86-88], a large amount of data was discarded in the pre-processing phase. Since the drug combination with cisplatin was greatly affected (Figure 26(D)), with only a few points left to analyse, it could not be considered for analysis.

Regarding the cisplatin combination vs cisplatin vs control discrimination, the combination presents a higher contribution from DNA bands ( $v \mathrm{CC}_{\text {ring }}$ - adenine, guanine, and thymine bases) at $1341 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1314 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1268 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and from vCO of the ribose present in the RNA at $1128 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. It is expected that the combination has a higher impact on DNA bands, since DOX inhibits topoisomerase II, an enzyme essential for cutting both strands of the DNA, managing the entanglement and knots of the DNA helix, allowing its replication. At the proteins level, the $\beta$-sheets exhibited changes on the amide I (antiparallel) at $1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and (parallel) at $1623 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the amide II ( $\delta \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{vCN}$ ) at $1560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $1341 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1314 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1268 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the $v C_{\text {ring }}$ of tryptophan at $1341 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The drug cocktail demonstrated higher contribution from $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of lipids at $1398 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (membrane lipids), $1314 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1268 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1151 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and from $\mathrm{vCC}_{\text {acyl }}$ from the trans conformation at $1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. All the lipids' biomarkers show a higher impact in the presence of the combination.


Figure 26: PCA scores and loading plots of FTIR (A,B,C; $1050-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and Raman (D,E,F; $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for MG-63 cell line, cisplatin (A, D), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}(\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$-treated vs. their respective combination vs control. (For clarity the loadings are offset, the dashed horizontal lines indicating zero loading).

Single-drug administration contrary to drug combination presented changes in DNA native conformation from a B- to an A- at $1714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a Z- at $1428 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
conformations. On proteins changes were mainly found on amide I at the random coil level at $1652 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and in the $\delta \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at $1482 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Concerning the lipids, the $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $1446 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the phospholipids $\mathrm{vC}=\mathrm{O}_{\text {ester }}$ at $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $v_{s} \mathrm{PO}_{2}{ }^{-}$at $1088 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, may be indicative of a drug interaction with the cellular membrane (phospholipid moieties). Comparing cisplatin and drugs combination, the single drug administration demonstrates a higher damage to DNA while the combined administration showed a higher impact on proteins, both present severe alterations to lipids mainly regarding the membranes' integrity.
$\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ single drug, drugs combination and control present some overlapping, observed in PC2 and PC5 (explaining 10.8\% and 1.2\% of total variance, respectively) in FTIR (Figure 26(B)) and PC3 (explaining 16.9\% of total variance) in Raman (Figure 26(E)). The drug combination presented a higher contribution from B-DNA bands $(v C=O)(I R)$ at $1706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},\left(v_{s} \mathrm{PO}_{2}-\right) 1089 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, (deoxyribose vCO$) 1066 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and (adenine $v \mathrm{vCCr}_{\text {ring }}$ ) (Raman) $717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Moreover, changes in the DNA native conformation were obtained due to the presence of A-DNA $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right)$ at $1420 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR})$ and $v \mathrm{OPO}_{\text {backbone }}$ of the Z-DNA at $874 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $846 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). In proteins, the presence of $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of the amino acids side chain at $1702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, a band that can only be detected by IR, amide I (parallel $\beta$-sheet) at $1622 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, (random coil) $1654 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) and $1658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), amide III (random coil) at $1244 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), $1242 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), and ( $\beta$-sheet) at $1228 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) showed a higher impact of the drug combination which can be explain by the MTX mechanism of action. This drug inhibits the dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) enzyme, minimizing the cellular pools of thymidylate and purines, which leads to a decrease in the nucleic acid synthesis. The phospholipids ( $\mathrm{vC}=\mathrm{Oester}$ ) at $1738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR})$ and $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman) presented a higher contribution in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ combination. In contrast, single drug administration has a higher impact on DNA bands ( vC ring - adenine, guanine, and thymine bases) at $1551 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), $1578 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1376 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1336 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, on deoxyguanine of A-DNA at $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and on $\mathrm{\delta CH}_{2}$ of the Z-DNA at $1427 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). Regarding proteins the main discrimination observed was in the Amide I (random coil) at $1654 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{vC}=\mathrm{C}_{\text {porphyrin }}$ at $1551 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR})$, phenylalanine ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{CC}_{\text {ring }}$ ) at $1008 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \delta \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at $1487 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), $1479 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1407 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR). At the lipids level the $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of membrane lipids at $1389 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ from lipids/acyl chains at $1376 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), vCCacyl of the trans conformation at
$1131 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{vCC}$ at $1104 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and vCN at $1106 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), revealed some degreed of single drug administration effect.

The discrimination for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ in MG-63 is obtained through PC2 e PC3 for FTIR (Figure 26(C)) (explaining $18.7 \%$ and $12.6 \%$ of total variance, respectively) where the control and single-drug are clearly separated, but the combinations overlaps both conditions. In Raman (Figure 26(F)), PC3 (explaining 10.2\% of total variance), a good separation with only a small overlapping of all three conditions. Combination treated cells showed a higher discrimination for DNA biomarkers due to the presence of the $v \mathrm{CC}_{\text {ring }}$ of guanine at $1560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1340 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1315 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the $\nu C O$ of RNA ribose at $1124 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) and $1126 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), in the deoxyribose of B-DNA at $1060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), and the $v$ OPObackbone of Z-DNA at $872 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 846 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). A higher presence of the Z conformation of DNA in treated cancer cells is desirable since a change of the native conformation to a destruct and irreversible will prevent DNA from replicate. Changes were also observed in proteins such as amide I (antiparallel) at $1677 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and (parallel) at
 $1005 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). Regarding lipids changes were observed in the $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $1315 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1152 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the $v C_{\text {acyl }}$ of the trans conformation at $1124 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR). However, single drug administration has a higher impact on DNA bands ( $v$ CCring - adenine, guanine, cytosine, and thymine bases) at $1376 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1341 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), and $1165 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR}), \mathrm{vOPO}$ backbone of $\mathrm{B}-$ DNA at $786 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), and $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of A-DNA at $1712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR})$. The variations in the nitrogenous bases put in evidence the presence of drug-DNA crosslinks, predominantly interstrand, leading to local unwinding of the native helix. Still, the DNA OPObackbone elongation is the most sensitive peak to recognize cell death since this indicates a collapse of the phosphodiester bonds in the double helix. In proteins, especially in the amide I (random coil) at $1656 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman) and $\left(\delta \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ at $1487 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ presented a higher damage in the presence of single drug than with combination. Lipids revealed sensitivity to single drug administration, especially in phospholipids ( $\mathrm{vC}=\mathrm{O}_{\text {ester }}$ ) at $1739 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR})$ and $\left(v_{s} \mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)$ at $1087 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ from aromatic lipids at $1465 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), $\delta \mathrm{\delta CH}_{3}$ in lipids and acyl chains at $1376 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{vCC}$ and vCN at $1104 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman).

As expected, polynuclear complexes have higher tendence to change the native DNA conformation to Z-DNA than A-DNA due to the metal atoms which can establish
several bonds with the DNA and the flexibility provided by the ligand chain, allowing not only intra but also inter chain crosslink. For the three cases presented, the drug combination administration has proved to have a higher impact in proteins then in single drug administration, as discussed previously, this may be due to the presence of methotrexate.

### 3.3.2. HOb Cell Line

For the osteoblasts cell line when treated with cisplatin, the main discrimination obtained for FTIR and Raman is through PC4 (Figure 27(A) and (D)) (explaining 1.5\% and $4.8 \%$ of total variance, respectively), with some overlapping of all the three conditions. The administration of the drug combination with cisplatin showed impact in some DNA bands, such as RNA/ribose (vCCring) at $947 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Z-DNA (vOPObackbone) at $839 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and BDNA/dT (vCC $\mathrm{ring}_{\text {}}$ ) at $786 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, as well as, in some proteins main bands as amide I random coil conformation at $1663 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman) and $1652 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and in lipids bands like phospholipids ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s} \mathrm{PO}_{2}-}$ ) at $1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) and $1086 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). Single-drug administration on the other hand presented a higher effect on adenine and guanine (vCCring) at $1573 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), $1575 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1338 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1315 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, on A-DNA $\left(\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ at $1423 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), RNA/A,C (vCCring) at $1298 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, B-DNA (vOPObackbone) at $786 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and (vCCring) $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). The presence of A-DNA in healthy cells is not an adversity, since the conformational change is reversable, despite the presence of $v$ OPObackbone from DNA which is in an indicator of cell death. Regarding the proteins content the $\nu \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of the amino acid side chain at $1699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, a biomarker only detected by FTIR, the random coil conformation of amide III at $1241 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR})$, the $\delta \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at $1487 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the Phe ( $v_{s} C C_{\text {ring }}$ ) at $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), have shown discrimination in proteins in the presence of a sole administration of cisplatin. Also, in lipids, the $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $1315 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ from lipids and acyl chains at $1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ from aromatic lipids, at $1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) revealed some level of alteration.


Figure 27: PCA scores and loading plots of FTIR (A,B,C; $1050-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and Raman (D,E,F; $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for HOb cell line, cisplatin (A, D), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}(\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$-treated vs their respective combination vs control. (For clarity the loadings are offset, the dashed horizontal lines indicating zero loading).

For $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}, \mathrm{PC} 4$ represents the main discrimination for both FTIR and Raman (explaining $3.3 \%$ and $4.9 \%$ of total variance, respectively) (Figure 27(B) and (E)). For both methods, there is a very good separation of the three conditions under study, with a slight overlapping of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ single drug administration with the control. The drug
combination has a higher contribution of DNA nucleobases bands (vCCring - adenine, guanine, cytosine, and thymine bases) at $1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), $1573 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), $1262 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1172 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR})$, also VOPO backbone from Z-DNA at $928 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and from RNA at $821 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). Conformational changes in amide I were detected for random coil at $1653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and amide III $\alpha$-helix at $1293 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), and $1288 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $\delta \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ at $1401 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR). Changes in phospholipids ( $v \mathrm{C}=$ Oester and $v_{s} \mathrm{PO}_{2}$ ) were also spotted at $1738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) and $1084 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), as well as in aromatic lipids $\left(\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \delta \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ at $1463 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, lipids/acyl chains ( $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) at $1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ at $1440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR})$. In the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ alone, discrimination in DNA was observed in A-DNA ( $v_{s} \mathrm{PO}_{2}$ ) at $1099 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and B-DNA (deoxyribose vCO ) at $1076 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), ( $\mathrm{dT} v \mathrm{VCring}$ ) $765 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and (A (vCCring) $728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). Besides DNA, proteins were also affected, more precisely the amide I $\beta$-sheet (antiparallel) at $1689 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), and the phenylalanine ( $\delta \mathrm{CH} v \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) at $1041 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and ( $v_{s}$ CCring) $1004 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman).

As for the principal components already analysed for $\mathrm{HOb}, \mathrm{PC} 4$ represents the main discrimination obtained for FTIR and Raman for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ (explaining $2.9 \%$ and $5.7 \%$ of total variance, respectively) (Figure 27(C) and (F)). In the score obtained for the FTIR, the $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ single drug administration is a little scattered, having the drug combination and the control a clear separation. On the other hand, in Raman drug combination and single drug administration are clearly separated, however the control and single drug administration are overlapped. By the loadings interpretation, the drug combination showed a higher impact in DNA guanine ( $v \mathrm{CC}_{\text {ring }}$ ) bands at $1319 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), in Z-DNA conformation $\left(\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ at $1430 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, ( dG vCCring ) $620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and ( $\mathrm{vOPO} \mathrm{O}_{\text {backbone }}$ ) $848 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), in B-DNA ( $\mathrm{vasO}_{2}$ ) at $1235 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), and dG (vCCring) at $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). Regarding proteins, the $\beta$-sheet presented high intensity bands in amide I (antiparallel) at $1692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) and amide III at $1235 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), phenylalanine was also clearly discriminated ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{CC} \mathrm{ring}$ ) at $1005 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and ( tCC ) $620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the vCS and tCC of tyrosine at $641 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), showed a greater effect with the drug combination treatment. Phospholipids ( $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}_{\text {ester }}$ ) at $1739 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), aromatic lipids ( $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) at $1467 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman) and $1465 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), and lipids/acyl chains $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$ at $1376 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR) and $1374 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), are the bands that represent the lipidic impact induced by the drug combination administration. The results from single drug $\left(\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}\right)$ treated cells, suggest a higher
contribution of the adenine and guanine band ( $\mathrm{vCC} C_{\text {ring }}$ ) at $1570 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), the BDNA/deoxyribose ( vCO ) at $1074 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{IR})$ and Z-DNA ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{PO}_{2}$ ) at $1096 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman). At the proteins and lipids level, the most representative alterations were the $\delta \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at $1482 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman), amide I (random coil) at $1653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and (parallel) $1623 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (IR), and finally, the $v$ CCacyl from lipids trans conformation at $1134 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Raman).

