Single nanomolar doxorubicin exposure triggers compensatory mitochondrial responses in H9c2 cardiomyoblasts
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ABSTRACT

Dose-dependent and cumulative cardiotoxicity associated with doxorubicin (DOX) is the main limitation of anticancer therapy. Pediatric cancer survivors are particularly vulnerable, and no effective prevention measures are available. The aim of the present study was to investigate the persistent effects of nanomolar DOX concentrations and determine whether a pretreatment would induce mitochondrial adaptations in H9c2 cardiomyoblasts. H9c2 cells were incubated with DOX (10 and 25 nM) for 24 h, followed by 9 days of recovery in drug-free medium. We found that the sub-therapeutic DOX treatment induced persistent hypertrophy and dose-dependent cell cycle arrest in G2/M. Glycolytic activity, indirectly based on extracellular acidification rate, and basal respiration were significantly decreased in DOX-treated cells compared to controls, although both groups showed similar maximal respiration. Additionally, nanomolar DOX pretreatment resulted in upregulation of mitochondrial DNA transcripts accompanied by a decrease in DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) and global methylation levels. Finally, the pretreatment with DOX ameliorated H9c2 cells resistance against a subsequent exposure to DOX. These results suggest that nanomolar DOX pretreatment induced a beneficial and possibly epigenetic-based mitochondrial adaptation, raising the possibility that an early sub-therapeutic DOX treatment can be used as a preconditioning and protective approach during anticancer therapies.

1. Introduction

Doxorubicin (DOX) is an anthracycline and one of the most effective and frequently used drugs prescribed for the treatment of cancer. DOX has shown efficacy against solid tumors, leukemias and lymphomas, including Hodgkin's lymphoma, bladder, breast and thyroid cancers, multiple myeloma and others (Bonadonna et al., 1969, 1970). DNA intercalation (Coldwell et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2014) and topoisomerase IIα (TOPIIα) inhibition (Nitiss, 2009) are the most widely accepted mechanisms for DOX antineoplastic activity. However, the clinical use of DOX is limited by its dose-dependent and cumulative cardiotoxicity (Von Hoff et al., 1979), mostly expressed as cardiomyopathy and congestive heart failure (Minotti et al., 2004). Delayed onset manifestation of DOX-toxicity is a major concern, especially in pediatric patients (Goerin et al., 1990; Lipshultz et al., 1991). This manifestation can appear many years after the completion of the therapy and was first described in the 1990’s (Goerin et al., 1990; Lipshultz et al., 1991). The most employed strategy to prevent DOX-induced cardiotoxicity is the limitation of cumulative DOX doses, which may translate into lower cancer response rates (Wouters et al., 2005).

Pathophysiologically, a panoply of mechanisms underlies DOX-cardiotoxicity, making the identification of clear prevention or treatment strategies difficult. However, the over-production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), generated predominantly in cardiac mitochondria, is considered the primary initiating event in the cascade of intracellular modifications. In mitochondria, DOX is mostly reduced by NADH dehydrogenase and undergoes redox cycling, generating ROS in the process (Doroshow and Davies, 1986). The excessive generation of ROS causes damage to many cellular structures, and mitochondrial dysfunction is considered a hallmark of DOX-induced cardiotoxicity (Berthaume and Wallace, 2007a; Serrano et al., 1999; Zhou et al., 2001a). Besides lipid peroxidation and DNA oxidation, defects on respiratory chain/oxidative phosphorylation, decreased production of ATP, unbalanced cellular calcium homeostasis, and a metabolic switch
were also observed and suggested to act synergistically in cellular dysfunction (Ascensao et al., 2011; Carvalho et al., 2010; Lv et al., 2012; Wallace, 2007). In addition, DOX not only has a high affinity for nuclear DNA, leading to inhibition of nucleic acid synthesis and transcription, but also promotes the formation of protein-linked DNA double strand breaks by forming a ternary TOPIIβ-DOX-DNA complex (Zhang et al., 2012). In contrast to TOPIIα that is mostly present in proliferating cells, TOPIIβ is found predominantly in non-proliferating cells, such as adult cardiomyocytes (Capranico et al., 1992). In cultured cells, DOX treatments induced morphological alterations including breakage of the mitochondrial network or increase of nuclear area, along with increased p53-induced apoptosis and mitochondrial depolarization (Sardao et al., 2009a, b). The toxic effects of DOX have also been extensively studied in various animal models (Desai et al., 2013; Niu et al., 2009; Oliveira et al., 2004; Pereira et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2001b; Zhu et al., 2008). DOX exposure was also associated with persistent alterations of gene expression (Berthiaume and Wallace, 2007b) and severe defects on functionally competent cardiac progenitor cells (CPC) (De Angelis et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2010). CPC are particularly susceptible to DOX, which is a critical factor for neovascularization and sustainability of functional resiliency in cardiac tissue (De Angelis et al., 2010). This capacity for cardiac regeneration is especially important during the first years of life, since regenerative potential has been described to decrease with age.

