### Adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> Receptors in Striatal Glutamatergic Terminals and GABAergic Neurons Oppositely Modulate Psychostimulant Action and DARPP-32 Phosphorylation

Hai-Ying Shen<sup>1,9</sup>, Paula M. Canas<sup>2,9</sup>, Patricia Garcia-Sanz<sup>3</sup>, Jing-Quan Lan<sup>4</sup>, Detlev Boison<sup>4</sup>, Rosario Moratalla<sup>3</sup>, Rodrigo A. Cunha<sup>2,5</sup>, Jiang-Fan Chen<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Molecular Neuropharmacology Lab, Department of Neurology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America, 2 Center for Neuroscience and Cell Biology, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, 3 Instituto Cajal, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, and Centros de Investigación Biomédica en Red, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain, 4 Robert Stone Dow Neurobiology Laboratories, Legacy Research Institute, Portland, Oregon, United States of America, 5 Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

#### Abstract

Adenosine A2A receptors (A2AR) are located postsynaptically in striatopallidal GABAergic neurons, antagonizing dopamine D<sub>2</sub> receptor functions, and are also located presynaptically at corticostriatal terminals, facilitating glutamate release. To address the hypothesis that these two  $A_{2A}R$  populations differently control the action of psychostimulants, we characterized A<sub>2A</sub>R modulation of cocaine-induced effects at the level of DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 and Thr-75, c-Fos expression, and psychomotor activity using two lines of cell-type selective  $A_{2A}R$  knockout (KO) mice with selective  $A_{2A}R$ deletion in GABAergic neurons (striatum-A<sub>2A</sub>R-KO mice), or with A<sub>2A</sub>R deletion in both striatal GABAergic neurons and projecting cortical glutamatergic neurons (forebrain-A<sub>2A</sub>R-KO mice). We demonstrated that striatum-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice lacked A<sub>2A</sub>Rs exclusively in striatal GABAergic terminals whereas forebrain-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice lacked A<sub>2A</sub>Rs in both striatal GABAergic and glutamatergic terminals leading to a blunted A2AR-mediated facilitation of synaptosomal glutamate release. The inactivation of A<sub>2A</sub>Rs in GABAergic neurons reduced striatal DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 and increased its phosphorylation at Thr-75. Conversely, the additional deletion of corticostriatal glutamatergic A<sub>2A</sub>Rs produced opposite effects on DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 and Thr-75. This distinct modulation of DARPP-32 phosphorylation was associated with opposite responses to cocaine-induced striatal c-Fos expression and psychomotor activity in striatum-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO (enhanced) and forebrain-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice (reduced). Thus, A<sub>2A</sub>Rs in glutamatergic corticostriatal terminals and in GABAergic striatal neurons modulate the action of psychostimulants and DARPP-32 phosphorylation in opposite ways. We conclude that A<sub>2A</sub>Rs in glutamatergic terminals prominently control the action of psychostimulants and define a novel mechanism by which A<sub>2A</sub>Rs fine-tune striatal activity by integrating GABAergic, dopaminergic and glutamatergic signaling.

**Citation:** Shen H-Y, Canas PM, Garcia-Sanz P, Lan J-Q, Boison D, et al. (2013) Adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> Receptors in Striatal Glutamatergic Terminals and GABAergic Neurons Oppositely Modulate Psychostimulant Action and DARPP-32 Phosphorylation. PLoS ONE 8(11): e80902. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080902

Editor: Veronique Sgambato-Faure, INSERM/CNRS, France

Received April 27, 2012; Accepted October 17, 2013; Published November 28, 2013

**Copyright:** © 2013 Shen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants NS048995, NS41083-05 and NS41083-07, and United States Department of Defense grant W81XWH-07-1-0012 to JFC, grants of PTDC/SAU-NEU/108668/2008 and DARPA-BAA-09-68 to RAC; grants from the Spanish Ministries de Ciencia e Innovación and Sanidad y Política Social, ISCIII: BFU2010-20664, PNSD, RedRTA (RD06/0001/1011) and CIBERNED to RM; National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) grant R01MH083973 to DB, and a NIH grant R01NS073947. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: chenjf@bu.edu