As reported before for the MG-63 cell line, in HOb cells, the drug combination administration has proved to have a higher impact in proteins when compared to single drug administration, as discussed previously, this may be due to the presence of the MTX.

Both approaches of single drug and drug combination administrations in the HOb cells presented severe damage, and since it is a healthy cell line issues such as secondary effects are implied. To understand the nature of this, result a good solution would be to see if the found effect is reversible meaning that healthy cells will be able to recover after drug removal. This will allow to understand if the deleterious effect is transitory while in presence of the drugs.

## 4. Conclusions

The present work aimed to explore the effect of polynuclear $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes, with different types of polyamine ligands, on the cellular response at the biochemical level, through analysis of the vibrational spectral signature of treated (single drug and combined administration) and untreated cells (MG-63 and HOb cell lines). The multidisciplinary approach performed in this study, coupling biochemical cells' viability assay to vibrational spectroscopic techniques, aimed to link the biological response to the spectral signatures of cellular biochemistry, thus identifying spectral markers of drug activity.

The evaluation of the complexes' in vitro cytotoxic activity was performed by the MTT assay against the MG-63 cancer cell line, in order to determine their IC 50 values and choose the best approach and drug concentrations to test against the HOb healthy cell line either alone or in combination with DOX and MTX. The results obtained were compared to cisplatin and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$. Although $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{4+}$ presented a lower $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ at 48 h $(11.4 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in single drug administration, $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}(13.5 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was chosen duo to its mechanism of action similarity to $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$. The outcome of the results of all the combinations used against MG-63 cells agrees to what is described in EURAMOS-1 protocol, the combined administration of $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ drugs with DOX and MTX presents a much lower cell viability when compared to single drug administration.

Both SR-FTIR and Raman optical spectroscopies allowed access to an accurate description of differences in cellular biochemistry, in the presence of each of the compounds under study and each drug regimen (single or in combination), unveiling vibrational bands assigned to specific spectral biomarkers affected by the compounds directly or indirectly as part of the cells' physiologic response. By analysing the spectral data through multivariate analysis, a clear difference between single drug-treated, combination drug treated and untreated cells was achieved. As expected, the polynuclear complexes under study presented higher DNA conformation changes from the B- native conformation to the Z - conformation while in the presence of cisplatin the native conformation alterations where to an A- conformation. For both cell lines, the drug
combination administration has proved to have a higher impact in proteins when compared to single drug administration.

Future work should focus on: analysing the effect of $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{4+}$ single drug and combination in HOb cell line since have promising results followed by a metabolic impact study through vibrational spectroscopy. Also, a reversibility study should be performed, especially to the HOb cell line, in order to evaluate if the healthy cells can recover from the drug exposure damage after drug removal, not only from the single drug administration, but also the combination. Furthermore, probing the polynuclear complexes influence on DNA via THz spectroscopy is of utmost importance in order to evaluate the drug-DNA interaction, specifically the DNA's low frequency modes, comprising the SR-farIR results with the ones present in the work (SR-midIR).
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## $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$



Figure A1: Structural representation of $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ with the atoms numbering.
Table A1: Converging parameter for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.

| Item | Value | Threshold | Converged? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maximum Force | 0.000000 | 0.000015 | YES |
| RMS | 0.000000 | 0.000010 | YES |
| Maximum <br> Displacement | 0.000056 | 0.000060 | YES |
| RMS Displacement | 0.000016 | 0.000040 | YES |
| Predicted change in Energy $=-3.369386 \mathrm{D}-11$ |  |  |  |
| Stationary point found. |  |  |  |

Table A2: Optimized parameters for the stationary point geometry found for $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.

| Optimized Parameters |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (Angstroms and Degrees) |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{*}$ Name | Definition | Value | Derivative | Value |
| R 1 | $\mathrm{R}(1,2)$ | 1.0951 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |
| R 2 | $\mathrm{R}(1,3)$ | 1.0932 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |
| R 3 | $\mathrm{R}(1,4)$ | 1.5258 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |
| R 4 | $\mathrm{R}(1,14)$ | 1.4791 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |
| R 5 | $\mathrm{R}(4,5)$ | 1.0984 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |
| R 6 | $\mathrm{R}(4,6)$ | 1.0986 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |
| R 7 | $\mathrm{R}(4,7)$ | 1.5302 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |


| R8 | $\mathrm{R}(7,8)$ | 1.097 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R9 | $\mathrm{R}(7,9)$ | 1.0986 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R10 | $\mathrm{R}(7,10)$ | 1.5286 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R11 | $\mathrm{R}(10,11)$ | 1.0949 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R12 | $\mathrm{R}(10,12)$ | 1.0939 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R13 | $\mathrm{R}(10,13)$ | 1.4794 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R14 | $\mathrm{R}(13,16)$ | 1.4762 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R15 | $\mathrm{R}(13,57)$ | 1.0223 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R16 | $\mathrm{R}(13,65)$ | 2.1096 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R17 | $\mathrm{R}(14,15)$ | 1.0191 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R18 | $\mathrm{R}(14,54)$ | 1.0217 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R19 | $\mathrm{R}(14,66)$ | 2.1163 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R20 | $\mathrm{R}(16,17)$ | 1.0947 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R21 | $\mathrm{R}(16,18)$ | 1.0965 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R22 | $\mathrm{R}(16,19)$ | 1.5288 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R23 | $\mathrm{R}(19,20)$ | 1.098 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R24 | $\mathrm{R}(19,21)$ | 1.0954 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R25 | $\mathrm{R}(19,22)$ | 1.5276 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R26 | $\mathrm{R}(22,23)$ | 1.0942 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R27 | $\mathrm{R}(22,24)$ | 1.0967 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R28 | $\mathrm{R}(22,25)$ | 1.4737 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R29 | $\mathrm{R}(25,52)$ | 1.0193 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R30 | $\mathrm{R}(25,58)$ | 1.0221 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R31 | $\mathrm{R}(25,65)$ | 2.1011 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R32 | $\mathrm{R}(26,27)$ | 1.0217 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R33 | $\mathrm{R}(26,28)$ | 1.4791 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R34 | $\mathrm{R}(26,53)$ | 1.0191 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R35 | $\mathrm{R}(26,66)$ | 2.1163 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R36 | $\mathrm{R}(28,29)$ | 1.0932 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R37 | $\mathrm{R}(28,30)$ | 1.0951 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R38 | $\mathrm{R}(28,31)$ | 1.5258 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R39 | $\mathrm{R}(31,32)$ | 1.0986 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R40 | $\mathrm{R}(31,33)$ | 1.0984 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R41 | $\mathrm{R}(31,34)$ | 1.5302 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R42 | $\mathrm{R}(34,35)$ | 1.097 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R43 | $\mathrm{R}(34,36)$ | 1.0986 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R44 | $\mathrm{R}(34,37)$ | 1.5286 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R45 | $\mathrm{R}(37,38)$ | 1.0949 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R46 | $\mathrm{R}(37,39)$ | 1.0939 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R47 | $\mathrm{R}(37,40)$ | 1.4794 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R48 | $\mathrm{R}(40,41)$ | 1.4762 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| R49 | $\mathrm{R}(40,56)$ | 1.0223 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R50 | $\mathrm{R}(40,67)$ | 2.1096 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R51 | $\mathrm{R}(41,42)$ | 1.0965 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R52 | $\mathrm{R}(41,43)$ | 1.0947 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R53 | $\mathrm{R}(41,44)$ | 1.5288 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R54 | $\mathrm{R}(44,45)$ | 1.098 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R55 | $\mathrm{R}(44,46)$ | 1.0954 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R56 | $\mathrm{R}(44,47)$ | 1.5276 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R57 | $\mathrm{R}(47,48)$ | 1.0942 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R58 | $\mathrm{R}(47,49)$ | 1.0967 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R59 | $\mathrm{R}(47,50)$ | 1.4737 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R60 | $\mathrm{R}(50,51)$ | 1.0221 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R61 | $\mathrm{R}(50,55)$ | 1.0193 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R62 | $\mathrm{R}(50,67)$ | 2.1011 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R63 | $\mathrm{R}(59,65)$ | 2.3244 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R64 | $\mathrm{R}(60,65)$ | 2.3163 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R65 | $\mathrm{R}(61,67)$ | 2.3163 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R66 | $\mathrm{R}(62,67)$ | 2.3244 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R67 | $\mathrm{R}(63,66)$ | 2.3188 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R68 | $\mathrm{R}(64,66)$ | 2.3188 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A1 | A $(2,1,3)$ | 107.7163 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A2 | A $(2,1,4)$ | 110.9659 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A3 | $\mathrm{A}(2,1,14)$ | 107.8695 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A4 | A( $3,1,4$ ) | 110.549 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A5 | A(3,1,14) | 106.329 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A6 | $\mathrm{A}(4,1,14)$ | 113.1527 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A7 | A(1,4,5) | 110.2196 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A8 | A(1,4,6) | 108.8491 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A9 | A(1,4,7) | 111.6636 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A10 | A( $5,4,6$ ) | 106.922 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A11 | A $(5,4,7)$ | 109.5981 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A12 | $\mathrm{A}(6,4,7)$ | 109.4665 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A13 | A $(4,7,8)$ | 108.9053 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A14 | $\mathrm{A}(4,7,9)$ | 109.6677 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A15 | $\mathrm{A}(4,7,10)$ | 110.9547 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A16 | $\mathrm{A}(8,7,9)$ | 107.0879 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A17 | $\mathrm{A}(8,7,10)$ | 110.8291 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A18 | $\mathrm{A}(9,7,10)$ | 109.3128 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A19 | $\mathrm{A}(7,10,11)$ | 110.387 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A20 | A(7,10,12) | 110.0238 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A21 | A(7,10,13) | 114.8108 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A22 | A(11,10,12) | 107.175 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A23 | A(11,10,13) | 108.6046 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A24 | A(12,10,13) | 105.4745 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A25 | A(10,13,16) | 116.34 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A26 | A(10,13,57) | 107.1457 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A27 | A(10,13,65) | 110.2449 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A28 | A $(16,13,57)$ | 108.9542 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A29 | A(16,13,65) | 113.7783 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A30 | A(57,13,65) | 98.6859 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A31 | A(1,14,15) | 110.6937 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A32 | A(1,14,54) | 108.8385 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A33 | A(1,14,66) | 113.1812 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A34 | A( $15,14,54$ ) | 107.4093 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A35 | A $(15,14,66)$ | 114.9808 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A36 | A( $54,14,66$ ) | 100.9863 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A37 | A $(13,16,17)$ | 106.5773 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A38 | A( $13,16,18)$ | 109.9141 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A39 | A( $13,16,19)$ | 114.0806 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A40 | A(17,16,18) | 107.2556 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A41 | A(17,16,19) | 109.5623 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A42 | A(18,16,19) | 109.2116 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A43 | A(16,19,20) | 110.5783 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A44 | A(16,19,21) | 107.3196 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A45 | A(16,19,22) | 115.1945 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A46 | A(20,19,21) | 105.7572 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A47 | A(20,19,22) | 109.9948 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A48 | A(21,19,22) | 107.4848 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A49 | A(19,22,23) | 110.0311 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A50 | A(19,22,24) | 109.3684 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A51 | A(19,22,25) | 112.5353 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A52 | A( $23,22,24$ ) | 107.7618 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A53 | A (23,22,25) | 107.141 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A54 | A (24,22,25) | 109.8748 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A55 | A (22,25,52) | 110.8449 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A56 | A(22,25,58) | 111.8033 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A57 | A (22,25,65) | 116.4607 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A58 | A $(52,25,58)$ | 106.2598 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A59 | A(52,25,65) | 108.6908 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A60 | A $(58,25,65)$ | 102.0131 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A61 | A (27,26,28) | 108.8385 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A62 | A $(27,26,53)$ | 107.4093 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A63 | A(27,26,66) | 100.9863 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A64 | A $(28,26,53)$ | 110.6937 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A65 | A $(28,26,66)$ | 113.1812 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A66 | A(53,26,66) | 114.9808 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A67 | A $(26,28,29)$ | 106.329 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A68 | A(26,28,30) | 107.8695 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A69 | A(26,28,31) | 113.1527 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A70 | A(29,28,30) | 107.7163 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A71 | A(29,28,31) | 110.549 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A72 | A(30,28,31) | 110.9659 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A73 | A(28,31,32) | 108.8491 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A74 | A(28,31,33) | 110.2196 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A75 | A(28,31,34) | 111.6636 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A76 | A(32,31,33) | 106.922 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A77 | A(32,31,34) | 109.4665 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A78 | A(33,31,34) | 109.5981 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A79 | A(31,34,35) | 108.9053 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A80 | A(31,34,36) | 109.6677 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A81 | A(31,34,37) | 110.9547 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A82 | A(35,34,36) | 107.0879 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A83 | A(35,34,37) | 110.8291 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A84 | A(36,34,37) | 109.3128 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A85 | A(34,37,38) | 110.387 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A86 | A(34,37,39) | 110.0238 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A87 | A(34,37,40) | 114.8108 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A88 | A(38,37,39) | 107.175 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A89 | A(38,37,40) | 108.6046 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A90 | A(39,37,40) | 105.4745 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A91 | A(37,40,41) | 116.34 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A92 | A(37,40,56) | 107.1457 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A93 | A(37,40,67) | 110.2449 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A94 | A(41,40,56) | 108.9542 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A95 | A(41,40,67) | 113.7783 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A96 | A(56,40,67) | 98.6859 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A97 | A(40,41,42) | 109.9141 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A98 | A(40,41,43) | 106.5773 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A99 | A(40,41,44) | 114.0806 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A100 | A(42,41,43) | 107.2556 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A101 | A(42,41,44) | 109.2116 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A102 | A(43,41,44) | 109.5623 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A103 | A(41,44,45) | 110.5783 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A104 | A(41,44,46) | 107.3196 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A105 | $\mathrm{A}(41,44,47)$ | 115.1945 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A106 | $\mathrm{A}(45,44,46)$ | 105.7572 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A107 | $\mathrm{A}(45,44,47)$ | 109.9948 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A108 | $\mathrm{A}(46,44,47)$ | 107.4848 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A109 | $\mathrm{A}(44,47,48)$ | 110.0311 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A110 | $\mathrm{A}(44,47,49)$ | 109.3684 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A111 | $\mathrm{A}(44,47,50)$ | 112.5353 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A112 | $\mathrm{A}(48,47,49)$ | 107.7618 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A113 | $\mathrm{A}(48,47,50)$ | 107.141 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A114 | $\mathrm{A}(49,47,50)$ | 109.8748 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A115 | $\mathrm{A}(47,50,51)$ | 111.8033 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A116 | $\mathrm{A}(47,50,55)$ | 110.8449 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A117 | $\mathrm{A}(47,50,67)$ | 116.4607 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A118 | $\mathrm{A}(51,50,55)$ | 106.2598 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A119 | $\mathrm{A}(51,50,67)$ | 102.0131 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A120 | A(55,50,67) | 108.6908 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A121 | $\mathrm{A}(13,65,25)$ | 92.8726 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A122 | A(13,65,59) | 84.5968 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A123 | A $(25,65,60)$ | 85.5048 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A124 | $\mathrm{A}(59,65,60)$ | 97.0263 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A125 | $\mathrm{A}(14,66,26)$ | 96.9772 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A126 | A(14,66,64) | 83.7175 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A127 | A $(26,66,63)$ | 83.7175 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A128 | $\mathrm{A}(63,66,64)$ | 95.5991 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A129 | A(40,67,50) | 92.8726 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A130 | $\mathrm{A}(40,67,62)$ | 84.5968 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A131 | $\mathrm{A}(50,67,61)$ | 85.5048 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A132 | $\mathrm{A}(61,67,62)$ | 97.0263 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D1 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,4,5)$ | 61.1547 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D2 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,4,6)$ | 178.123 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D3 | D (2,1,4,7) | -60.9126 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D4 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,4,5)$ | -179.3954 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D5 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,4,6)$ | -62.4271 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D6 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,4,7)$ | 58.5373 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D7 | $\mathrm{D}(14,1,4,5)$ | -60.2612 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D8 | $\mathrm{D}(14,1,4,6)$ | 56.7071 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D9 | $\mathrm{D}(14,1,4,7)$ | 177.6715 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D10 | D (2,1,14,15) | -62.4303 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D11 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,14,54)$ | 179.7423 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D12 | D (2,1,14,66) | 68.326 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D13 | D(3,1,14,15) | -177.747 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D14 | D ( $3,1,14,54$ ) | 64.4256 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D15 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,14,66)$ | -46.9907 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D16 | D(4,1,14,15) | 60.7139 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D17 | $\mathrm{D}(4,1,14,54)$ | -57.1136 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D18 | D(4,1,14,66) | -168.5298 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D19 | $\mathrm{D}(1,4,7,8)$ | -54.7017 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D20 | $\mathrm{D}(1,4,7,9)$ | 62.186 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D21 | $\mathrm{D}(1,4,7,10)$ | -176.9527 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D22 | $\mathrm{D}(5,4,7,8)$ | -177.1257 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D23 | $\mathrm{D}(5,4,7,9)$ | -60.2381 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D24 | $\mathrm{D}(5,4,7,10)$ | 60.6233 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D25 | $\mathrm{D}(6,4,7,8)$ | 65.9027 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D26 | $\mathrm{D}(6,4,7,9)$ | -177.2097 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D27 | $\mathrm{D}(6,4,7,10)$ | -56.3484 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D28 | D (4,7,10,11) | 66.5708 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D29 | D(4,7,10,12) | -51.511 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D30 | $\mathrm{D}(4,7,10,13)$ | -170.2834 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D31 | D (8,7,10,11) | -54.554 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D32 | $\mathrm{D}(8,7,10,12)$ | -172.6359 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D33 | D (8,7,10,13) | 68.5918 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D34 | D (9,7,10,11) | -172.3585 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D35 | D(9,7,10,12) | 69.5597 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D36 | D(9,7,10,13) | -49.2127 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D37 | $\mathrm{D}(7,10,13,16)$ | -57.1388 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D38 | $\mathrm{D}(7,10,13,57)$ | 65.0048 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D39 | $\mathrm{D}(7,10,13,65)$ | 171.3982 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D40 | D(11,10,13,16) | 66.9561 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D41 | D(11,10,13,57) | -170.9003 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D42 | D(11,10,13,65) | -64.5069 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D43 | $\mathrm{D}(12,10,13,16)$ | -178.431 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D44 | $\mathrm{D}(12,10,13,57)$ | -56.2874 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D45 | D(12,10,13,65) | 50.1061 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D46 | $\mathrm{D}(10,13,16,17)$ | 168.2631 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D47 | D(10,13,16,18) | 52.3489 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D48 | D(10,13,16,19) | -70.6931 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D49 | D(57,13,16,17) | 47.0751 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D50 | D(57,13,16,18) | -68.8391 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D51 | D(57,13,16,19) | 168.1189 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D52 | D(65,13,16,17) | -61.9391 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D53 | D(65,13,16,18) | -177.8533 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D54 | D (65,13,16,19) | 59.1047 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D55 | $\mathrm{D}(10,13,65,25)$ | 91.3414 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D56 | $\mathrm{D}(10,13,65,59)$ | -88.5447 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D57 | D (16,13,65,25) | -41.4461 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D58 | $\mathrm{D}(16,13,65,59)$ | 138.6677 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D59 | D ( $57,13,65,25$ ) | -156.6835 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D60 | D ( $57,13,65,59$ ) | 23.4303 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D61 | $\mathrm{D}(1,14,66,26)$ | -97.7605 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D62 | D (1,14,66,64) | 83.0433 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D63 | D (15,14,66,26) | 30.8179 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D64 | $\mathrm{D}(15,14,66,64)$ | -148.3783 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D65 | D (54,14,66,26) | 146.0752 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D66 | D ( $54,14,66,64$ ) | -33.121 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D67 | D (13,16,19,20) | 54.9371 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D68 | D ( $13,16,19,21$ ) | 169.8301 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D69 | D ( $13,16,19,22$ ) | -70.5321 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D70 | D (17,16,19,20) | 174.3078 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D71 | D (17,16,19,21) | -70.7992 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D72 | $\mathrm{D}(17,16,19,22)$ | 48.8386 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D73 | $\mathrm{D}(18,16,19,20)$ | -68.4859 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D74 | D (18,16,19,21) | 46.4071 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D75 | $\mathrm{D}(18,16,19,22)$ | 166.0449 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D76 | $\mathrm{D}(16,19,22,23)$ | -51.4947 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D77 | $\mathrm{D}(16,19,22,24)$ | -169.6813 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D78 | $\mathrm{D}(16,19,22,25)$ | 67.9059 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D79 | $\mathrm{D}(20,19,22,23)$ | -177.2649 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D80 | D ( $20,19,22,24$ ) | 64.5485 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D81 | $\mathrm{D}(20,19,22,25)$ | -57.8643 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D82 | $\mathrm{D}(21,19,22,23)$ | 68.052 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D83 | $\mathrm{D}(21,19,22,24)$ | -50.1347 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D84 | $\mathrm{D}(21,19,22,25)$ | -172.5475 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D85 | D (19,22,25,52) | 67.8809 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D86 | $\mathrm{D}(19,22,25,58)$ | -173.7594 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D87 | $\mathrm{D}(19,22,25,65)$ | -57.047 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D88 | D (23,22,25,52) | -171.0508 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D89 | D ( $23,22,25,58$ ) | -52.6911 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D90 | D ( $23,22,25,65$ ) | 64.0213 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D91 | D (24,22,25,52) | -54.246 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D92 | $\mathrm{D}(24,22,25,58)$ | 64.1137 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D93 | D (24,22,25,65) | -179.1739 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D94 | D (22,25,65,13) | 41.599 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D95 | D (22,25,65,60) | -138.159 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D96 | D (52,25,65,13) | -84.4155 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D97 | D(52,25,65,60) | 95.8265 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D98 | D (58,25,65,13) | 163.6103 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D99 | D(58,25,65,60) | -16.1477 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D100 | D (27,26,28,29) | 64.4256 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D101 | D(27,26,28,30) | 179.7423 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D102 | D(27,26,28,31) | -57.1136 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D103 | D(53,26,28,29) | -177.747 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D104 | D (53,26,28,30) | -62.4303 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D105 | D(53,26,28,31) | 60.7139 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D106 | D (66,26,28,29) | -46.9907 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D107 | D (66,26,28,30) | 68.326 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D108 | D(66,26,28,31) | -168.5298 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D109 | D (27,26,66,14) | 146.0752 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D110 | D (27,26,66,63) | -33.121 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D111 | D (28,26,66,14) | -97.7605 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D112 | D (28,26,66,63) | 83.0433 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D113 | D(53,26,66,14) | 30.8179 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D114 | D(53,26,66,63) | -148.3783 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D115 | D (26,28,31,32) | 56.7071 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D116 | D (26,28,31,33) | -60.2612 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D117 | D (26,28,31,34) | 177.6715 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D118 | D (29,28,31,32) | -62.4271 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D119 | D(29,28,31,33) | -179.3954 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D120 | D(29,28,31,34) | 58.5373 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D121 | D(30,28,31,32) | 178.123 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D122 | D(30,28,31,33) | 61.1547 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D123 | D (30,28,31,34) | -60.9126 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D124 | D (28,31,34,35) | -54.7017 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D125 | D (28,31,34,36) | 62.186 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D126 | D (28,31,34,37) | -176.9527 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D127 | D (32,31,34,35) | 65.9027 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D128 | D (32,31,34,36) | -177.2097 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D129 | D(32,31,34,37) | -56.3484 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D130 | D(33,31,34,35) | -177.1257 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D131 | D (33,31,34,36) | -60.2381 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D132 | D (33,31,34,37) | 60.6233 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D133 | D(31,34,37,38) | 66.5708 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D134 | D (31,34,37,39) | -51.511 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D135 | D (31,34,37,40) | -170.2834 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D136 | D(35,34,37,38) | -54.554 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D137 | D ( $35,34,37,39)$ | -172.6359 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D138 | D(35,34,37,40) | 68.5918 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D139 | D(36,34,37,38) | -172.3585 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D140 | D (36,34,37,39) | 69.5597 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D141 | D (36,34,37,40) | -49.2127 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D142 | D (34,37,40,41) | -57.1388 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D143 | D (34,37,40,56) | 65.0048 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D144 | D (34,37,40,67) | 171.3982 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D145 | D(38,37,40,41) | 66.9561 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D146 | D (38,37,40,56) | -170.9003 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D147 | D (38,37,40,67) | -64.5069 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D148 | D(39,37,40,41) | -178.431 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D149 | D (39,37,40,56) | -56.2874 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D150 | D ( $39,37,40,67$ ) | 50.1061 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D151 | D(37,40,41,42) | 52.3489 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D152 | D (37,40,41,43) | 168.2631 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D153 | D ( $37,40,41,44$ ) | -70.6931 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D154 | D(56,40,41,42) | -68.8391 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D155 | D(56,40,41,43) | 47.0751 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D156 | D (56,40,41,44) | 168.1189 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D157 | D(67,40,41,42) | -177.8533 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D158 | D(67,40,41,43) | -61.9391 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D159 | D(67,40,41,44) | 59.1047 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D160 | D (37,40,67,50) | 91.3414 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D161 | D (37,40,67,62) | -88.5447 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D162 | $\mathrm{D}(41,40,67,50)$ | -41.4461 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D163 | D(41,40,67,62) | 138.6677 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| D164 | D (56,40,67,50) | -156.6835 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D165 | D (56,40,67,62) | 23.4303 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D166 | D(40,41,44,45) | 54.9371 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D167 | $\mathrm{D}(40,41,44,46)$ | 169.8301 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D168 | D(40,41,44,47) | -70.5321 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D169 | D(42,41,44,45) | -68.4859 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D170 | D(42,41,44,46) | 46.4071 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D171 | D(42,41,44,47) | 166.0449 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D172 | D(43,41,44,45) | 174.3078 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D173 | D(43,41,44,46) | -70.7992 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D174 | D(43,41,44,47) | 48.8386 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D175 | D (41,44,47,48) | -51.4947 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D176 | D(41,44,47,49) | -169.6813 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D177 | $\mathrm{D}(41,44,47,50)$ | 67.9059 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D178 | $\mathrm{D}(45,44,47,48)$ | -177.2649 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D179 | D(45,44, 47,49) | 64.5485 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D180 | $\mathrm{D}(45,44,47,50)$ | -57.8643 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D181 | $\mathrm{D}(46,44,47,48)$ | 68.052 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D182 | $\mathrm{D}(46,44,47,49)$ | -50.1347 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D183 | $\mathrm{D}(46,44,47,50)$ | -172.5475 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D184 | D(44,47,50,51) | -173.7594 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D185 | $\mathrm{D}(44,47,50,55)$ | 67.8809 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D186 | $\mathrm{D}(44,47,50,67)$ | -57.047 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D187 | $\mathrm{D}(48,47,50,51)$ | -52.6911 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D188 | $\mathrm{D}(48,47,50,55)$ | -171.0508 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D189 | D(48,47,50,67) | 64.0213 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D190 | D (49,47,50,51) | 64.1137 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D191 | D(49,47,50,55) | -54.246 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D192 | D (49,47,50,67) | -179.1739 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D193 | $\mathrm{D}(47,50,67,40)$ | 41.599 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D194 | D(47,50,67,61) | -138.159 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D195 | D (51,50,67,40) | 163.6103 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D196 | D(51,50,67,61) | -16.1477 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D197 | D(55,50,67,40) | -84.4155 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D198 | D(55,50,67,61) | 95.8265 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |

" R bond length, A angles, D dihedral angles for the numbered atoms present in the Figure A1.

## $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$



Figure A2: Structural representation of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ with the atoms numbering.

Table A3: Converging parameter for $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.

| Item | Value | Threshold | Converged? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Maximum Force | 0.000000 | 0.000015 | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RMS | 0.000000 | 0.000010 | YES |
| Maximum <br> Displacement | 0.000031 | 0.000060 | YES |
| RMS Displacement | 0.000007 | 0.000040 | YES |
| Predicted change in Energy $=-1.992362 \mathrm{D}-10$ |  |  |  |
| Stationary point found. |  |  |  |

Table A4:Optimized parameters for the stationary point geometry found for $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.

| Optimized Parameters |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Angstroms and Degrees) |  |  |  |  |
| *Name | Definition | Value | Derivative | Info. |
| R1 | $\mathrm{R}(1,2)$ | 1.0947 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R2 | $\mathrm{R}(1,3)$ | 1.0928 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R3 | $\mathrm{R}(1,4)$ | 1.5245 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R4 | $\mathrm{R}(1,14)$ | 1.483 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R5 | $\mathrm{R}(4,5)$ | 1.0985 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R6 | $\mathrm{R}(4,6)$ | 1.0986 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R7 | $\mathrm{R}(4,7)$ | 1.5303 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R8 | $\mathrm{R}(7,8)$ | 1.0967 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R9 | $\mathrm{R}(7,9)$ | 1.0986 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R10 | $\mathrm{R}(7,10)$ | 1.5276 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R11 | $\mathrm{R}(10,11)$ | 1.0944 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R12 | $\mathrm{R}(10,12)$ | 1.0934 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R13 | $\mathrm{R}(10,13)$ | 1.4843 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R14 | $\mathrm{R}(13,16)$ | 1.4809 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R15 | $\mathrm{R}(13,26)$ | 2.0992 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R16 | $\mathrm{R}(13,58)$ | 1.0238 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R17 | $\mathrm{R}(14,15)$ | 1.0197 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R18 | $\mathrm{R}(14,55)$ | 1.0233 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R19 | $\mathrm{R}(14,66)$ | 2.1011 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R20 | $\mathrm{R}(16,17)$ | 1.0942 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| R21 | $\mathrm{R}(16,18)$ | 1.0956 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R22 | $\mathrm{R}(16,19)$ | 1.5288 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R23 | $\mathrm{R}(19,20)$ | 1.0979 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R24 | $\mathrm{R}(19,21)$ | 1.0953 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R25 | $\mathrm{R}(19,22)$ | 1.5275 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R26 | $\mathrm{R}(22,23)$ | 1.0937 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R27 | $\mathrm{R}(22,24)$ | 1.0961 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| R28 | $\mathrm{R}(22,25)$ | 1.4775 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| R29 | R $(25,26)$ | 2.0883 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R30 | $\mathrm{R}(25,53)$ | 1.0203 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R31 | $\mathrm{R}(25,59)$ | 1.0239 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R32 | $\mathrm{R}(26,60)$ | 2.3431 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R33 | $\mathrm{R}(26,61)$ | 2.3372 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R34 | R $(27,28)$ | 1.0233 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R35 | $\mathrm{R}(27,29)$ | 1.483 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R36 | $\mathrm{R}(27,54)$ | 1.0197 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R37 | $\mathrm{R}(27,66)$ | 2.1011 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R38 | $\mathrm{R}(29,30)$ | 1.0928 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R39 | $\mathrm{R}(29,31)$ | 1.0947 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R40 | $\mathrm{R}(29,32)$ | 1.5245 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R41 | $\mathrm{R}(32,33)$ | 1.0986 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R42 | $\mathrm{R}(32,34)$ | 1.0985 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R43 | $\mathrm{R}(32,35)$ | 1.5303 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R44 | $\mathrm{R}(35,36)$ | 1.0967 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R45 | R $(35,37)$ | 1.0986 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R46 | $\mathrm{R}(35,38)$ | 1.5276 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R47 | $\mathrm{R}(38,39)$ | 1.0944 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R48 | $\mathrm{R}(38,40)$ | 1.0934 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R49 | $\mathrm{R}(38,41)$ | 1.4843 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R50 | $\mathrm{R}(41,42)$ | 1.4809 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R51 | $\mathrm{R}(41,57)$ | 1.0238 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R52 | $\mathrm{R}(41,67)$ | 2.0992 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R53 | $\mathrm{R}(42,43)$ | 1.0956 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R54 | $\mathrm{R}(42,44)$ | 1.0942 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R55 | $\mathrm{R}(42,45)$ | 1.5288 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R56 | $\mathrm{R}(45,46)$ | 1.0979 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R57 | $\mathrm{R}(45,47)$ | 1.0953 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R58 | $\mathrm{R}(45,48)$ | 1.5275 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R59 | $\mathrm{R}(48,49)$ | 1.0937 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R60 | $\mathrm{R}(48,50)$ | 1.0961 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R61 | $\mathrm{R}(48,51)$ | 1.4775 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R62 | $\mathrm{R}(51,52)$ | 1.0239 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R63 | $\mathrm{R}(51,56)$ | 1.0203 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R64 | $\mathrm{R}(51,67)$ | 2.0883 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R65 | $\mathrm{R}(62,67)$ | 2.3372 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R66 | $\mathrm{R}(63,67)$ | 2.3431 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R67 | $\mathrm{R}(64,66)$ | 2.3396 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R68 | $\mathrm{R}(65,66)$ | 2.3396 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A1 | A $(2,1,3)$ | 107.6857 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A2 | A( $2,1,4$ ) | 111.1762 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A3 | $\mathrm{A}(2,1,14)$ | 107.8131 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A4 | A $(3,1,4)$ | 110.8808 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A5 | A( $3,1,14$ ) | 106.3005 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A6 | A(4,1,14) | 112.7225 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A7 | $\mathrm{A}(1,4,5)$ | 110.2431 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A8 | A $(1,4,6)$ | 108.9996 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A9 | A(1,4,7) | 111.5905 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A10 | A(5,4,6) | 106.924 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A11 | A $(5,4,7)$ | 109.5315 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A12 | A(6,4,7) | 109.4343 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A13 | A $(4,7,8)$ | 108.8716 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A14 | A $(4,7,9)$ | 109.5756 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A15 | $\mathrm{A}(4,7,10)$ | 110.767 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A16 | A $(8,7,9)$ | 107.1112 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A17 | $\mathrm{A}(8,7,10)$ | 111.0699 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A18 | $\mathrm{A}(9,7,10)$ | 109.3663 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A19 | $\mathrm{A}(7,10,11)$ | 110.7487 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A20 | A(7,10,12) | 110.0301 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A21 | A(7,10,13) | 114.6355 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A22 | A(11,10,12) | 107.1625 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A23 | A(11,10,13) | 108.483 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A24 | A(12,10,13) | 105.4025 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A25 | A(10,13,16) | 115.6967 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A26 | A(10,13,26) | 111.2114 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A27 | A(10,13,58) | 106.4456 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A28 | A(16,13,26) | 113.6222 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A29 | A( $16,13,58$ ) | 108.1537 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A30 | A(26,13,58) | 100.2235 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A31 | A(1,14,15) | 110.1719 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A32 | A(1,14,55) | 108.3363 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A33 | A(1,14,66) | 114.2985 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A34 | A(15,14,55) | 106.7473 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A35 | A(15,14,66) | 114.1988 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A36 | A(55,14,66) | 102.3659 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A37 | A(13,16,17) | 106.47 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A38 | A(13,16,18) | 109.6375 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A39 | A $(13,16,19)$ | 113.9903 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A40 | A(17,16,18) | 107.4811 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A41 | A(17,16,19) | 109.6562 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A42 | A(18,16,19) | 109.3811 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A43 | A(16,19,20) | 110.6011 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A44 | A(16,19,21) | 107.2615 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A45 | A(16,19,22) | 115.2451 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A46 | A(20,19,21) | 105.803 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A47 | A(20,19,22) | 109.985 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A48 | A(21,19,22) | 107.4299 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A49 | A(19,22,23) | 110.1898 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A50 | A(19,22,24) | 109.5675 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A51 | A(19,22,25) | 112.3785 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A52 | A( $23,22,24$ ) | 108.0352 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A53 | A(23,22,25) | 107.0808 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A54 | A(24,22,25) | 109.4709 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A55 | A(22,25,26) | 116.4367 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A56 | A $(22,25,53)$ | 110.3047 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A57 | A (22,25,59) | 111.1753 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A58 | A $(26,25,53)$ | 108.8543 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A59 | A $(26,25,59)$ | 103.5623 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A60 | A(53,25,59) | 105.8256 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A61 | A $(13,26,25)$ | 93.9201 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A62 | A(13,26,60) | 84.1917 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A63 | A $(25,26,61)$ | 84.9613 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A64 | A(60,26,61) | 96.9267 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A65 | A(28,27,29) | 108.3363 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A66 | A(28,27,54) | 106.7473 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A67 | A $(28,27,66)$ | 102.3659 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A68 | A $(29,27,54)$ | 110.1719 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A69 | A $(29,27,66)$ | 114.2985 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A70 | A(54,27,66) | 114.1988 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A71 | A(27,29,30) | 106.3005 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A72 | A(27,29,31) | 107.8131 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A73 | A(27,29,32) | 112.7225 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A74 | A(30,29,31) | 107.6857 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A75 | A(30,29,32) | 110.8808 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A76 | A(31,29,32) | 111.1762 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A77 | A $(29,32,33)$ | 108.9996 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A78 | A(29,32,34) | 110.2431 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A79 | A $(29,32,35)$ | 111.5905 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A80 | A(33,32,34) | 106.924 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A81 | A(33,32,35) | 109.4343 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A82 | A(34,32,35) | 109.5315 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A83 | A(32,35,36) | 108.8716 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A84 | A(32,35,37) | 109.5756 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A85 | A(32,35,38) | 110.767 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A86 | A $(36,35,37)$ | 107.1112 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A87 | A $(36,35,38)$ | 111.0699 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A88 | A(37,35,38) | 109.3663 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A89 | A( $35,38,39$ ) | 110.7487 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A90 | A (35,38,40) | 110.0301 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A91 | A(35,38,41) | 114.6355 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A92 | A(39,38,40) | 107.1625 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A93 | A $(39,38,41)$ | 108.483 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A94 | A(40,38,41) | 105.4025 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A95 | A(38,41,42) | 115.6967 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A96 | A(38,41,57) | 106.4456 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A97 | A $(38,41,67)$ | 111.2114 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A98 | A(42,41,57) | 108.1537 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A99 | A(42,41,67) | 113.6222 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A100 | A(57,41,67) | 100.2235 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A101 | A(41,42,43) | 109.6375 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A102 | A(41,42,44) | 106.47 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A103 | A(41,42,45) | 113.9903 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A104 | A(43,42,44) | 107.4811 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A105 | A(43, 42,45) | 109.3811 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A106 | A(44,42,45) | 109.6562 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A107 | A(42,45,46) | 110.6011 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A108 | A(42,45,47) | 107.2615 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A109 | A(42,45,48) | 115.2451 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A110 | A(46,45,47) | 105.803 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A111 | A(46, 45,48) | 109.985 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A112 | A(47,45,48) | 107.4299 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A113 | A(45,48,49) | 110.1898 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A114 | A (45,48,50) | 109.5675 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A115 | A $(45,48,51)$ | 112.3785 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A116 | A(49,48,50) | 108.0352 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A117 | A $(49,48,51)$ | 107.0808 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A118 | A $(50,48,51)$ | 109.4709 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A119 | A(48,51,52) | 111.1753 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A120 | A $(48,51,56)$ | 110.3047 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A121 | A(48,51,67) | 116.4367 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A122 | A $(52,51,56)$ | 105.8256 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A123 | A(52,51,67) | 103.5623 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A124 | A(56,51,67) | 108.8543 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A125 | A(14,66,27) | 97.6798 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A126 | A(14,66,65) | 83.2041 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A127 | A(27,66,64) | 83.2041 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A128 | A(64,66,65) | 95.9191 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A129 | A(41,67,51) | 93.9201 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A130 | A(41,67,63) | 84.1917 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A131 | A(51,67,62) | 84.9613 