Adaptive responses have been investigated in the context of cell toxicology. This phenomenon is based on the notion that low doses of toxic agents stimulate adaptive mechanisms, resulting in less adverse effects after a subsequent larger exposure. It has been previously shown that DOX treatment in vivo causes adaptive response that include reprogramming of metabolic flux from glycolysis to fatty acid oxidation (Carvalho et al., 2010). Our hypothesis is that nanomolar concentrations of DOX confer H9c2 cells protection against a second DOX treatment at higher doses, due to a mitochondrial adaptive-like effect. Therefore, the relevance of the results is that pretreatment with low, sub-clinical doses of DOX may induce a cardiac adaptive response, thereby improving the overall success of DOX-based chemotherapies, by decreasing cardiotoxicity events.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Cell culture

H9c2 cells are a particularly popular rat heart-derived cell line, frequently used as a model of cardiac myocytes (Kimes and Brandt, 1976). However, unlike cardiomyocytes, H9c2 are proliferating cells and possess some features of skeletal muscle (Kimes and Brandt, 1976; Lenco et al., 2015), resembling immature myogenic cells or, ultimately, undifferentiated cardiac cells. H9c2(2-1), a subclone of the original clonal cell line H9c2, was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC® CRL-1446®). Cells were cultured in 25 mM glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM D5648, Sigma) supplemented with 1.5 g/l of sodium bicarbonate (S6014, Sigma), antibiotic/antimycotic solution (15240-062, Gibco) and 10% fetal bovine serum (S1828, Sigma) was prepared freshly in water at a 10 mM stock concentration; 0.5% v/v of penicillin/streptomycin (15140-122, Gibco), 10% fetal bovine serum (S1828, Sigma) was prepared freshly in water at a 10 mM stock concentration; 0.5% v/v of penicillin/streptomycin (15140-122, Gibco), 10% fetal bovine serum (S1828, Sigma) was prepared freshly in water at a 10 mM stock concentration; 0.5% v/v of penicillin/streptomycin (15140-122, Gibco) and 10% fetal bovine serum (S1828, Sigma) was prepared freshly in water at a 10 mM stock concentration.

2.2. Cell treatments and experimental design

The experimental design is shown in Figs. 1A and 6A. DOX (D1515, Sigma) was prepared freshly in water at a 10 mM stock concentration; intermediary dilutions of this working stock were also made in water. If not indicated otherwise, cells were seeded at a density of 1.8 × 10^5 cells/cm². One day post-seeding, H9c2 cells were exposed to DOX (10–25 nM) for 24 h, after which the cells were rinsed with phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS) and the culture medium replaced. After three days of recovery, H9c2 cells were passaged (CTR 1:3, DOX-treated cells 1:2), and this step was repeated again at day 6 (starting from the recovery time). In total, four time-points were established for the pre-exposure period; days 0, 3, 6, and 9 (Fig. 1A). For the second exposure (Fig. 6A), H9c2 cells were re-seeded on day 9 at a density of 6.0 × 10^3 cells/cm²; 24 h later they were incubated with DOX, 0.2, 0.5 and 1 μM) or iodoacetate (2 μM), an irreversible inhibitor of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) for further 24 h. DOX concentrations were chosen based on human plasma kinetics studies which reported initial peak plasma concentrations of 0.2–5 μM that further decline to nanomolar concentrations within 1 h (Greene et al., 1983).

2.3. Cell mass measurements

Cell mass was measured by the sulfonrhodamine B (SRB) assay (Vichai and Kiritkara, 2006). Briefly, cells were seeded at 6.0 × 10^3 cells/cm² in 48-well plates at a final volume of 500 μl per well. At the respective time-points the medium was removed, the wells rinsed with PBS and the cells fixed overnight with ice-cold acetic acid/methanol (1% v/v) at −20 °C. Following fixation, plates were dried and incubated with 200 μl of SRB 0.05% (w/v) (S9012, Sigma) in 1% acetic acid for 1 h at 37 °C. The unbound dye was then removed by washing the wells with 1% acetic acid and the plates were dried again. Finally, 1 ml of Tris (10 mM, pH 10) was added to the plates to dissolve SRB and optical density was read at 530 nm.

