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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and $p$ an integer positive number. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ the set of $n$-by- $n$ symmetric band matrices of bandwidth $2 p-1$, i.e., if $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ then $a_{i j}=0$ if $|i-j|>p-1$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ be the set of matrices from $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ in which the entries $(i, j),|i-j|=p-1$, are different from zero.

Let $A$ be a $n$-by- $n$ symmetric matrix with entries from $\mathbb{K}$; and $p$ such that $3 \leqslant$ $p \leqslant n$. We will show that: $\operatorname{rank}(A+B) \geqslant n-p+1$, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{p-1}(\mathbb{K})$, if and only if $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$.
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## 1. Introduction

Miroslav Fiedler, in [2], (see also [5] for a different proof) characterizes real symmetric irreducible tridiagonal matrices (up to permutational similarities) in the following way:

Theorem 1. (Fiedler's characterization of tridiagonal matrices) Let $A$ be a $n$-by-n real symmetric matrix. Then, $\operatorname{rank}(A+D) \geqslant n-1$, for every real diagonal $D$, if and only if $A$ is irreducible and tridiagonalizable by a permutational similarity.

In this work we answer the following question: what happens if instead a diagonal matrix $D$, we consider a symmetric band matrix of a fixed bandwidth?
More precisely, given integers $n$ and $p$, with $3 \leqslant p \leqslant n$, we want to know which are the $n$-by- $n$ symmetric matrices $A$, with elements in an arbitrary field $\mathbb{K}$, that satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(A+B) \geqslant n-(p-1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $n$-by- $n$ symmetric band matrix $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ with elements in $\mathbb{K}$ and bandwidth $2 p-3$ (that is such that $b_{i j}=0$ if $|i-j| \geqslant p-1$ ).
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It is not difficult to see that the symmetric band matrices $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ of bandwidth $2 p-1$ and such that $a_{i j} \neq 0$ if $|i-j|=p-1$ satisfy the relation (1) (see below the first part of the proof of Theorem 6). We show that these matrices are the unique matrices satisfying (1). In fact, our result is:

Theorem 2. Let $p, n$ be integers such that $3 \leqslant p \leqslant n$ and $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] a$ $n$-by-n symmetric matrix with entries from the field $\mathbb{K}$. Then, we have: $\operatorname{rank}(A+B) \geqslant n-(p-1)$, for every symmetric band matrix $B$ of bandwidth $2 p-3$, if and only if $A$ is a band matrix of bandwidth $2 p-1$ with the entries $(i, j)$ such that $|i-j|=p-1$ different from zero.

We note that the case $p=2$ and $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ correspond to Fiedler's characterization of tridiagonal matrices (Theorem 1 ); the case $p=2$ and $\mathbb{K}$ arbitrary was studied in [1] where it is proved that besides the matrices permutational similar to tridiagonal matrices, when $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and $n=5$ there are some matrices with nonzero nondiagonal elements satisfying (1) (see [1] for the details).

Some notation. We use $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ to denote the set of $n$-by- $n$ matrices with entries from a field $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ to denote the subset of $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ whose elements are the symmetric matrices. When $Y$ denotes an element from $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{K}), y_{i j}$ will denote the entry of $Y$ that is in the row $i$ and column $j$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The superdiagonal and the subdiagonal whose entries $(i, j)$ such that $|i-j|=p-1$ will be named, jointly, by "diagonals $(p)$ "; the column $j$ and the row $j$ of $A$ will be named, jointly, by "lines $(j)$ ".
We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ be the subset of $\mathcal{S}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ whose elements are band matrices of bandwidth $2 p-1$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})=\left\{B \in \mathcal{S}_{n}(\mathbb{K}): b_{i j}=0,|i-j| \geqslant p\right\} ;
$$

and denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ the set of matrices $B \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ such that its diagonals $(p)$ are zero-free, that is,

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})=\left\{B \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K}): b_{i j} \neq 0,|i-j|=p-1\right\} .
$$

We note that $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{1}(\mathbb{K})$ is the set of diagonal nonsingular matrices and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{2}(\mathbb{K})$ is the set of tridiagonal irreducible symmetric matrices.

