

## **Introducing fuzzy set theory to evaluate risk of misclassification of land cover maps to land mapping applications: testing on coastal watersheds**

Zara Teixeira<sup>a\*</sup> Saulo Roberto de Oliveira Vital<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Ana Lúcia Vendel<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Juan Diego Lourenço de Mendonça<sup>e</sup>, Joana Patrício<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> MARE - Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, c/o Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of Coimbra. 3004 - 517 Coimbra. Portugal

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Applied Biological and Social Sciences, Paraíba State University, Campus V, Horacio Trajano Street, Cristo Redentor, 58070-450 João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> FAPESQ – Foundation for Research Support of the State of Paraíba, 58.429-690, Paraíba. Brazil

<sup>d</sup> UFPB – Universidade Federal da Paraíba. PRODEMA – Programa Regional de Pós-Graduação em Desenvolvimento e Meio Ambiente. 58.051-970. Paraíba. Brazil

<sup>e</sup> Postgraduate Program in Development and Environment (PRODEMA), Federal University of Paraíba (UFPB), CEP 58051-970, João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brasil

\* Corresponding author: Zara Teixeira

[zara.teixeira@uc.pt](mailto:zara.teixeira@uc.pt)

## Supplementary Methods

### 1. Selection of land cover rates

Land cover rates are highly dependent on the land mapping application. In this study, two land mapping applications were selected: Water-level attenuation role in the assessment of inundation extents during flood events in coastal areas; and Impervious quantifications for watershed management.

#### **Application 1| Water-level attenuation role in the assessment of inundation extents during flood events in coastal areas**

Assessments of coastal flood exposure and risk are required to inform mitigation and adaptation decisions to climate change (Dolan and Walker, 2004). From a socio-economic view, one of the most critical issues is to determine inundation extent in order to evaluate the risk to populations. Along with distance from coast and elevation, water-level attenuation from land cover plays a significant role in determining inundation extent in coastal areas. Mangroves in South Florida, for instance, have attenuated water-level during Hurricane Wilma up to 50 cm km<sup>-1</sup> (Liu *et al.* 2013); and urban and residential areas have been shown to significantly decrease inundation extent (Vafeidis *et al.* 2019). Vafeidis *et al.* (2019) present a set of water-level attenuation rates per land cover category, which we have adapted for the purpose of this study (Table SM.1).

**Table SM.1**

Maximum water-level attenuation rates per land cover category used to determine the relevance of classification errors (adapted from Vafeidis *et al.* 2019).

| Land use category | Maximum attenuation (cm km <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|-------------------|--|
| Urban             | 100  |
| Forest            | 50   |
| Mangroves         | 50   |
| Restinga          | 50   |
| Agriculture       | 40   |
| Aquaculture       | 0  |
| Water             | 0  |

## **Application 2 | Impervious quantifications for urban watershed management**

Land cover types, and their arrangement, have been used to explore variation in water quality (Alberti *et al.*, 2007; Shandas and Alberti, 2009; Teixeira and Marques, 2016), demonstrating that impervious surfaces contribute to declining water quality (Booth and Jackson, 1997; Schueler *et al.*, 2009), amplifying the transport of polluted stormwater runoff (Tang *et al.*, 2011). Although the general relationship between impervious surface and water quality is well-established, Schueler *et al.* (2009) found out that water quality varies greatly among watersheds with similar levels of impervious surface, as a result of different land cover patterns. According to these authors, in urban watersheds, the impact of stormwater runoff is, in part, determined by vegetation patterns. For one hand, forests absorb runoff with greater efficiency than grass (Brabec *et al.*, 2002) and agriculture (Wang *et al.*, 2018), although this pattern might change in steeper slopes (Wang *et al.*, 2018); for another, grass and agriculture tend to intensify pollution concentrations in surface water runoff due to the use of chemicals and fertilizers (St-Hilaire *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, though studies have shown that the effectiveness of riparian buffers decreases in urban watersheds (Pratt and Chang, 2012; Walsh *et al.*, 2004), these vegetated zones still play a significant role in halting surface runoff (Boongaling *et al.*, 2018) and decreasing water pollution (Chua *et al.*, 2019; St-Hilaire *et al.*, 2016). Based on the information provided by the literature, the impervious rate of our seven land categories was established (Table SM.2). Although forest is frequently considered a sink, a rate of 10 was assigned to this category to account for forests associated with steep slopes (Wang *et al.* 2018).

**Table SM.2**

Imperviousness rates per land cover category used to determine the relevance of classification errors.

| Land use category     | Impervious rate |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Urban                 | 100             |
| Agriculture           | 30              |
| Forest                | 10              |
| Aquaculture (Unknown) | 0               |
| Mangroves             | 0               |
| Restinga              | 0               |
| Water                 | 0               |

## 2. Calculation of land cover rates' differences

Once the land cover rates have been established (Table SM.1 and Table SM.2), the difference between the land cover rates is calculated (Table SM.3).