2.4. Metabolic cell viability determination using resazurin assay

The resazurin assay is based on the ability of viable cells to reduce resazurin into resorufin, whose fluorescence is then indicative of the cellular redox activity. Cells were seeded at a density of 6.0 × 10^3 cells/cm² in 96-well plates. The working resazurin solution was prepared in cell culture medium immediately prior the assay, at a concentration of 10 μg/ml (R7017, Sigma). At the proper time-point, cell medium was removed from the plate and 80 μl of resazurin solution was added in each well and incubated for 90 min at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Each independent replicate had four technical replicates in the same plate. Fluorescence was measured using the excitation wavelength of 540 nm and emission of 590 nm.

2.5. Mitochondrial transmembrane electric potential measurements

Tetramethylrhodamine ethyl ester perchlorate (TMRE⁰) is a cationic, lipophilic fluorescent dye that accumulates in the negatively charged mitochondrial matrix and can be used to assess changes in mitochondrial transmembrane potential (ΔΨm) (Brand and Nicholls, 2011). TMRE⁰ (115532-52-0, Santa Cruz) stock was prepared at a concentration of 10 μg/ml in DMSO and stored at −20 °C. Working stocks of 50 μM were also made by dilution in DMSO. H9c2 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 6.0 × 10^3 cells/cm² in a final volume of 200 μl/ml. Each sample had at least six technical replicates in the same plate. At the proper time-point the wells were washed with PBS and incubated in the dark for 1 h at 37 °C with 100 μl of basal medium (109.5 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 0.91 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1 mM MgCl₂, 25 mM glucose, 25 mM Heps, pH 7.4) supplemented with 300 nM of TMRE⁰. The basal TMRE⁰ fluorescence intensity was measured during 15 min in a plate reader with 549 nm excitation and 575 nm emission. Oligomycin and FCCP (final concentration of 2 μg/ml and 2.5 μM, respectively) were added to the wells to dissipate ΔΨm and unquench TMRE⁰ from mitochondria, and a kinetic curve for fluorescence was generated for 10 min with 1 min intervals. Finally, Hoechst 33342 (1 μg/ml B2261, Sigma) was added to the medium and after 5 min the fluorescence was measured at an excitation wavelength of 355 nm and an emission of 465 nm. The results were calculated by the...
difference between the maximum and the baseline values of TMRE$^+$ in quenching mode and normalized by Hoechst intensity.

2.6. Live epifluorescence microscopy

For epifluorescence microscopy, cells were seeded in 6 well-plates with a glass coverslip in each well, at a density of $2.2 \times 10^4$ cells/cm$^2$ in a final volume of 2 ml per well. At the proper time-points, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with the fluorescent probes for 30 min, at 37°C in the dark. TMRE$^+$ (50 nM; 115532-52-0, Santa Cruz) and Hoechst 33342 (0.75 μg/ml; B2261, Sigma) were added in microscopy solution buffer (120 mM NaCl, 3.5 mM KCl, 400 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 20 mM Hepes, 1.2 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$, 15 mM glucose, pH 7.4) supplemented with 1.2 mM and 1.3 mM of MgCl$_2$ and CaCl$_2$, respectively. Images were obtained using a Nikon eclipse Ti-S fluorescence microscope and processed using the software ImageJ 1.49v.

2.7. Cell cycle analysis

H9c2 cells were trypsinized, centrifuged at 250 × g for 3 min, washed with PBS and fixed overnight by adding 70% ice-cold ethanol (−20°C). Cells were then centrifuged at 400 × g at room temperature (RT) and ethanol was discarded. Cells were washed with filtered PBS and, after a second centrifugation, resuspended in 500 μl of a PBS/EDTA 2 mM/Tween 0.1% solution supplemented with RNase (20 μg/ml; 12091-021, Invitrogen). The samples were left at RT for 30 min. Finally, propidium iodide (P3566, Molecular Probes) was added to the solution at a final concentration of 20 μg/ml and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. The DNA content was analyzed following 20,000 events per sample by using an Accuri® C6 flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). The percentage of cells in G1/G0, S and G2/M was determined using FlowJo V10 software (Ashland, OR, USA).

2.8. Mitochondrial oxygen consumption rates

Mitochondrial profile provided by Seahorse XF Cell Mito Stress Test (OCR: oxygen consumption rate) and glycolysis rate based on the XF Glycolysis Stress Test (ECAR: extracellular acidification rate) were determined using a Seahorse XF96 analyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). H9c2 cells were seeded on XF96 cell culture plates ($1.5 \times 10^4$ cells/80 μL/well) in triplicate and cultured for 24 h at 37°C incubated at 37°C without CO$_2$. A constant volume of 25 μl of the assay medium for OCR and ECAR measurements, respectively) and the plate was washed with PBS and incubated with the fluorescent probes for 30 min at 37°C. The DNA content was analyzed following 20,000 events per sample by using an Accuri® C6 flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). The percentage of cells in G1/G0, S and G2/M was determined using FlowJo V10 software (Ashland, OR, USA).