The set of matrices $A \in \mathcal{S}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\operatorname{rank}(A+B) \geqslant n-(p-1)$, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{p-1}(\mathbb{K})$, will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})=\left\{A \in \mathcal{S}_{n}(\mathbb{K}): \forall B \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{p-1}(\mathbb{K}), \operatorname{rank}(A+B) \geqslant n-(p-1)\right\} .
$$

(although Theorem 2 just say that $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})=\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ we need some properties of the set $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ to prove that theorem and so it is convenient to have a notation for this set).

Let $\alpha=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$ and $\beta=\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{k}\right\}$ be sets of integers such that $1 \leqslant i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k} \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{k} \leqslant n$. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$. We use $A[\alpha \mid \beta]$ to denote the submatrix of $A$ contained in the rows indexed by $\alpha$ and columns indexed by $\beta$. Also, we use $A(\alpha \mid \beta)$ to denote the submatrix of $A$ obtained from $A$ by deleting rows indexed by $\alpha$ and columns indexed by $\beta$. When $\beta=\alpha$ we write $A[\alpha]$ and $A(\alpha)$ instead $A[\alpha \mid \alpha]$ and $A(\alpha \mid \alpha)$, respectively.

For a matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$, we denote by $A^{\prime}$ the matrix that results from $A$ by gaussian elimination along the lines $(j)$, for a previous fixed $j$.

We may see our Theorem 2 (as well as the Theorem 1) as results about Completion Problems (see e.g. [3], [4]): by a partial matrix we mean a matrix in which some of the entries are specified (or prescribed) elements of a certain set $S$, while others are independent indeterminate variables over $S$ (the unspecified elements). A completion of a partial matrix is the matrix with elements in $S$ obtained from the partial matrix when we specify for each of these variables a value of $S$. So if $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ is a partial matrix, a completion of $A$ will be any matrix $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ with elements in $S$, the same dimensions of $A$, and such that if $a_{i j}$ is specified in $A, b_{i j}=a_{i j}$. A matrix completion problem asks whether any (in some problems, at least one) completion of a partial matrix has a completion with certain properties.
In our case, the prescribed entries of $A$ are the entries in positions $(i, j)$ with $|i-j| \geqslant p-1$, while we may see the remaining ones as free variables. We want that for any completion $C$ of $A, C$ is a symmetric $n$-by- $n$ matrix with $\operatorname{rank} C \geqslant n-(p-1)$. What Theorem 2 says is that this is only possible if and only $A$ is a band matrix of bandwidth $2 p-1$ with the entries $(i, j)$ such that $|i-j|=p-1$ different from zero. In sequel we sometimes use these kind of ideas and we think of an $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ as a partial matrix viewing the entries in positions $(i, j)$ with $|i-j|<p-1$ as free variables.

## 2. Basic properties of $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$

From the characterization of $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ follows:

Proposition 3. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$. If $B$ is a symmetric band matrix of bandwidth not greater than $2 p-3$ then $A+B \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$.
The following result, which is a slight generalization of a result in [5], allows us to use induction in the proof of Theorem 2.

Proposition 4. Let $i \in\{1, n\}$. If $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ and $a_{i i} \neq 0$ then $A^{\prime}(i) \in$ $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$.
Proof. We assume that $i=n$. We take $A$ partitioned as