**Table SM.3**

Difference between the rates for two land applications.

|   | agriculture | aquaculture | mangrove | restinga | urban | water | rainforest |
|---|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|------------|
| <b>Application 1   Water-level attenuation role in the assessment of inundation extents during flood events</b> |             |             |          |          |       |       |            |
| agriculture   | 0           |             |          |          |       |       |            |
| aquaculture   | 40          | 0           |          |          |       |       |            |
| mangrove  | 10          | 50          | 0        |          |       |       |            |
| restinga  | 10          | 50          | 0        | 0        |       |       |            |
| urban   | 60          | 100         | 50       | 50       | 0     |       |            |
| water   | 40          | 0           | 50       | 50       | 100   | 0     |            |
| rainforest  | 10          | 50          | 0        | 0        | 50    | 50    | 0          |
| <b>Application 2   Impervious quantifications for urban watershed management</b>                                |             |             |          |          |       |       |            |
| agriculture   | 0           |             |          |          |       |       |            |
| aquaculture   | 30          | 0           |          |          |       |       |            |
| mangrove  | 30          | 0           | 0        |          |       |       |            |
| restinga  | 30          | 0           | 0        | 0        |       |       |            |
| urban   | 70          | 100         | 100      | 100      | 0     |       |            |
| water   | 30          | 0           | 0        | 0        | 100   | 0     |            |
| rainforest  | 20          | 10          | 10       | 0        | 90    | 10    | 0          |

## References

1. Alberti, M., Booth, D., Hill, K., Coburn, B., Avolio, C., Coe, S., Spirandelli, D. 2007. The impact of urban patterns on aquatic ecosystems: an empirical analysis in Puget lowland sub-basins. *Landscape Urban Planning*. 80:345–361. DOI:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2006.08.001
2. Brabec, E., Schulte, S., Richards, P.L. 2002. Impervious surface and water quality: a review of current literature and its implications for watershed planning. *Journal of Planning Literature*. 16:499–514. DOI:10.1177/088541202400903563
3. Boongaling, C.G.K., Faustino-Eslava, D.V., Lansigan, F.P. 2018. Modeling land use change impacts on hydrology and the use of landscape metrics as tools for watershed management: The case of an ungauged catchment in the Philippines. *Land Use Policy*. 72:116-128. DOI: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.12.042
4. Booth, D.B. and Jackson, C.R. 1997. Urbanization of aquatic systems: degradation thresholds, stormwater detection, and the limits of mitigation. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*. 33(5):107–109 DOI:10.1111/j.1752-1688.1997.tb04126.x
5. Chua, E.M., Wilson, S.P., Vink, S., Flint, N. 2019. The influence of riparian vegetation on water quality in a mixed land use river basin. *River Research and Applications*. 35(3):259-267. DOI:10.1002/rra.3410
6. Dolan, A.H. and Walker, I.J. 2004. Understanding vulnerability of Coastal Communities to Climate Change Related Risks. *Journal of Coastal Reserach*. SI 39: 1316-1323.
7. Liu, H. Q., Zhang, K. Q., Li, Y. P., and Xie, L. 2013. Numerical study of the sensitivity of mangroves in reducing storm surge and flooding to hurricane characteristics in southern Florida. *Continental Shelf Research*. 64:51–65. DOI:10.1016/j.csr.2013.05.015
8. Pratt, B. and Chang, H. 2012. Effects of land-cover, topography, and build structures on seasonal water quality at multiple scales. *Journal of Hazard Materials*. 209–210:48–58. DOI:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.12.068
9. Shandas, V. and Alberti, M. 2009. Exploring the role of vegetation fragmentation on aquatic conditions: linking upland riparian areas in Puget Sound lowland streams. *Landscape Urban Planning*. 90:66–75. DOI:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2008.10.016
10. Schueler, T.R., Fraley-McNeal, L., Cappiella, K. 2009. Is impervious cover still important? Review of recent research. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*. 14(4):309–315.
11. St-Hilaire, A., Duchesne, S., Rousseau, A.N. 2016. Floods and water quality in Canada: A review of the interactions with urbanization, agriculture and forestry. *Canadian Water Resources Journal*. 41(1-2): 273-287. DOI:10.1080/07011784.2015.1010181
12. Tang, L., Yang, D., Hu, H., Gao, B. 2011. Detecting the effect of land-use change on streamflow, sediment and nutrient losses by distributed hydrological simulation. *Journal of Hydrology*. 409:172-182. DOI:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.08.015
13. Teixeira, Z. and Marques, J.C. 2016. Relating landscape to stream nitrate-N levels in a coastal eastern-Atlantic watershed (Portugal), *Ecological Indicators*. 61, 2:693 - 706. DOI:10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.10.021
14. Vafeidis, A.T., Schuerch, M., Wolff, C., Spencer, T., Merkens, J.L., Hinkel, J., Lincke, D., Brown, S., Nicholls, R.J. 2019. Water-level attenuation in global-scale assessments of exposure to coastal flooding: a sensitivity analysis. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*. 19: 973–984. DOI:10.5194/nhess-19-973-2019

15. Walsh, C.J., Papas, P.J., Crowther, D., Sim, P.T., Yoon, J. 2004. Stormwater drainage pipes as a threat to a stream-dwelling amphipod of conservation significance, *Austrogammarus Australis*, in SouthEastern Australia. *Biodiversity & Conservation*. 13:781–793. DOI: 10.1023/B:BIOC.0000011726.38121.b0
16. Wang, C.Y., Shang, S.H., Jia, D.D., Han, Y.P., Sauvage, S., Sanchez-Perez, J.M., Kuramochi, K., Hatano, R. 2018. Integrated Effects of Land Use and Topography on Streamflow Response to Precipitation in an Agriculture-Forest Dominated Northern Watershed. *Water*. 10(5). DOI:10.3390/w10050633