2.9. Adenine nucleotide measurement (ATP/ADP/AMP)

ATP, ADP and AMP levels were measured by HPLC. All steps were carried out on ice or at 4°C. For the nucleotides extraction, cells were rinsed with PBS, followed by the addition of 500 μl ice-cold 0.6 M HClO$_4$ to each dish. The dishes were washed and the lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 × g. The resulting pellet was re-suspended in 500 μl of 1 M NaOH for posterior protein quantification, while the supernatant was neutralized with 3 M KOH/1.5 M Tris, and centrifuged again for 10 min at 14,000 × g. The supernatant was collected and immediately analyzed by reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), at a wavelength of 254 nm. The chromatographic apparatus was a Waters’ Breeze™ system, consisting of a 1525 Binary HPLC Pump and a Waters’ 2487 Dual Wavelength Absorbance Detector. The column was a LiChrospher® 100 RP-18 (5 μm) LiChroCART™ 125-4 (Merck) and the analysis was performed using the software Waters’ Breeze™ HPLC software. An isotropic elution with 100 mM phosphate buffer (KH$_2$PO$_4$, pH 6.5 and 1.2% (v/v) methanol was performed with a flow rate of 1 ml/min. To determine the nucleotide concentrations, standard curves of ATP, ADP and AMP were previously run. The required time for each analysis was 5.5 min. The adenylate energy charge (EC) was then calculated as EC = $\frac{(\text{ATP} + \frac{1}{2}\text{ADP})}{(\text{ATP} + \text{ADP} + \text{AMP})}$.

2.10. Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted using Aurum™ Total RNA Mini Kit (Bio-Rad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was obtained by retrotranscription of 2 μg of total RNA with iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, CA, USA), in a final 40 μl volume. The RT-qPCR mixture contained 5 μl of SsoFast™ EvaGreen® supermix (Bio-Rad, CA, USA), 500 nM of each primer and 12.5 ng of cDNA sample, to a total volume of 10 μl. The reaction was run on a CFX™ Real-Time system (Bio-Rad, CA, USA) according to the following protocol: 30 s at 95°C, 40 cycles of 5 s at 95°C and 5 s at 62°C and, at the end, an additional step (temperature ramp from 65°C to 95°C with increments of 0.5°C per cycle) was used for the melting curves. The expression was normalized with TBP (TATA box binding protein) and 18S (18S ribosomal RNA) as references. All samples were analyzed in duplicate. The PCR primers are detailed in Supplemental table S1.

2.11. Mitochondrial DNA copy number

Total DNA was extracted by PureLink™ Genomic DNA MiniKit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Mitochondrial DNA content was measured by a real-time qPCR method. Cyt B gene was used to represent the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and b2m gene was used to represent nuclear DNA (nDNA). Relative mtDNA copy numbers were assessed after Cyt B normalization by b2m gene copy number. Rat primers for Cyt B were: forward 5‘-TACGCTATTCTACGCTCCATTC-3′, reverse 5‘-GCCTCCGATTCATGTGTTG-3′, reverse 5′-CGACCGCACACTATAGGGAC-3′. The 10 μl PCR reaction contained 5 μl of SsoFast™ EvaGreen® supermix (Bio-Rad), 500 nM of each DNA primer and 25 ng of total DNA template. Amplification program consisted in 2 min at 98°C, followed by 40 cycles of 5 s at 98°C and 5 s at 62°C. The amplification specificity was assessed at the end of the amplification by a melting curve between 65°C and 95°C, using an increment of 0.5°C in each step. The reactions were performed on a CFX™ Real-Time system (Bio-Rad, CA, USA). The normalized expression was calculated by the comparative quantification algorithm ΔΔCt (CFX Manager™ 3.1 software, Bio-Rad).