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### Introduction

Striatal circuits, composed mainly of GABAergic medium spiny neurons (MSN), are the principal entry point of the basal ganglia and the primary site for processing of motor, motivational and cognitive behaviors [1]. MSN are driven by cortico-thalamic excitatory glutamatergic projections and modulated by nigral dopaminergic inputs. MSN project either directly (striatonigral MSN) or indirectly (striatopallidal MSN) to output nuclei [2]. Adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptors ( $A_{2A}R$ ) are highly expressed in striatopallidal MSN where they antagonize dopamine  $D_2$  receptor ( $D_2R$ ) function [3]. In addition,  $A_{2A}R$  are also located in striatal glutamatergic terminals [4] where they are involved in the modulation of glutamate release and corticostriatal synaptic transmission [5,6,7,8]. Notably, blockade of  $A_{2A}R$  in extra-striatal forebrain neurons attenuates behavioral responses to psychostimulants such as cocaine [9], amphetamine [10,11] or L-DOPA [12]. This led us to propose that presynaptic  $A_{2A}R$  in corticostriatal glutamatergic terminals exert their excitatory effects by facilitating glutamate release to counteract the inhibitory effect of postsynaptic  $A_{2A}R$  in GABAergic MNS [3,9]. This working model places  $A_{2A}R$  in a unique position, integrating GABAergic, glutamatergic and dopaminergic neurotransmission to fine-tune striatal activity.

Dopamine- and cAMP-regulated phosphoprotein (DARPP-32) is a key signaling molecule coordinating MSN responsiveness, where its activity is regulated by its phosphorylation status on different residues, namely Thr-34 and Thr-75 [13]. The phosphorylation of striatal DARPP-32 at Thr-34 and Thr-75 is

under tight control of dopamine, adenosine and glutamatergic signalling [13]. DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 is controlled by the G<sub>s</sub>/G<sub>i</sub>-cAMP-PKA signalling pathway via D<sub>1</sub> receptors  $(D_1R)$  in the direct pathway and  $A_{2A}R/D_2R$  activation in the indirect pathway. DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-75 in MSN is competitively inhibited by and inversely correlated with the activation of cAMP signalling and is additionally modulated by glutamate signalling via cdk5 kinase [14]. Studies with global [13] or striatal pathway-selective genetic deletion of DARPP-32 [15,16,17] confirmed that DARPP-32 activation in the direct and indirect pathways oppositely determines motor responses to psychoactive drugs. Specifically, the selective deletion of DARPP32 in the indirect pathway enhances psychomotor activity while the selective deletion of DARPP-32 in the direct pathway attenuates the psychomotor effect [15,16]. Thus, Thr-34 and Thr-75 phosphorylation of DARPP-32 integrates the glutamatergic drive with dopaminergic extrinsic modulation as well as with intrinsic striatal modulation such as through adenosine [13]. We therefore hypothesize that A<sub>2A</sub>R in GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons modulates the action of psychostimulants through a putative opposite control of striatal DARPP-32 phosphorylation.

To test this hypothesis, we developed and characterized two cell type-selective A2AR knockout (KO) lines with selective deletion of A2AR either in inhibitory GABAergic striatopallidal neurons (striatum-A2AR KO, st-A2AR KO) or in excitatory glutamatergic cortical neurons in addition to GABAergic MSN (forebrain-A2AR KO, fb-A2AR KO). Their use allowed us to demonstrate that A2ARs in GABAergic MSN and in corticostriatal glutamatergic terminals control the action of psychostimulants in opposite manners at the levels of (i) DARPP-32 phosphorylation; (ii) cocaine-induced c-Fos expression; and (iii) cocaine-induced psychomotor activity. This suggests that  $A_{2A}R$  control the action of psychostimulants through the regulation of DARPP-32 phosphorylation (at Thr-34 and Thr-75) in striatopallidal neurons. Furthermore, these results define a novel function of A<sub>2A</sub>R in glutamatergic terminals and GABAergic striatopallidal neurons to fine-tune striatal neuronal activity and the action of psychostimulants through the integration of GABAergic, glutamatergic and dopaminergic signaling pathways.

#### Results

### 1. Selective preservation of $A_{2A}R$ in glutamatergic but not GABAergic terminals in striatum- (but not forebrain-) $A_{2A}R$ KO mice