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A132 | A(62,67,63) | 96.9267 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D1 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,4,5)$ | 60.7562 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D2 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,4,6)$ | 177.8291 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D3 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,4,7)$ | -61.1928 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D4 | D (3,1,4,5) | -179.4764 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D5 | D (3,1,4,6) | -62.4035 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D6 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,4,7)$ | 58.5746 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D7 | $\mathrm{D}(14,1,4,5)$ | -60.4354 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D8 | $\mathrm{D}(14,1,4,6)$ | 56.6375 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D9 | $\mathrm{D}(14,1,4,7)$ | 177.6156 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D10 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,14,15)$ | -63.4157 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D11 | D ( $2,1,14,55)$ | -179.8489 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D12 | D ( $2,1,14,66$ ) | 66.7498 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D13 | D ( $3,1,14,15$ ) | -178.6562 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D14 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,14,55)$ | 64.9106 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D15 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,14,66)$ | -48.4907 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D16 | D (4,1,14,15) | 59.6714 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D17 | D (4,1,14,55) | -56.7618 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D18 | D (4,1,14,66) | -170.1631 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D19 | $\mathrm{D}(1,4,7,8)$ | -55.4243 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D20 | $\mathrm{D}(1,4,7,9)$ | 61.4202 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D21 | $\mathrm{D}(1,4,7,10)$ | -177.8327 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D22 | $\mathrm{D}(5,4,7,8)$ | -177.7828 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D23 | $\mathrm{D}(5,4,7,9)$ | -60.9383 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D24 | $\mathrm{D}(5,4,7,10)$ | 59.8088 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D25 | $\mathrm{D}(6,4,7,8)$ | 65.3002 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D26 | D (6,4,7,9) | -177.8554 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D27 | $\mathrm{D}(6,4,7,10)$ | -57.1082 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D28 | D (4,7,10,11) | 67.3127 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D29 | $\mathrm{D}(4,7,10,12)$ | -50.98 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D30 | D (4,7,10,13) | -169.5472 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D31 | D (8,7,10,11) | -53.8054 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D32 | $\mathrm{D}(8,7,10,12)$ | -172.098 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D33 | D(8,7,10,13) | 69.3347 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D34 | D(9,7,10,11) | -171.816 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D35 | $\mathrm{D}(9,7,10,12)$ | 69.8913 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D36 | D (9,7,10,13) | -48.6759 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D37 | D (7,10,13,16) | -58.4797 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D38 | $\mathrm{D}(7,10,13,26)$ | 169.9336 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D39 | $\mathrm{D}(7,10,13,58)$ | 61.6892 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D40 | D(11,10,13,16) | 65.8692 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D41 | D(11,10,13,26) | -65.7174 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D42 | D (11,10,13,58) | -173.9618 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D43 | $\mathrm{D}(12,10,13,16)$ | -179.6234 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D44 | $\mathrm{D}(12,10,13,26)$ | 48.7899 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D45 | D (12,10,13,58) | -59.4545 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D46 | $\mathrm{D}(10,13,16,17)$ | 166.753 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D47 | $\mathrm{D}(10,13,16,18)$ | 50.7714 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D48 | $\mathrm{D}(10,13,16,19)$ | -72.2159 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D49 | D (26,13,16,17) | -62.8039 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D50 | D (26,13,16,18) | -178.7854 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D51 | D (26,13,16,19) | 58.2273 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D52 | D ( $58,13,16,17$ ) | 47.5167 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D53 | D(58,13,16,18) | -68.4649 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D54 | D(58,13,16,19) | 168.5478 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D55 | D (10,13,26,25) | 92.3813 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D56 | D (10,13,26,60) | -87.6463 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D57 | $\mathrm{D}(16,13,26,25)$ | -40.2589 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D58 | D (16,13,26,60) | 139.7134 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D59 | D(58,13,26,25) | -155.3732 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D60 | D(58,13,26,60) | 24.5991 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D61 | D (1,14,66,27) | -96.7374 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D62 | D (1,14,66,65) | 83.8989 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D63 | $\mathrm{D}(15,14,66,27)$ | 31.4109 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D64 | D (15,14,66,65) | -147.9529 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D65 | D(55,14,66,27) | 146.3679 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D66 | D(55,14,66,65) | -32.9959 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D67 | $\mathrm{D}(13,16,19,20)$ | 54.4451 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D68 | D(13,16,19,21) | 169.3717 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D69 | $\mathrm{D}(13,16,19,22)$ | -71.0703 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D70 | $\mathrm{D}(17,16,19,20)$ | 173.6859 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D71 | $\mathrm{D}(17,16,19,21)$ | -71.3874 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D72 | $\mathrm{D}(17,16,19,22)$ | 48.1705 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D73 | $\mathrm{D}(18,16,19,20)$ | -68.6818 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D74 | D(18,16,19,21) | 46.2449 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D75 | $\mathrm{D}(18,16,19,22)$ | 165.8028 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D76 | $\mathrm{D}(16,19,22,23)$ | -50.9293 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D77 | $\mathrm{D}(16,19,22,24)$ | -169.6667 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D78 | $\mathrm{D}(16,19,22,25)$ | 68.401 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D79 | D (20,19,22,23) | -176.7621 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D80 | D (20,19,22,24) | 64.5006 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D81 | D (20,19,22,25) | -57.4318 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D82 | D (21,19,22,23) | 68.5357 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D83 | $\mathrm{D}(21,19,22,24)$ | -50.2017 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D84 | D (21,19,22,25) | -172.1341 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D85 | D (19,22,25,26) | -56.2036 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D86 | D (19,22,25,53) | 68.4618 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D87 | D (19,22,25,59) | -174.4626 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D88 | D (23,22,25,26) | 64.9261 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D89 | D ( $23,22,25,53$ ) | -170.4085 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D90 | D (23,22,25,59) | -53.3329 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D91 | D ( $24,22,25,26$ ) | -178.1909 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D92 | D (24,22,25,53) | -53.5255 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D93 | D (24,22,25,59) | 63.5501 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D94 | D (22,25,26,13) | 40.4327 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D95 | D (22,25,26,61) | -139.0694 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D96 | D ( $53,25,26,13$ ) | -84.9686 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D97 | D(53,25,26,61) | 95.5292 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D98 | D(59,25,26,13) | 162.7712 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D99 | D( $59,25,26,61$ ) | -16.731 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D100 | D (28,27,29,30) | 64.9106 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D101 | D (28,27,29,31) | -179.8489 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D102 | D (28,27,29,32) | -56.7618 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D103 | D (54,27,29,30) | -178.6562 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D104 | D(54,27,29,31) | -63.4157 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D105 | D ( $54,27,29,32$ ) | 59.6714 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D106 | D (66,27,29,30) | -48.4907 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D107 | D (66,27,29,31) | 66.7498 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D108 | D ( $66,27,29,32$ ) | -170.1631 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D109 | D (28,27,66,14) | 146.3679 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D110 | D (28,27,66,64) | -32.9959 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D111 | D (29,27,66,14) | -96.7374 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D112 | D (29,27,66,64) | 83.8989 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D113 | D (54,27,66,14) | 31.4109 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D114 | D ( $54,27,66,64$ ) | -147.9529 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D115 | D (27,29,32,33) | 56.6375 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D116 | D(27,29,32,34) | -60.4354 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D117 | D (27,29,32,35) | 177.6156 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D118 | D (30,29,32,33) | -62.4035 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D119 | D (30,29,32,34) | -179.4764 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D120 | D (30,29,32,35) | 58.5746 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D121 | D (31,29,32,33) | 177.8291 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D122 | D (31,29,32,34) | 60.7562 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D123 | D(31,29,32,35) | -61.1928 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D124 | D (29,32,35,36) | -55.4243 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D125 | D (29,32,35,37) | 61.4202 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D126 | D (29,32,35,38) | -177.8327 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D127 | D (33,32,35,36) | 65.3002 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D128 | D (33,32,35,37) | -177.8554 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D129 | D(33,32,35,38) | -57.1082 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D130 | D (34,32,35,36) | -177.7828 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D131 | D (34,32,35,37) | -60.9383 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D132 | D(34,32,35,38) | 59.8088 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D133 | D (32,35,38,39) | 67.3127 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D134 | D (32,35,38,40) | -50.98 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D135 | D(32,35,38,41) | -169.5472 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D136 | D (36,35,38,39) | -53.8054 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D137 | D (36,35,38,40) | -172.098 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D138 | D(36,35,38,41) | 69.3347 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D139 | D (37,35,38,39) | -171.816 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D140 | D (37,35,38,40) | 69.8913 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D141 | D(37,35,38,41) | -48.6759 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D142 | D (35,38,41,42) | -58.4797 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D143 | D(35,38,41,57) | 61.6892 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D144 | D (35,38,41,67) | 169.9336 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D145 | D (39,38,41,42) | 65.8692 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D146 | D(39,38,41,57) | -173.9618 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D147 | D (39,38,41,67) | -65.7174 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D148 | D(40,38,41,42) | -179.6234 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D149 | D(40,38,41,57) | -59.4545 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D150 | D(40,38,41,67) | 48.7899 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D151 | D(38,41,42,43) | 50.7714 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D152 | D (38,41,42,44) | 166.753 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D153 | D (38,41,42,45) | -72.2159 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D154 | D(57,41,42,43) | -68.4649 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| D155 | D(57,41,42,44) | 47.5167 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D156 | D(57,41,42,45) | 168.5478 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D157 | D(67,41,42,43) | -178.7854 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D158 | D(67,41,42,44) | -62.8039 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D159 | D(67,41,42,45) | 58.2273 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D160 | D(38,41,67,51) | 92.3813 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D161 | D (38,41,67,63) | -87.6463 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D162 | $\mathrm{D}(42,41,67,51)$ | -40.2589 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D163 | D(42,41,67,63) | 139.7134 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D164 | D(57,41,67,51) | -155.3732 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D165 | D(57,41,67,63) | 24.5991 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D166 | D(41,42,45,46) | 54.4451 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D167 | $\mathrm{D}(41,42,45,47)$ | 169.3717 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D168 | D(41,42,45,48) | -71.0703 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D169 | D(43,42,45,46) | -68.6818 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D170 | D(43,42,45,47) | 46.2449 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D171 | D(43,42,45,48) | 165.8028 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D172 | D(44,42,45,46) | 173.6859 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D173 | D ( $44,42,45,47)$ | -71.3874 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D174 | D(44,42,45,48) | 48.1705 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D175 | D(42,45,48,49) | -50.9293 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D176 | $\mathrm{D}(42,45,48,50)$ | -169.6667 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D177 | D(42,45,48,51) | 68.401 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D178 | D(46,45,48,49) | -176.7621 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D179 | $\mathrm{D}(46,45,48,50)$ | 64.5006 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D180 | D(46,45,48,51) | -57.4318 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D181 | D(47,45,48,49) | 68.5357 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D182 | $\mathrm{D}(47,45,48,50)$ | -50.2017 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D183 | D(47,45,48,51) | -172.1341 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D184 | $\mathrm{D}(45,48,51,52)$ | -174.4626 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D185 | D(45,48,51,56) | 68.4618 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D186 | D ( $45,48,51,67$ ) | -56.2036 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D187 | $\mathrm{D}(49,48,51,52)$ | -53.3329 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D188 | D(49,48,51,56) | -170.4085 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D189 | D(49,48,51,67) | 64.9261 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D190 | $\mathrm{D}(50,48,51,52)$ | 63.5501 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D191 | D( $50,48,51,56$ ) | -53.5255 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D192 | D ( $50,48,51,67$ ) | -178.1909 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D193 | D(48,51,67,41) | 40.4327 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D194 | D(48,51,67,62) | -139.0694 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D195 | D(52,51,67,41) | 162.7712 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D196 | D(52,51,67,62) | -16.731 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D197 | D(56,51,67,41) | -84.9686 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D 198 | $\mathrm{D}(56,51,67,62)$ | 95.5292 | -DE/DX $=$ | 0.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