2.12. Measurement of nuclear DNA methyltransferase I (DNMT1)

Nuclear fractions were obtained according to a subcellular fractioning protocol described elsewhere (Dimarou et al., 2012), with slight modifications. Briefly, cells were scraped from the dishes with PBS/EDTA and centrifuged at 200 × g for 4 min. The pellets were washed with 1 ml of cold PBS and centrifuged again at 300 × g for 7 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 500 μl of STM buffer (250 mM sucrose, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 5 mM MgCl$_2$; supplemented with 1 μl/ml protease inhibitor cocktail (P8340, Sigma-Aldrich) and homogenized using a tight pestle attached to a potter
homogenizer (Heidolph, Germany) set at 500 rpm and with 50 strokes. After 30 min on ice, the cell homogenate was vortexed at maximum speed and further centrifuged at 700 \( \times g \) for 15 min. The pellet was resuspended in STM (250 μl), vortexed for 15 s and centrifuged at 500 \( \times g \) for 15 min. This last wash was repeated again but followed by a 1000 \( \times g \) centrifugation. Then, the pellet was resuspended in NET buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 20% glycerol and 1% Triton-X-100; supplemented with 1 μl/ml protease inhibitor cocktail), vortexed for 15 s and incubated on ice for 30 min. Lastly, the crude nuclear fraction was lysed by sonication (3 × 10 s, with 20 s pauses) and, after a centrifugation of 9000 \( \times g \) for 30 min, the supernatant was recovered as the final nuclear fraction.

Nuclear DNMT1 amounts were determined using a DNMT1 ELISA kit (ab113469, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and by reading the absorbance in a Cytation™ 3 microplate reader (BioTek, USA) at 450 nm.

2.13. Immunostaining

For immunocytochemistry, H9c2 cells were seeded in 6 well-plates with a glass coverslip in each well, at a density of 8.0 \( \times 10^5 \) cells/cm², in a final volume of 2 ml per well. The wells were washed twice with filtered PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min. Then, cells were washed three times with PBS-T (PBS + 0.05% Tween 20) and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS (2 min, RT). Cells were washed twice with PBS-T and treated with HCl 2 N (20 min, RT) to denature the DNA and allow binding of the primary antibody to methylated DNA. After five washes with PBS-T, cells were blocked with 3% BSA in PBS-T (30 min, 37 °C). The anti-5-methylcytosine (5mC) antibody (ab73938, Abcam; 1:100 in blocking solution) was added and incubated for 5 h at 4 °C. Cells were then rinsed with PBS-T three times for 5 min. Primary antibody was detected using Alexa Fluor-488 conjugated secondary antibody (A11001, Invitrogen; 1:200 in blocking solution) after 2 h incubation at RT in the dark. Next, cells were incubated with Hoechst 33342 in PBS-T (3 μg/ml; B2261, Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min at RT, followed by two washes with PBS-T for 2 min, and mounted with Fluorescence Mounting Medium (S3023, DAKO). Images were taken with a Nikon eclipse Ti-S fluorescence microscope.

2.14. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 23.0 (IBM, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis H-test followed by Dunn’s post hoc analysis were used. The data is presented as mean ± SEM. Differences were considered statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

3. Results

3.1. Effects of DOX pretreatment on cell viability

In our experimental design, we tested therapeutically relevant concentrations of DOX (Greene et al., 1983) and investigated the long-term effects of that treatment after a prolonged recovery time. For this, H9c2 cells were treated with DOX for 24 h, after which the cells were washed and kept in culture for nine days more, being passaged twice (Fig. 1A). The SRB assay was used to investigate the effects of DOX on cell mass, at four distinct time-points (Fig. 1B). After the initial treatment with DOX (10–50 nM) (0 days of recovery), no significant differences were observed on cell mass between control group and DOX-treated cells. However, at the second time-point (3 days) significant loss of total cell mass was observed, which seemed to gradually recover at the two last time points. We next evaluated the effects of the sub-toxic DOX concentrations (10 and 25 nM) on metabolic viability (Fig. 1C). Even though 25 nM DOX significantly decreased resazurin reduction at days 3, 6 and 9, an overall improvement of cell viability was observed over time. The concentrations of 10 and 25 nM were selected for the next follow-up experiments.

3.2. DOX treatment resulted in hypertrophy and cell cycle arrest

To determine alterations on H9c2 cell morphology and \( \Delta \Psi_m \) after 0 and 9 days of recovery, control and 25 nM DOX-treated cells were...
labeled with Hoechst and TMRE + and visualized by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2A). Immediately after the initial 24 h treatment with DOX, no morphological differences were found between groups (Fig. 2A). However, at day 9, significantly larger nuclei were observed in 25 nM DOX-treated cells compared to non-treated cells (Fig. 2C). Also, treated cells were hypertrophied, with average total cellular area significantly larger than in the controls (Fig. 2B). Similar, but milder, morphological changes were observed in cells treated with 10 nM DOX (data not shown). For the analyses of ΔΨm, the membrane permeable cation TMRE + was used, which accumulates in the mitochondrial matrix depending on the ΔΨm. High accumulation of the dye inside mitochondria leads to fluorescence quenching, which means the total dye fluorescence decreases as the organelles accumulate TMRE +. DOX effect on ΔΨm in H9c2 cells was analyzed using the quenching
Our results showed no significant changes on $\Delta \Psi_m$ in DOX-treated H9c2 cells, compared to controls (Fig. 2D). We next evaluated DOX persistent effects on cell cycle, also at the last time-point. At day 9, DOX treatment induced a cell cycle arrest in G2/M (Fig. 2E). Unlike control cells, in which the majority of H9c2 cells (≈67%) were in G1/G0 phase, DOX-treated cells had a dose-dependent increase of cells in G2/M and a reduction in the population of cells in S and G1/G0. As a consequence, DOX-treated cells exhibited a slower proliferation rate, observed by the growth curve performed from day 7 to day 9 (Fig. 2F).