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A(n) & b \\
b^{T} & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The gaussian elimination along the $\operatorname{lines}(n)$ is equivalent to the product of

$$
E=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n-1} & -a_{n n}^{-1} b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

by $A$ and the resulting matrix, $E A$, by $E^{T}$, i.e., it is equivalent to computation of the matrix $E A E^{T}$. In fact:

$$
E A E^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A(n)-a_{n n}^{-1} b b^{T} & 0 \\
0 & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{\prime}(n) & 0 \\
0 & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let $B_{1} \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}^{p-1}(\mathbb{K})$ and we take $B=B_{1} \oplus 0$. The matrix $E$ is nonsingular; so: $\operatorname{rank}\left(E(A+B) E^{T}\right)=\operatorname{rank}(A+B)$. On the other hand,

$$
E(A+B) E^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{\prime}(n)+B_{1} & 0 \\
0 & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

From these two facts, we have $\operatorname{rank}(A+B)=\operatorname{rank}\left(A^{\prime}(n)+B_{1}\right)+1$; from this and by the hypothesis, we have: $\operatorname{rank}\left(A^{\prime}(n)+B_{1}\right) \geqslant n-p$. Therefore, $A^{\prime}(n) \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$.

The case $i=1$ is proved similarly.

Lemma 5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $3 \leqslant n$. If $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{n}(\mathbb{K})$ then $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{n}(\mathbb{K})$.
Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{n}(\mathbb{K})$. We may consider $A$ in the form

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1 n-1} & a_{1 n} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & x_{2 n} \\
x_{1 n-1} & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots \\
a_{1 n} & x_{2 n} & \cdots & x_{n n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $x_{i j}$ denotes the non prescribed entries. The unique prescribed entries in $A$ are the $(1, n)$ and $(n, 1)$ entries. These are the entries of the diagonals $(n)$. We claim that these entries are different from zero. In fact, if they were zero, we take $B \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{n-1}(\mathbb{K})$ such that

$$
B=-\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1 n-1} & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & x_{2 n} \\
x_{1 n-1} & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots \\
0 & x_{2 n} & \cdots & x_{n n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and, then, the matrix $A+B$ has all its entries equal to zero. Therefore, we have $\operatorname{rank}(A+B)=0$ and we get a contradiction. So, $a_{1 n} \neq 0$ and $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{n}(\mathbb{K})$.

## 3. The main result

We restate Theorem 2, using the notation we introduce in Section 2.
Theorem 6. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and $p, n$ integers such that $3 \leqslant p \leqslant n$. Then, we have: $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ if and only if $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$.

Proof. We start by proving that the condition is sufficient for $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$. Let $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$. We take any matrix $B \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{p-1}(\mathbb{K})$. The matrix $A+B$ is an element from $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ and has diagonals $(p)$ equal to the diagonals $(p)$ of $A$. So, the submatrix $(A+B)[1, \ldots, n-p+1 \mid p, \ldots, n]$ is lower triangular and has diagonals elements different from zero and then has rank $n-p+1$. Therefore, as $\operatorname{rank}(A+B) \geqslant \operatorname{rank}((A+B)[1, \ldots, n-p+1 \mid p, \ldots, n])$, we have:

$$
\operatorname{rank}(A+B) \geqslant n-p+1
$$

Hence, as $B$ is any matrix from $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{p-1}(\mathbb{K})$, we have $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$.
We show, now, that the condition is necessary for $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$.
We will use induction on $n$. By Lemma 5, the first step $(n=p)$ is done.
We assume that the theorem is satisfied for all matrices of order $n$, with $n \geqslant p$. We show that it remains valid for matrices of order $n+1$. Let
$A \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ such that

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1 p-1} & a_{1 p} & a_{1 p+1} & \cdots & a_{1 n+1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & x_{2 p} & a_{2 p+1} & \cdots & \vdots \\
x_{1 p-1} & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & x_{3 p+1} & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{1 p} & x_{2 p} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & a_{n-p+1 n+1} \\
a_{1 p+1} & a_{2 p+1} & x_{3 p+1} & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & x_{n-p+2 n+1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{1 n+1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n-p+1 n+1} & x_{n-p+2 n+1} & \cdots & x_{n+1 n+1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We start proving that $a_{1 n+1}=0$. For that we suppose not, i. e., we suppose that we have $a_{1 n+1} \neq 0$.