" R bond length, A angles, D dihedral angles for the numbered atoms present in the Figure A2.

## $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$



Figure A3: Structural representation of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ with the atoms numbering.
Table A5: Converging parameter for $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$.

| Item | Value | Threshold | Converged? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maximum Force | 0.000011 | 0.000450 | YES |
| RMS | 0.0000002 | 0.000300 | YES |
| Maximum <br> Displacement | 0.001011 | 0.001800 | YES |
| RMS Displacement | 0.000235 | 0.001200 | YES |
| Predicted change in Energy $=-9.284677 \mathrm{D}-09$ |  |  |  |
| Stationary point found. |  |  |  |

Table A6: Optimized parameters for the stationary point geometry found for $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$.

| Optimized Parameters |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Angstroms and Degrees) |  |  |  |  |
| "Name | Definition | Value | Derivative | Info. |
| R1 | $\mathrm{R}(1,27)$ | 1.0235 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R2 | $\mathrm{R}(2,27)$ | 1.0193 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R3 | $\mathrm{R}(3,4)$ | 1.0964 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R4 | $\mathrm{R}(3,5)$ | 1.0936 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R5 | $\mathrm{R}(3,6)$ | 1.528 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R6 | $\mathrm{R}(3,27)$ | 1.4806 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R7 | $\mathrm{R}(6,7)$ | 1.0971 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R8 | R(6,8) | 1.0961 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R9 | $\mathrm{R}(6,9)$ | 1.5298 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R10 | $\mathrm{R}(9,10)$ | 1.1004 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| R11 | $\mathrm{R}(9,11)$ | 1.0969 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R12 | $\mathrm{R}(9,12)$ | 1.5271 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R13 | $\mathrm{R}(12,13)$ | 1.0946 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R14 | $\mathrm{R}(12,14)$ | 1.093 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R15 | $\mathrm{R}(12,35)$ | 1.4784 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R16 | $\mathrm{R}(15,16)$ | 1.0936 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R17 | $\mathrm{R}(15,17)$ | 1.0964 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R18 | $\mathrm{R}(15,18)$ | 1.528 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R19 | $\mathrm{R}(15,36)$ | 1.4806 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R20 | $\mathrm{R}(18,19)$ | 1.0961 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R21 | $\mathrm{R}(18,20)$ | 1.0971 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R22 | $\mathrm{R}(18,21)$ | 1.5298 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R23 | $\mathrm{R}(21,22)$ | 1.0969 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R24 | $\mathrm{R}(21,23)$ | 1.1004 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R25 | $\mathrm{R}(21,24)$ | 1.5271 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R26 | $\mathrm{R}(24,25)$ | 1.093 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R27 | $\mathrm{R}(24,26)$ | 1.0946 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R28 | $\mathrm{R}(24,34)$ | 1.4784 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R29 | $\mathrm{R}(27,41)$ | 2.1422 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R30 | $\mathrm{R}(28,34)$ | 1.0211 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R31 | $\mathrm{R}(29,34)$ | 1.0187 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R32 | $\mathrm{R}(30,35)$ | 1.0187 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R33 | $\mathrm{R}(31,35)$ | 1.0211 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R34 | $\mathrm{R}(32,36)$ | 1.0235 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R35 | $\mathrm{R}(33,36)$ | 1.0193 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R36 | $\mathrm{R}(34,41)$ | 2.1212 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R37 | $\mathrm{R}(35,42)$ | 2.1212 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R38 | $\mathrm{R}(36,42)$ | 2.1422 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R39 | $\mathrm{R}(37,42)$ | 2.3158 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R40 | $\mathrm{R}(38,42)$ | 2.3157 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R41 | $\mathrm{R}(39,41)$ | 2.3158 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R42 | $\mathrm{R}(40,41)$ | 2.3157 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A1 | A(4,3,5) | 107.3541 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A2 | A(4,3,6) | 110.072 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A3 | A(4,3,27) | 110.0496 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A4 | A(5,3,6) | 111.0067 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A5 | A(5,3,27) | 106.7476 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A6 | A(6,3,27) | 111.4798 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A7 | A(3,6,7) | 110.2082 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A8 | A(3,6,8) | 108.7168 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A9 | A(3,6,9) | 113.0005 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A10 | A $(7,6,8)$ | 106.2473 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A11 | A $(7,6,9)$ | 110.1461 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A12 | A $(8,6,9)$ | 108.2662 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A13 | $\mathrm{A}(6,9,10)$ | 108.4763 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A14 | A(6,9,11) | 110.7352 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A15 | $\mathrm{A}(6,9,12)$ | 111.405 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A16 | $\mathrm{A}(10,9,11)$ | 106.5862 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A17 | $\mathrm{A}(10,9,12)$ | 109.0595 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A18 | $\mathrm{A}(11,9,12)$ | 110.4257 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A19 | A $(9,12,13)$ | 109.6054 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A20 | A( $9,12,14$ ) | 112.1989 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A21 | A $(9,12,35)$ | 113.6369 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A22 | A(13,12,14) | 106.8266 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A23 | A(13,12,35) | 107.7569 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A24 | A $(14,12,35)$ | 106.4887 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A25 | A $(16,15,17)$ | 107.3541 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A26 | A(16,15,18) | 111.0067 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A27 | A(16,15,36) | 106.7476 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A28 | A(17,15,18) | 110.072 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A29 | A(17,15,36) | 110.0496 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A30 | A(18,15,36) | 111.4798 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A31 | A( $15,18,19)$ | 108.7168 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A32 | A(15,18,20) | 110.2082 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A33 | A( $15,18,21$ ) | 113.0005 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A34 | A(19,18,20) | 106.2473 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A35 | A(19,18,21) | 108.2662 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A36 | $\mathrm{A}(20,18,21)$ | 110.1461 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A37 | A(18,21,22) | 110.7352 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A38 | A(18,21,23) | 108.4763 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A39 | $\mathrm{A}(18,21,24)$ | 111.405 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A40 | A(22,21,23) | 106.5862 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A41 | A(22,21,24) | 110.4257 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A42 | A $(23,21,24)$ | 109.0595 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A43 | A $(21,24,25)$ | 112.1989 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A44 | A(21,24,26) | 109.6054 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A45 | A $(21,24,34)$ | 113.6369 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A46 | A( $25,24,26$ ) | 106.8266 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A47 | A $(25,24,34)$ | 106.4887 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A48 | A $(26,24,34)$ | 107.7569 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A49 | A(1,27,2) | 106.9068 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A50 | A(1,27,3) | 109.6114 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A51 | A(1,27,41) | 97.2493 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A52 | A(2,27,3) | 110.6423 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A53 | A $(2,27,41)$ | 114.0093 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A54 | A $(3,27,41)$ | 117.08 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A55 | A(24,34,28) | 109.5335 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A56 | A(24,34,29) | 110.9614 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A57 | A(24,34,41) | 112.4343 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A58 | A(28,34,29) | 107.5278 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A59 | A(28,34,41) | 102.2566 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A60 | A $(29,34,41)$ | 113.6077 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A61 | A(12,35,30) | 110.9614 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A62 | A(12,35,31) | 109.5335 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A63 | A(12,35,42) | 112.4343 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A64 | A(30,35,31) | 107.5278 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A65 | A(30,35,42) | 113.6077 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A66 | A(31,35,42) | 102.2566 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A67 | A( $15,36,32$ ) | 109.6114 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A68 | A( $15,36,33$ ) | 110.6423 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A69 | A( $15,36,42$ ) | 117.08 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A70 | A( $32,36,33$ ) | 106.9068 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A71 | A( $32,36,42$ ) | 97.2493 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A72 | A( $33,36,42$ ) | 114.0093 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A73 | A( $27,41,34$ ) | 98.7178 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A74 | A(27,41,40) | 83.3885 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A75 | A(34,41,39) | 83.1822 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A76 | A (39,41,40) | 94.7045 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A77 | A $(35,42,36)$ | 98.7178 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A78 | A $(35,42,37)$ | 83.1822 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A79 | A $(36,42,38)$ | 83.3885 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A80 | A (37,42,38) | 94.7045 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D1 | $\mathrm{D}(4,3,6,7)$ | -39.9161 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D2 | $\mathrm{D}(4,3,6,8)$ | -155.9878 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D3 | $\mathrm{D}(4,3,6,9)$ | 83.7914 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D4 | $\mathrm{D}(5,3,6,7)$ | -158.6331 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D5 | $\mathrm{D}(5,3,6,8)$ | 85.2953 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D6 | $\mathrm{D}(5,3,6,9)$ | -34.9256 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D7 | D (27,3,6,7) | 82.4976 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D8 | D (27,3,6,8) | -33.574 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D9 | D (27,3,6,9) | -153.7949 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D10 | D(4,3,27,1) | -41.6832 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D11 | D(4,3,27,2) | 75.971 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D12 | $\mathrm{D}(4,3,27,41)$ | -151.1114 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D13 | $\mathrm{D}(5,3,27,1)$ | 74.5104 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D14 | $\mathrm{D}(5,3,27,2)$ | -167.8355 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D15 | D ( $5,3,27,41$ ) | -34.9179 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D16 | $\mathrm{D}(6,3,27,1)$ | -164.1098 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D17 | $\mathrm{D}(6,3,27,2)$ | -46.4556 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D18 | D (6,3,27,41) | 86.462 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D19 | $\mathrm{D}(3,6,9,10)$ | 52.7156 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D20 | $\mathrm{D}(3,6,9,11)$ | -63.9197 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D21 | $\mathrm{D}(3,6,9,12)$ | 172.7675 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D22 | $\mathrm{D}(7,6,9,10)$ | 176.4573 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D23 | $\mathrm{D}(7,6,9,11)$ | 59.822 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D24 | $\mathrm{D}(7,6,9,12)$ | -63.4908 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D25 | $\mathrm{D}(8,6,9,10)$ | -67.7626 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D26 | $\mathrm{D}(8,6,9,11)$ | 175.6021 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D27 | $\mathrm{D}(8,6,9,12)$ | 52.2893 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D28 | D ( $6,9,12,13$ ) | -47.4628 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D29 | D ( $6,9,12,14$ ) | 71.0427 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D30 | D ( $6,9,12,35$ ) | -168.079 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D31 | D (10,9,12,13) | 72.2443 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D32 | D ( $10,9,12,14$ ) | -169.2503 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D33 | D (10,9,12,35) | -48.372 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D34 | D (11,9,12,13) | -170.9518 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D35 | D (11,9,12,14) | -52.4463 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D36 | D (11,9,12,35) | 68.432 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D37 | $\mathrm{D}(9,12,35,30)$ | 41.939 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D38 | $\mathrm{D}(9,12,35,31)$ | -76.6235 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D39 | $\mathrm{D}(9,12,35,42)$ | 170.4111 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D40 | D(13,12,35,30) | -79.7119 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D41 | D(13,12,35,31) | 161.7255 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D42 | D(13,12,35,42) | 48.7601 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D43 | D(14,12,35,30) | 165.9711 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D44 | D(14,12,35,31) | 47.4085 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D45 | D(14,12,35,42) | -65.5568 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D46 | D (16,15,18,19) | -85.2953 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D47 | D(16,15,18,20) | 158.6331 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D48 | D(16,15,18,21) | 34.9256 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D49 | D(17,15,18,19) | 155.9878 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D50 | D(17,15,18,20) | 39.9161 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D51 | D(17,15,18,21) | -83.7914 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D52 | D(36,15,18,19) | 33.574 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D53 | $\mathrm{D}(36,15,18,20)$ | -82.4976 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D54 | D ( $36,15,18,21$ ) | 153.7949 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D55 | D ( $16,15,36,32$ ) | -74.5104 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D56 | D ( $16,15,36,33$ ) | 167.8355 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D57 | D ( $16,15,36,42)$ | 34.9179 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D58 | D (17,15,36,32) | 41.6832 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D59 | D (17,15,36,33) | -75.971 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D60 | D (17,15,36,42) | 151.1114 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D61 | D (18,15,36,32) | 164.1098 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D62 | D (18,15,36,33) | 46.4556 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D63 | D (18,15,36,42) | -86.462 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D64 | D (15,18,21,22) | 63.9197 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D65 | D ( $15,18,21,23$ ) | -52.7156 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D66 | $\mathrm{D}(15,18,21,24)$ | -172.7675 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D67 | D(19,18,21,22) | -175.6021 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D68 | D (19,18,21,23) | 67.7626 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D69 | $\mathrm{D}(19,18,21,24)$ | -52.2893 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D70 | D (20,18,21,22) | -59.822 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D71 | $\mathrm{D}(20,18,21,23)$ | -176.4573 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D72 | D ( $20,18,21,24)$ | 63.4908 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D73 | D (18,21,24,25) | -71.0427 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D74 | D (18,21,24,26) | 47.4628 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D75 | D (18,21,24,34) | 168.079 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D76 | D (22,21,24,25) | 52.4463 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D77 | $\mathrm{D}(22,21,24,26)$ | 170.9518 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D78 | D (22,21,24,34) | -68.432 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D79 | D (23,21,24,25) | 169.2503 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D80 | D (23,21,24,26) | -72.2443 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D81 | D ( $23,21,24,34)$ | 48.372 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D82 | D (21,24,34,28) | 76.6235 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D83 | $\mathrm{D}(21,24,34,29)$ | -41.939 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D84 | D (21,24,34,41) | -170.4111 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D85 | D ( $25,24,34,28)$ | -47.4085 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D86 | D (25,24,34,29) | -165.9711 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D87 | D ( $25,24,34,41)$ | 65.5568 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D88 | D (26,24,34,28) | -161.7255 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D89 | D (26,24,34,29) | 79.7119 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D90 | D (26,24,34,41) | -48.7601 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D91 | $\mathrm{D}(1,27,41,34)$ | 170.6807 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D92 | $\mathrm{D}(1,27,41,40)$ | -10.6448 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D93 | D (2,27,41,34) | 58.4965 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D94 | $\mathrm{D}(2,27,41,40)$ | -122.829 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D95 | $\mathrm{D}(3,27,41,34)$ | -72.8934 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D96 | $\mathrm{D}(3,27,41,40)$ | 105.7811 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D97 | D(24,34,41,27) | 95.1915 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D98 | D (24,34,41,39) | -84.4791 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D99 | D (28,34,41,27) | -147.4302 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D100 | D (28,34,41,39) | 32.8993 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D101 | D(29,34,41,27) | -31.8809 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D102 | D (29,34,41,39) | 148.4486 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D103 | D(12,35,42,36) | -95.1915 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D104 | $\mathrm{D}(12,35,42,37)$ | 84.4791 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D105 | D ( $30,35,42,36$ ) | 31.8809 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D106 | D (30,35,42,37) | -148.4486 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D107 | D ( $31,35,42,36$ ) | 147.4302 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D108 | D ( $31,35,42,37)$ | -32.8993 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D109 | D(15,36,42,35) | 72.8934 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D110 | $\mathrm{D}(15,36,42,38)$ | -105.7811 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D111 | D ( $32,36,42,35$ ) | -170.6807 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D112 | D ( $32,36,42,38)$ | 10.6448 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D113 | D ( $33,36,42,35$ ) | -58.4965 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D114 | D ( $33,36,42,38$ ) | 122.829 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |

" R bond length, A angles, D dihedral angles for the numbered atoms present in the Figure A3.

## $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{4+}$



Figure A4: Structural representation of $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4^{4+}}$ with the atoms numbering.
Table A7: Converging parameter for $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{4+}$.

| Item | Value | Threshold | Converged? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maximum Force | 0.000004 | 0.000450 | YES |
| RMS | 0.0000001 | 0.000300 | YES |


| Maximum <br> Displacement | 0.000626 | 0.001800 | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RMS Displacement | 0.000106 | 0.001200 | YES |
| Predicted change in Energy $=-1.588085 \mathrm{D}-09$ |  |  |  |
| Stationary point found. |  |  |  |

Table A8: Optimized parameters for the stationary point geometry found for $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Put}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) 4^{4+}$.

| Optimized Parameters |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Angstroms and Degrees) |  |  |  |  |
| "Name | Definition | Value | Derivative | Info. |
| R1 | $\mathrm{R}(1,2)$ | 1.5312 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R2 | $\mathrm{R}(1,3)$ | 1.536 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R3 | $\mathrm{R}(1,7)$ | 1.1003 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| R4 | $\mathrm{R}(1,8)$ | 1.0958 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R5 | $\mathrm{R}(2,4)$ | 1.5073 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R6 | $\mathrm{R}(2,5)$ | 1.0925 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R7 | $\mathrm{R}(2,6)$ | 1.0931 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R8 | $\mathrm{R}(3,9)$ | 1.5274 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R9 | $\mathrm{R}(3,10)$ | 1.0964 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R10 | $\mathrm{R}(3,11)$ | 1.099 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R11 | $\mathrm{R}(4,12)$ | 1.0216 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R12 | $\mathrm{R}(4,13)$ | 1.0228 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R13 | $\mathrm{R}(4,54)$ | 2.1051 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R14 | $\mathrm{R}(9,14)$ | 1.4998 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R15 | R $(9,15)$ | 1.0929 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R16 | $\mathrm{R}(9,16)$ | 1.0943 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R17 | $\mathrm{R}(14,17)$ | 1.0253 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R18 | $\mathrm{R}(14,18)$ | 1.0234 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R19 | $\mathrm{R}(14,53)$ | 2.1236 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R20 | $\mathrm{R}(19,20)$ | 1.5327 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R21 | $\mathrm{R}(19,21)$ | 1.5466 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R22 | $\mathrm{R}(19,22)$ | 1.0951 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R23 | $\mathrm{R}(19,23)$ | 1.0986 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R24 | $\mathrm{R}(20,24)$ | 1.0938 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R25 | $\mathrm{R}(20,25)$ | 1.0917 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R26 | $\mathrm{R}(20,28)$ | 1.5012 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R27 | $\mathrm{R}(21,26)$ | 1.0947 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R28 | $\mathrm{R}(21,27)$ | 1.0977 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R29 | $\mathrm{R}(21,34)$ | 1.531 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R30 | $\mathrm{R}(28,29)$ | 1.0226 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| R31 | $\mathrm{R}(28,30)$ | 1.0241 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R32 | $\mathrm{R}(28,53)$ | 2.1118 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R33 | $\mathrm{R}(31,32)$ | 1.0236 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R34 | $\mathrm{R}(31,33)$ | 1.0248 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R35 | $\mathrm{R}(31,34)$ | 1.4966 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R36 | $\mathrm{R}(31,54)$ | 2.1323 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R37 | $\mathrm{R}(34,35)$ | 1.0904 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| R38 | $\mathrm{R}(34,36)$ | 1.0945 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R39 | $\mathrm{R}(37,38)$ | 1.0265 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R40 | $\mathrm{R}(37,39)$ | 1.0243 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R41 | $\mathrm{R}(37,52)$ | 1.0243 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R42 | $\mathrm{R}(37,53)$ | 2.0762 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R43 | $\mathrm{R}(40,41)$ | 1.0251 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R44 | $\mathrm{R}(40,42)$ | 1.025 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R45 | $\mathrm{R}(40,51)$ | 1.0255 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R46 | $\mathrm{R}(40,53)$ | 2.0762 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R47 | $\mathrm{R}(43,44)$ | 1.0253 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R48 | $\mathrm{R}(43,45)$ | 1.0252 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R49 | $\mathrm{R}(43,50)$ | 1.0246 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R50 | $\mathrm{R}(43,54)$ | 2.0873 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R51 | $\mathrm{R}(46,47)$ | 1.0246 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R52 | $\mathrm{R}(46,48)$ | 1.0267 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R53 | $\mathrm{R}(46,49)$ | 1.0241 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| R54 | $\mathrm{R}(46,54)$ | 2.0657 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A1 | A $(2,1,3)$ | 112.5266 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A2 | A $(2,1,7)$ | 108.8387 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A3 | A $(2,1,8)$ | 110.2928 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A4 | A $(3,1,7)$ | 108.2391 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A5 | A(3,1,8) | 110.299 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A6 | A( $7,1,8$ ) | 106.4252 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A7 | A(1,2,4) | 111.7382 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A8 | A(1,2,5) | 111.6636 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A9 | A(1,2,6) | 110.7949 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A10 | A(4,2,5) | 107.8616 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A11 | A(4,2,6) | 107.8723 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A12 | A( $5,2,6$ ) | 106.6852 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A13 | A $(1,3,9)$ | 110.3352 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A14 | $\mathrm{A}(1,3,10)$ | 110.1882 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A15 | $\mathrm{A}(1,3,11)$ | 109.9655 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A16 | $\mathrm{A}(9,3,10)$ | 109.7874 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A17 | A(9,3,11) | 109.9312 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A18 | A(10,3,11) | 106.5631 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A19 | $\mathrm{A}(2,4,12)$ | 109.1917 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A20 | A $(2,4,13)$ | 108.7978 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A21 | A $(2,4,54)$ | 116.5228 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A22 | A(12,4,13) | 102.9026 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A23 | $\mathrm{A}(12,4,54)$ | 108.1741 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A24 | A(13,4,54) | 110.3766 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A25 | $\mathrm{A}(3,9,14)$ | 112.5829 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A26 | $\mathrm{A}(3,9,15)$ | 111.3211 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A27 | $\mathrm{A}(3,9,16)$ | 110.2015 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A28 | A $(14,9,15)$ | 108.0171 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A29 | A $(14,9,16)$ | 107.8436 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A30 | A( $15,9,16$ ) | 106.6296 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A31 | A $(9,14,17)$ | 106.7919 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A32 | A $(9,14,18)$ | 106.6259 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A33 | A $(9,14,53)$ | 125.4064 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A34 | A(17,14,18) | 104.4836 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A35 | A(17,14,53) | 102.8366 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A36 | A(18,14,53) | 108.8692 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A37 | A( $20,19,21$ ) | 112.6065 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A38 | A $(20,19,22)$ | 109.6305 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A39 | A $(20,19,23)$ | 110.2489 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A40 | A(21,19,22) | 108.087 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A41 | A(21,19,23) | 109.6931 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A42 | A( $22,19,23$ ) | 106.3594 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A43 | A(19,20,24) | 110.5092 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A44 | A $(19,20,25)$ | 111.487 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A45 | A $(19,20,28)$ | 114.1592 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A46 | A $(24,20,25)$ | 107.1631 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A47 | A $(24,20,28)$ | 107.1299 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A48 | A $(25,20,28)$ | 106.0174 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A49 | A $(19,21,26)$ | 108.29 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A50 | A(19,21,27) | 109.8405 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A51 | A $(19,21,34)$ | 111.5122 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A52 | A $(26,21,27)$ | 106.839 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A53 | A $(26,21,34)$ | 109.8877 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A54 | A $(27,21,34)$ | 110.3391 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A55 | A $(20,28,29)$ | 109.5235 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A56 | A (20,28,30) | 108.738 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A57 | A $(20,28,53)$ | 113.6737 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A58 | A $(29,28,30)$ | 103.0438 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A59 | A $(29,28,53)$ | 111.2845 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A60 | A $(30,28,53)$ | 110.0219 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A61 | A (32,31,33) | 105.1466 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A62 | A(32,31,34) | 107.826 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A63 | A(32,31,54) | 107.8398 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A64 | A(33,31,34) | 107.9534 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A65 | A(33,31,54) | 107.6036 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A66 | A(34,31,54) | 119.582 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A67 | A (21,34,31) | 115.2799 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A68 | A $(21,34,35)$ | 110.0014 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A69 | A (21,34,36) | 109.1787 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A70 | A( $31,34,35$ ) | 106.1969 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A71 | A $(31,34,36)$ | 107.0993 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A72 | A $(35,34,36)$ | 108.8813 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A73 | A(38,37,39) | 106.4575 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A74 | A (38,37,52) | 104.3845 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A75 | A $(38,37,53)$ | 110.4824 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A76 | A(39,37,52) | 103.7936 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| A77 | A ( $39,37,53$ ) | 114.4499 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A78 | A(52,37,53) | 116.3188 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A79 | A(41,40,42) | 104.4754 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A80 | A $(41,40,51)$ | 106.6119 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A81 | A(41,40,53) | 111.8962 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A82 | A(42,40,51) | 103.3594 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A83 | A(42,40,53) | 116.3022 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A84 | A(51,40,53) | 113.2449 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A85 | A(44, 43,45) | 106.726 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A86 | A (44, 43,50) | 103.8063 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A87 | A(44,43,54) | 112.4848 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A88 | A(45, 43,50) | 104.5744 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A89 | A (45,43,54) | 112.4887 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A90 | A(50,43,54) | 115.8824 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A91 | A (47,46,48) | 106.1717 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A92 | A $(47,46,49)$ | 103.6568 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A93 | A(47,46,54) | 113.8699 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A94 | A $(48,46,49)$ | 104.8836 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A95 | A (48,46,54) | 111.7452 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A96 | A $(49,46,54)$ | 115.5799 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A97 | A(14,53,28) | 94.603 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A98 | A(14,53,40) | 92.0842 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A99 | A $(28,53,37)$ | 93.185 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| A100 | A ( $37,53,40$ ) | 80.6098 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A101 | A $(4,54,31)$ | 92.2486 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A102 | A $(4,54,46)$ | 92.0513 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A103 | A $(31,54,43)$ | 93.7637 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| A104 | A(43,54,46) | 81.9644 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D1 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,2,4)$ | 162.9297 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D2 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,2,5)$ | -76.1614 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D3 | D (3,1,2,6) | 42.6095 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D4 | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,2,4)$ | 42.9613 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D5 | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,2,5)$ | 163.8702 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D6 | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,2,6)$ | -77.3589 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D7 | $\mathrm{D}(8,1,2,4)$ | -73.4427 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D8 | $\mathrm{D}(8,1,2,5)$ | 47.4662 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D9 | $\mathrm{D}(8,1,2,6)$ | 166.2371 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D10 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,9)$ | -173.2492 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D11 | D (2,1,3,10) | 65.3558 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D12 | D (2,1,3,11) | -51.8206 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D13 | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,3,9)$ | -52.9345 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D14 | D(7,1,3,10) | -174.3295 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D15 | D(7,1,3,11) | 68.494 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D16 | $\mathrm{D}(8,1,3,9)$ | 63.1267 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D17 | $\mathrm{D}(8,1,3,10)$ | -58.2683 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D18 | $\mathrm{D}(8,1,3,11)$ | -175.4448 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D19 | $\mathrm{D}(1,2,4,12)$ | -21.6936 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D20 | $\mathrm{D}(1,2,4,13)$ | 89.9133 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D21 | $\mathrm{D}(1,2,4,54)$ | -144.5695 | -DE/DX= | 0.0 |
| D22 | D(5,2,4,12) | -144.7889 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D23 | D(5,2,4,13) | -33.182 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D24 | $\mathrm{D}(5,2,4,54)$ | 92.3352 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D25 | $\mathrm{D}(6,2,4,12)$ | 100.3222 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D26 | $\mathrm{D}(6,2,4,13)$ | -148.0709 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D27 | D(6,2,4,54) | -22.5537 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D28 | $\mathrm{D}(1,3,9,14)$ | 166.905 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D29 | $\mathrm{D}(1,3,9,15)$ | 45.4432 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D30 | $\mathrm{D}(1,3,9,16)$ | -72.6537 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D31 | $\mathrm{D}(10,3,9,14)$ | -71.4623 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D32 | D(10,3,9,15) | 167.0759 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D33 | $\mathrm{D}(10,3,9,16)$ | 48.9791 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D34 | D (11,3,9,14) | 45.4561 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D35 | D(11,3,9,15) | -76.0057 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D36 | D(11,3,9,16) | 165.8975 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D37 | D (2,4,54,31) | 80.6271 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D38 | D (2,4,54,46) | -101.1881 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D39 | $\mathrm{D}(12,4,54,31)$ | -42.7759 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D40 | $\mathrm{D}(12,4,54,46)$ | 135.4089 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D41 | $\mathrm{D}(13,4,54,31)$ | -154.6545 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D42 | $\mathrm{D}(13,4,54,46)$ | 23.5302 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D43 | D ( $3,9,14,17)$ | 156.1036 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D44 | D (3,9,14,18) | 44.8345 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D45 | D (3,9,14,53) | -83.9476 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D46 | $\mathrm{D}(15,9,14,17)$ | -80.5728 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D47 | $\mathrm{D}(15,9,14,18)$ | 168.1581 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D48 | $\mathrm{D}(15,9,14,53)$ | 39.376 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D49 | $\mathrm{D}(16,9,14,17)$ | 34.3153 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D50 | $\mathrm{D}(16,9,14,18)$ | -76.9538 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D51 | $\mathrm{D}(16,9,14,53)$ | 154.2641 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D52 | $\mathrm{D}(9,14,53,28)$ | -17.3897 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D53 | $\mathrm{D}(9,14,53,40)$ | 159.3519 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D54 | D (17,14,53,28) | 104.3127 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D55 | $\mathrm{D}(17,14,53,40)$ | -78.9457 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D56 | $\mathrm{D}(18,14,53,28)$ | -145.2635 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D57 | D (18,14,53,40) | 31.4781 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D58 | D (21,19,20,24) | -63.1944 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D59 | D (21,19,20,25) | 55.8735 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D60 | $\mathrm{D}(21,19,20,28)$ | 175.9782 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D61 | D (22,19,20,24) | 57.175 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D62 | D (22,19,20,25) | 176.2429 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D63 | D (22,19,20,28) | -63.6524 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D64 | D (23,19,20,24) | 173.9393 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D65 | D (23,19,20,25) | -66.9928 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D66 | $\mathrm{D}(23,19,20,28)$ | 53.1119 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D67 | D (20,19,21,26) | 164.6114 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D68 | $\mathrm{D}(20,19,21,27)$ | 48.2769 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D69 | $\mathrm{D}(20,19,21,34)$ | -74.3656 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D70 | D (22,19,21,26) | 43.3579 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D71 | $\mathrm{D}(22,19,21,27)$ | -72.9765 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D72 | $\mathrm{D}(22,19,21,34)$ | 164.3809 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D73 | D (23,19,21,26) | -72.2116 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D74 | D (23,19,21,27) | 171.454 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D75 | $\mathrm{D}(23,19,21,34)$ | 48.8114 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D76 | D (19,20,28,29) | -54.6197 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D77 | D (19,20,28,30) | 57.2902 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D78 | $\mathrm{D}(19,20,28,53)$ | -179.7886 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D79 | $\mathrm{D}(24,20,28,29)$ | -177.308 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D80 | $\mathrm{D}(24,20,28,30)$ | -65.3981 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D81 | D (24,20,28,53) | 57.5231 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D82 | $\mathrm{D}(25,20,28,29)$ | 68.5033 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D83 | D (25,20,28,30) | -179.5868 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D84 | $\mathrm{D}(25,20,28,53)$ | -56.6656 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D85 | D (19,21,34,31) | 176.3628 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D86 | $\mathrm{D}(19,21,34,35)$ | 56.3505 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D87 | D (19,21,34,36) | -63.0704 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D88 | D (26,21,34,31) | -63.5508 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D89 | D (26,21,34,35) | 176.4369 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D90 | D (26,21,34,36) | 57.0159 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D91 | D (27,21,34,31) | 54.0065 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D92 | D (27,21,34,35) | -66.0058 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D93 | D (27,21,34,36) | 174.5733 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D94 | D (20,28,53,14) | 89.8114 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D95 | D (20,28,53,37) | -97.4306 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D96 | D (29,28,53,14) | -34.4113 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D97 | D (29,28,53,37) | 138.3466 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D98 | D ( $30,28,53,14$ ) | -147.9745 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D99 | D ( $30,28,53,37)$ | 24.7834 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D100 | D (32,31,34,21) | -49.5763 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D101 | D (32,31,34,35) | 72.5023 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D102 | D (32,31,34,36) | -171.271 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D103 | D (33,31,34,21) | 63.5469 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D104 | D (33,31,34,35) | -174.3745 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D105 | D (33,31,34,36) | -58.1478 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D106 | D(54,31,34,21) | -173.1206 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D107 | D (54,31,34,35) | -51.0421 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D108 | D (54,31,34,36) | 65.1846 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D109 | D (32,31,54,4) | -128.4102 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D110 | D (32,31,54,43) | 50.8183 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D111 | D (33,31,54,4) | 118.6299 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D112 | D (33,31,54,43) | -62.1416 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D113 | D (34,31,54,4) | -4.8725 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D114 | D (34,31,54,43) | 174.356 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D115 | D (38,37,53,28) | -107.1064 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D116 | D ( $38,37,53,40$ ) | 77.0211 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D117 | D ( $39,37,53,28$ ) | 132.7579 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D118 | D ( $39,37,53,40$ ) | -43.1146 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |


| D119 | D(52,37,53,28) | 11.62 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D120 | D (52,37,53,40) | -164.2525 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D121 | D (41,40,53,14) | -115.1971 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D122 | D (41,40,53,37) | 72.5696 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D123 | D (42,40,53,14) | 4.7481 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D124 | D (42,40,53,37) | -167.4852 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D125 | D(51,40,53,14) | 124.2741 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D126 | D (51,40,53,37) | -47.9592 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D127 | D (44,43,54,31) | 127.5712 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D128 | D (44,43,54,46) | -50.5385 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D129 | D ( $45,43,54,31)$ | -111.8677 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D130 | D ( $45,43,54,46)$ | 70.0226 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D131 | D ( $50,43,54,31)$ | 8.369 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D132 | D (50,43,54,46) | -169.7406 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D133 | D (47,46,54,4) | 138.5366 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D134 | D ( $47,46,54,43)$ | -40.4943 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D135 | D (48,46,54,4) | -101.1717 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D136 | D (48,46,54,43) | 79.7973 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D137 | D (49,46,54,4) | 18.664 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |
| D138 | D (49,46,54,43) | -160.3669 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |

*R bond length, A angles, D dihedral angles for the numbered atoms present in the Figure A4.

## Appendix B



Figure B1: FTIR spectrum ( $400-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2600-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) of the free ligand dihydrochloride spermidine.


Figure B2: FTIR spectrum ( $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2600-3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) of the free ligand dihydrochloride putrescine.


Figure B3: FTIR spectrum ( $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2600-3800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) of the free ligand neutral putrescine.

## Appendix C



Figure C1: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of FTIR (1050 - $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for HOb cell line, cisplatin combination vs cisplatin.


Figure C2: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of Raman ( $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for HOb cell line, cisplatin combination vs cisplatin.


Figure C3: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of FTIR (1050-1800 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) data for HOb cell line, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ combination vs $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$.
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Figure C4: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of Raman ( $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for HOb cell line, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ combination vs $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$.


Figure C5: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of FTIR (1050-1800 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) data for HOb cell line, $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ combination vs $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.


Figure C6: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of Raman ( $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for HOb cell line, $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ combination vs $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.


Figure C7: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of FTIR (1050 - $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for MG-63 cell line, cisplatin combination vs cisplatin.


Figure C8: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of Raman ( $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for MG-63 cell line, cisplatin combination vs cisplatin.


Figure C9: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of FTIR (1050 - $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for MG-63 cell line, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ combination vs $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$.


Figure C10: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of Raman ( $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for MG-63 cell line, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$ combination vs $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{SpmCl}_{4}$.


Figure C11: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of FTIR (1050-1800 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) data for MG-63 cell line, $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ combination vs $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.


Figure C12: PCA score (A) and loading plots (B) of Raman ( $600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) data for M;G-63 cell line, $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ combination $v s \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Spd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.