3.3. DOX decreased extracellular acidification and reduced basal respiration of H9c2 cells

DOX cardiotoxicity has been previously shown to alter cellular metabolic fluxes (Carvalho et al., 2010; Strigun et al., 2012). Our next step was to evaluate whether DOX altered the OCR and ECAR at nanomolar treatments. At day 9, OCR and ECAR were measured using a Seahorse XF96 Extracellular Flux analyzer. Cells treated with 25 nM DOX had decreased glycolysis compared to control cells (~60% less ECAR), as well as decreased glycolytic capacity and reserve, indicative of impaired glycolytic metabolism (Fig. 3A and B). Regarding OCR measurements, basal respiration and ATP production-dependent respiration (measurement prior to oligomycin injection minus minimal measurement after oligomycin injection), glycolysis (maximal measurement after glucose injection minus non-glycolytic acidification), glycolytic reserve (maximal measurement after oligomycin injection and maximal measurement after glucose injection) and non-glycolytic acidification (measurement prior to glucose addition). (E–F) ATP/ADP and energy charge were calculated after quantification by high-performance liquid chromatography. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM of at least four independent experiments; *p < 0.05, compared to control.

Fig. 3. Effects of DOX on H9c2 cells extracellular acidification rate (ECAR), oxygen consumption rate (OCR) and ATP/ADP levels. Cells incubated with 0 and 25 nM DOX were evaluated in terms of ECAR (A–B) and OCR (C–D). Several parameters were analyzed in both cases: cell basal respiration (measurement prior to oligomycin addition minus non-mitochondrial OCR, described below), proton leak (minimal measurement after oligomycin injection minus non-mitochondrial OCR), maximal respiration (maximal measurement after FCCP addition minus non-mitochondrial OCR), spare respiratory capacity (maximal measurement after FCCP addition minus basal respiration), non-mitochondrial respiration (minimal measurement after rotenone and antimycin A addition), ATP production-dependent respiration (measurement prior to oligomycin addition minus minimal measurement after oligomycin injection), glycolysis (maximal measurement after glucose injection minus non-glycolytic acidification, described below), glycolytic capacity (maximal measurement after oligomycin injection minus non-glycolytic acidification), glycolytic reserve (maximal measurement after oligomycin injection minus maximal measurement after glucose injection) and non-glycolytic acidification (measurement prior to glucose addition). (E–F) ATP/ADP and energy charge were calculated after quantification by high-performance liquid chromatography. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM of at least four independent experiments; *p < 0.05, compared to control.

3.4. Sublethal DOX treatment was associated with transcriptional alterations of mitochondrial-relevant genes

Because gene expression changes were previously described in a persistent model of cardiomyopathy (Berthiaume and Wallace, 2007b), we examined if DOX treatment would induce changes in mRNA expression in H9c2 cells throughout the time course of the treatment.
Several functional categories of genes were analyzed, namely oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos) subunits (Fig. 4A), mitochondrial biogenesis (Fig. 4B), cardiolipin metabolism (Fig. 4C), antioxidant defenses (Fig. 4D), cell metabolism (Fig. 4E) and epigenetic modulators (Fig. 4F). These genes were chosen based on previous reports demonstrating persistent alterations on gene expression profiles after DOX exposure (Berthiaume and Wallace, 2007b; Ferreira et al., 2017). It is worth noting that gene expression alterations varied according to the time-point analyzed, and it was not always possible to find clear patterns of gene expression along the protocol. Also, the analyzed transcripts did not present a dose-dependent response most of the times, suggesting that, in this model, the different pharmacological concentrations may induce different cellular responses. Interestingly, the results revealed a general increase in the expression of genes encoded by the mtDNA, including ND1, ND6, CytB, CO1, ATP6 and ATP8 (Fig. 4A). Among the overexpressed mtDNA-encoded genes, ND1, CytB, CO1 and ATP8 were particularly overexpressed (p < 0.05) in 10 nM DOX-treated cells (Fig. 5A).