If $n+1=p+1$ we apply the gaussian elimination along the lines(1) choosing the entries $x_{11}$ and $x_{12}$ in a way that we get

$$
a_{2 n+1}^{\prime}=a_{2 p+1}^{\prime}=a_{2 p+1}+\frac{-x_{12}}{x_{11}} a_{1 p+1}=0 .
$$

So, the matrix $A^{\prime}(1)$ has zero $\operatorname{diagonals}(p)$ and has order $p$. On the other hand, by Proposition 4, we have $A^{\prime}(1) \in \mathcal{F}_{(n+1)-1}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ and then, by the inductive hypothesis (or by Lemma 5), $A^{\prime}(1)$ has diagonals $(p)$ zeros-free (here, the order of $A^{\prime}(1)$ is equal to $\left.p\right)$. Therefore, we get a contradiction. Then, there must be $a_{1 n+1}=0$.
If $n+1>p+1(n \geqslant p+1)$ we apply the gaussian elimination along the lines(1) choosing the entries $x_{11}$ and $x_{12}$ in a way that we get

$$
a_{2 n+1}^{\prime}=a_{2 n+1}+\frac{-x_{12}}{x_{11}} a_{1 n+1} \neq 0
$$

Therefore, the matrix $A^{\prime}(1)$ is not a band matrix of bandwidth $(2 p-1)$, whence the entry $(1, n)$ of $A^{\prime}(1)$ is different from zero and is outside of the band because $|1-n|=n-1 \geqslant(p+1)-1=p$. But, by Proposition 4, $A^{\prime}(1) \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ and then $A^{\prime}(1)$ is a band matrix of bandwidth $(2 p-1)$ by inductive hypothesis. A contradiction. So, there must be $a_{1 n+1}=0$.
Now, we show that

$$
a_{1 p} \neq 0, \quad a_{1 p+1}=\cdots=a_{1 n}=0
$$

We choose $x_{n+1 n+1} \neq 0$ and apply the gaussian elimination along the lines $(n+1)$. As $a_{1 n+1}=0$, the entries of the lines(1) remains unchanged.

From this and by inductive hypothesis - which guarantees that $A^{\prime}(n+1) \in$ $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ — we can conclude that $a_{1 p} \neq 0$ and, likewise, $a_{1 j}=0, j=p+1, \ldots, n$.

To finish the "only if" part of the proof, we need to show that other entries of the diagonals $(p)$ are different from zero and that the entries of the $\operatorname{diagonals}(j), j=p+1, \ldots, n+1$, are all equal to zero. We choose $x_{11} \neq 0$ and apply the gaussian elimination along the lines(1). This operation only interfere with the entries of the submatrix $A[2, \ldots, p]$ and no one entry of this submatrix is out of the band because

$$
\max _{i, j=2, \ldots, p}|i-j|=p-2<p-1
$$

So, we have $(\dagger) A^{\prime}(1)=A(1)$ up to the entries of the submatrix $A[2, \ldots, p]$ and, therefore, all entries in $\operatorname{diagonals}(p)$ and out of the band remains unchanged. On the other hand, by Proposition $4, A^{\prime}(1) \in \mathcal{F}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$. Therefore, by inductive hypothesis, $(\ddagger) A^{\prime}(1) \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$. From these two facts, $(\dagger)$ and $(\ddagger)$, we have $A(1) \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$, i.e., $a_{i j} \neq 0$ if $|i-j|=p-1$ and $a_{i j}=0$ if $|i-j|>p-1$, for $i, j \geqslant 2$.
Finally, we may conclude that $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n+1}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$, which ends the proof relative to the necessity of the condition.

The next theorem shows that, for a suitable choice of its free elements, the rank of a matrix in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ can achieve all its possible values from $n-p+1$ up to $n$.