### 3.5. DOX induced DNMT1 downregulation associated with expression of mitochondrial-encoded genes

Since there was a tendency for an increased abundance of mitochondrial transcripts, we then analyzed mtDNA copy number to infer a possible relationship between them. mtDNA content was monitored by measuring the relative copy number of mitochondrial encoded gene CytB compared to the nuclear gene b2m, using RT-PCR (Fig. 5B). The copy number of mtDNA was not significantly different between treatments at any of the time-points (day 0: p-value = 0.124; day 3: p-value = 0.084; day 6: p-value = 0.664; day 9: p-value = 0.146). Additionally, we confirmed the gene expression levels of two important mitochondrial-related proteins usually associated with mtDNA content, the mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) and the mitochondrial-specific polymerase gamma (POLγ). TFAM is involved in mtDNA packaging and mitochondrial gene expression regulation and POLγ is essential for mtDNA replication and maintenance. Both tfam and polg transcript levels decreased in DOX-treated cells (mostly at day 0 and 3).
Interestingly, DNMT1 gene, encoding the primary DNA methyltransferase responsible for the maintenance of DNA methylation patterns during cell replication, was downregulated in all four time-points, although more expressively at day 0 and 6 (Fig. 5E). Nuclear DNMT1 protein levels were assessed by a commercial ELISA kit and a similar tendency (although not statistically significant) was observed, with DOX-treated cells presenting lower levels of DNMT1 (Fig. 5F).

Immunocytochemistry examination of H9c2 cells labeled with anti-5mC antibody revealed loss of signal in 10 nM DOX-treated cells, as well as the presence of micronuclei in 10 and 25 nM DOX-treated cells (Fig. 5G–I). Recent evidence suggests the presence of methylated cytosine in mtDNA, namely within the D-loop region, a hypervariable region where the main regulatory elements for mtDNA replication and expression are located (Abhyankar et al., 2009; Bellizzi et al., 2013). Also, DNMT1 seems to modulate mitochondrial transcription through the regulation of mtDNA methylation (He et al., 2017; Shock et al., 2011). Our findings suggest that in response to low DOX concentration, a DNMT1-based mechanism of mtDNA expression regulation seems to happen, although this was not directly measured.

3.6. Nanomolar DOX-mediated mitochondrial adaptation protects against a subsequent DOX treatment

Considering the mitochondrial data obtained so far, our next question was whether the apparent mitochondrial adaptation of DOX-treated cells could lead to an increased resistance to a second-hit stressor. To assess this, after nine days of recovery, H9c2 cells were again treated with DOX for 24 h, but this time with higher concentrations (0.2, 0.5 and 1 μM) (Fig. 6A), and cytotoxicity was measured by the SRB and resazurin methods (Fig. 6B and C). Although there was a decrease in cell mass for all the four treatments, the effect was less pronounced for the 10 and 25 nM pre-treated cells, comparing with...
control cells (for 1 μM DOX, cell mass decreased ∼20% in DOX pre-treated cells in contrast to ∼36% in control cells) (Fig. 6B). Similarly, the decrease of metabolic activity was much less pronounced in the 25 nM DOX pre-treated cells when compared with the 0 nM DOX control (Fig. 6C). The pre-treatment also improved the resistance of H9c2 against iodoacetate, an inhibitor of glycolysis (∼13% improvement of cell viability in DOX pre-treated cells compared to DOX-naïve cells), consistent with the reduced glycolytic metabolism observed in 25 nM DOX-treated cells. (Fig. 6B). The impact of the preconditioning on mitochondrial adaptation was further validated by mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate measurements (Fig. 6D–F). Basal respiration rates did not change significantly (Fig. 6D); however, maximal respiration (Fig. 6E) and spare respiratory capacity (Fig. 6F) showed higher levels in 25 nM DOX cells compared with the non-pre-treated cells. In order to discard the possibility that this pre-conditioning treatment with DOX could induce cross-resistance also in tumor cells, we performed the same protocol in Hs-578T and MDA-MB-231 cell lines, models of human breast/mammary gland carcinoma (Supplemental Fig. 1). No differences were observed in cell viability after the second exposure to DOX between control, 10 nM- and 25 nM-treated groups.

4. Discussion

It has previously been shown that DOX treatment in vivo induces mitochondrial/metabolic reprogramming (Carvalho et al., 2010). In the current investigation, we aimed to study the delayed response of H9c2 cells to nanomolar doses of DOX and the possible induction of an adaptive response, increasing the tolerance to a subsequent higher dose. We confirmed decreased cytotoxicity after clinically relevant DOX treatment in cells that were previously treated with DOX at low clinical doses, supporting the hypothesis of a protective mechanism.

We first demonstrated increased cell cycle arrest in G2/M and hypertrophy in nanomolar DOX-treated H9c2 cells. Similar hypertrophic behavior has been previously observed in H9c2 cells, as well as in animal models of DOX-induced cardiomyopathy (Merten et al., 2006; Pillai et al., 2016; Richard et al., 2011). Oyama et al. reported that H2O2 and 1 μM DOX (2 h) also induced cell cycle arrest in G2/M with increased p21 expression, a well-established regulator of the cell cycle (Oyama et al., 2011). Their findings implicate H9c2 cell hypertrophy as a late event secondary to cell cycle arrest. Activation of p53 by DOX usually results in the overexpression of the p53-target gene Bax, leading
to overexpression of the corresponding pro-apoptotic protein Bax, which translocates to the mitochondrial membrane leading to apoptosis. Alternatively, under stress conditions, such as DNA damage or oxidative stress, upregulation of p53 activity results in increased p21 transcription that leads to cell cycle arrest (Garner and Raj, 2008; Venkatakrishnan et al., 2008). These phenomena can either give time for DNA repair and cell survival, or can lead to a senescence-like phenotype (Eom et al., 2005; Maejima et al., 2008), which could eventually lead to mitotic catastrophe and cell death. Thus, the possible beneficial effects of preconditioning can be counterbalanced with less positive outcomes that need to be carefully noted and considered.

Previous authors have reported a shift of energy production in DOX damaged hearts (Carvalho et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2014). In our model, nanomolar DOX treatment inhibited glycolysis, in accordance with the slower proliferative behavior, but did not cause major effects on maximal mitochondrial respiration capacity or total ATP production. In addition, gene expression analysis showed an increase in mitochondrial transcripts after nine days of recovery, and these increased levels seem to be independent from mtDNA content, as confirmed by mtDNA copy number, and from tfam and polg levels.

Evidence for the existence of 5-methylcytosines (5mC) and 5-hydroxycytosines (5hmC) and their influence on mtDNA are becoming stronger due to the improvement and development of sensitive techniques capable of detecting low-levels of methylation in the mtDNA, supporting the presence of dynamic mitochondrial epigenetic mechanisms of gene regulation. However, it is still not clear how these modifications functionally affect mtDNA even though it is very likely that they influence mitochondrial gene expression and biogenesis. Shock et al. reported not only the presence of 5mC and 5hmC in mammalian mtDNA, but also a mitochondrial DNMT1 that binds to the mtDNA and regulates the expression of particular mitochondrial genes (Shock et al., 2011). Interestingly, we observed a decrease in DNMT1 mRNA levels after DOX treatment, which was similar to previous reports (Krushkal et al., 2016; Yokochi and Robertson, 2004). This DNMT1 down-regulation was most pronounced at day 0, right after the exposure to DOX, and resolved over time. We hypothesize that DNMT1 could be involved in the regulation of mitochondrial gene expression. Actually, although not mitochondrial specific, the total 5mC signal obtained from immunocytochemistry analysis was lower in DOX-treated cells compared to controls. Similar results have been previously reported, in which chronic DOX exposure was followed by a global decrease of DNA methylation in cardiac tissue. (Nordgren et al., 2017; Ferreira et al., 2017). We speculate a possible reduction in the levels of mtDNA methylation caused by the lack of DNMT1, which could result in upregulation of some mitochondrial genes and maintenance of mitochondrial functions. In fact, hypomethylation of mtDNA was already suggested as a cause for the increased expression of mtDNA-encoded genes (Yamazaki et al., 2016). More recently, and strengthening our hypothesis, a downregulation in the expression of DNMT1 accompanied by mtDNA hypomethylation was observed under conditions of oxidative stress (Saini et al., 2017). However, it is worth mentioning that our study has some limitations that have been considered for data analyses, one being the failure to confirm mtDNA methylation. Our immunocytochemistry experiments revealed some 5mC-positive signal in the cytoplasm of H9c2 cells, which may suggest mitochondrial staining, although this needs to be explored in further detail.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that exposure of H9c2 cells to nanomolar concentrations of DOX increases the cellular resistance against a subsequent and more stressful dose through a process of mitochondrial adaptation, possibly epigenetic-linked (Boettcher et al., 2010; Ramachandran et al., 2016) (Fig. 7). This strategy could be particularly important for cardiac protection in patients with childhood cancers, considerably improving the DOX-induced cardiomyopathy while maintaining the anticancer treatment effectiveness.
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