Theorem 7. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and $p, n$ integers such that $1 \leqslant p \leqslant n$. Then, for any matrix $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$ and any integer $k$ such that $n-p+1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, there exists a matrix $B \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{p-1}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $A+B$ has rank $k$ (we make the convention that $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{0}(\mathbb{K})$ consists only of the null matrix of order $n$ ).

Proof. The theorem is clearly true for $p=1$. For $p=2, \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{2}(\mathbb{K})$ is just the set of irreducible tridiagonal matrices with free diagonal: let us prove, by induction over $n$, that for a suitable choice of the diagonal elements, such a matrix has rank $n$. For $n=1$ the result is clearly true. Suppose that this is true for $n-1$ and let us prove that it remains valid for $n$. Let $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{2}(\mathbb{K})$; denote the diagonal element of $A$ in position $(i, i)$ by $x_{i}$ and the elements in position $(i, j), i \neq j$, by $a_{i j}$; it is well known that

$$
\operatorname{det} A=x_{i} \operatorname{det} A(1)-a_{12}^{2} \operatorname{det} A(1,2)
$$

Now, $A(1) \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{2}(\mathbb{K})$ and so, by induction hypothesis, we may choose the diagonal elements of $A(1)$ in such a way that $\operatorname{det} A(1) \neq 0$. Now we just choose $x_{i}$ in such a way that $\operatorname{det} A \neq 0$ and $A$ will have rank $n$. A similar argument, choosing again the diagonal elements of $A(1)$ so that $\operatorname{det} A(1) \neq 0$, allows us to choose $x_{1}$ such that $\operatorname{det} A=0$ and in this case $A$ will have rank $n-1$.
Suppose now $p \geqslant 1$. Let $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{p}(\mathbb{K})$.
As we said in the introduction we may see the entries in $A$ in positions $(i, j),|i-j|<p-1$, as free variables: now take all these entries in the nondiagonal positions as zero and keep the diagonal elements free. This special matrix $A$ looks like as follows:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
x_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \star & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{2}\\
0 & x_{2} & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \star & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \star \\
\star & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \star & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \star & 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We are going to prove, by induction on $n$, that, for a suitable choice of its diagonal elements, the above matrix verify the thesis of the theorem.
For $n=1$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose the theorem true for all positive integers less than $n$ and let us prove that it remains true for $n$. Let $A$ be a $n$-by- $n$ matrix of the above type. Let $r$ be the greatest integer such that $1+r(p-1) \leqslant n$. It is not difficult to see (think for example of the graph of $A$ ) that $A[1,1+(p-1), \ldots, 1+r(p-1)]$ is an irreducible tridiagonal matrix, while $A(1,1+(p-1), \ldots, 1+r(p-1))$ is a band matrix, in fact an element of $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{n-(r+1)}^{p-1}(\mathbb{K})$. Moreover, for $i=1, \ldots, p-2$, the $(i)$ diagonals have all its entries equal to 0 , that is, $A(1,1+(p-1), \ldots, 1+r(p-1))$ is a matrix of type (2) and so, by induction hypothesis, its rank can, for a suitable choice of its diagonal elements, achieve all the values from $n-(r+$ 1) - $(p-2)$ up to $n-(r+1)$. Let $\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n-(r+1)}\right\}$ be the complement set of $\{1,1+(p-1), \ldots, 1+r(p-1)\}$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. It is not difficult to see that the both matrices $A\left[1,1+(p-1), \ldots, 1+r(p-1) \mid j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n-(r+1)}\right]$ and $A\left[j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n-(r+1)} \mid 1,1+(p-1), \ldots, 1+r(p-1)\right]$ are null matrices. This
means that, up to a permutation similarity, the matrix $A$ is the direct sum of a $(r+1)$-by- $(r+1)$ irreducible tridiagonal matrix (whose rank can achieve all the values $r+1$ and $r$ ) with a matrix of type (2) (whose rank can achieve all the values from $n-(r+1)-(p-2)$ up to $n-(r+1))$. So, our result follows.-
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