To demonstrate the selectivity of A2AR deletion in st-A2AR KO and fb-A2AR KO mice, we quantified A2AR immunoreactivity in glutamatergic (vesicular glutamate transporters type 1, vGluT1positive) and GABAergic (vesicular GABA transporters, vGATpositive) terminals from the striatum of st-A2AR KO, fb-A2AR KO and global A2AR knockout (gb-A2AR KO) mice as well as their corresponding wild-type (WT) littermates. Quantitative analysis revealed that A<sub>2A</sub>R immunoreactivity was depleted in GABAergic terminals from st-A2AR KO and fb-A2AR KO mice to background levels (n = 4–6 animals per group, p<0.05, unpaired Student's t test) (Figure 1A) similar to these found in gb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice (not shown). In contrast, A<sub>2A</sub>R immunoreactivity in glutamatergic terminals (about 50% of vGlut1-positive terminals contain A<sub>2A</sub>R, see [7]) was completely abolished in fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice and gb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice (n = 4-6 animals per group, p<0.05, unpaired Student's t test), but was selectively preserved in st-A2AR KO mice (n=6, p>0.05, unpaired Student's t test) due to the presence of presynaptic A2AR on corticostriatal terminals of extra-striatal glutamatergic neurons (Figure 1B). The preservation of presynaptic glutamatergic  $A_{2A}R$  in st- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice was also consistent with the normal level of  $A_{2A}R$  binding density in total membranes [9] and synaptosomal membranes (data not shown) of the cerebral cortex of st- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice. Together, these data demonstrate that  $A_{2A}R$  in glutamatergic terminals of the striatum were selectively preserved in st- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice but abolished in fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice.

# 2. Selective preservation of the $A_{2A}R$ -mediated facilitation of glutamate release in synaptosomes from striatum- $A_{2A}R$ KO mice

To examine the functional consequence of A2AR deletion in glutamatergic terminals in the striatum, we compared the ability of the selective A2AR agonist CGS21680 to facilitate glutamate release from striatal synaptosomes of fb-A2AR KO or st-A2AR KO mice. In the absence of CGS21680, the elevation of extracellular K<sup>+</sup> concentration induced similar spike releases of glutamate from striatal synaptosomes from WT mice during two consecutive stimulation periods (ratio of  $0.98 \pm 0.02$ , n = 16). A supramaximal but A<sub>2A</sub>R-selective concentration of CGS21680 (20 nM, present during the second period of stimulation) enhanced <sup>3</sup>Hglutamate release by  $38.2 \pm 2.4\%$  and  $35.9 \pm 1.9\%$  from striatal synaptosomes of fb-WT and st-WT mice, respectively (n = 6-8), p < 0.05 compared to 0%, one sample t-test) (Figure 2A and 2B). In contrast, CGS21680-mediated facilitation of <sup>3</sup>H-glutamate release was completely abolished in striatal synaptosomes from fb-A2AR KO mice (n = 4, p > 0.05 compared to 0%) (Figure 2C), but was unaffected in synaptosomes from st- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice (n = 4, p<0. 05 compared to 0%) (Figure 2D). These findings support the selective preservation of presynaptic A2AR function in glutamatergic terminals in st-A2AR KO but not fb-A2AR KO mice.

## 3. A<sub>2A</sub>R in glutamatergic terminals and GABAergic neurons oppositely modulate striatal DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 and Thr-75

To determine the functional significance of the deletion of A<sub>2A</sub>R exclusively in GABAergic striatal neurons (in st-A2AR KO) and of its additional deletion in glutamatergic terminals (fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO), we evaluated the phosphorylation status of striatal DARPP-32 at Thr-34 in fb-A2AR KO mice (two-way ANOVA, drug effect:  $F_{(1,12)} = 95.765$ , p<0.001; genotype effect:  $F_{(1,12)} = 7.753$ , p = 0.017; drug x genotype:  $F_{(1,12)} = 9.034$ , p = 0.011) (Figure 3A, 3B) and in st-A2AR KO mice (two-way ANOVA, drug effect:  $F_{(1,20)}$  = 78.861, p<0.001; genotype effect:  $F_{(1,20)}$  = 25.924, p < 0.001; drug x genotype:  $F_{(1,20)} = 12.508$ , p = 0.002) (Figure 3C, 3D). In addition, we also evaluated the phosphorylation status of striatal DARPP-32 at Thr-75 in fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice (two-way ANOVA, drug effect:  $F_{(1,12)} = 78.577$ , p<0.001; genotype effect:  $F_{(1,12)} = 0.600, \ p = 0.454; \ drug \ x \ genotype: \ F_{(1,12)} = 0.717,$ p = 0.414) (Figure 3E, 3F) as well as in st-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice (two-way ANOVA, drug effect:  $F_{(1,12)} = 624.116$ , p<0.001; genotype effect:  $F_{(1,12)} = 42.378$ , p<0.001; drug x genotype:  $F_{(1,12)} = 16.111$ , p=0.002) (Figure 3G, 3H). Under basal condition (i.e. after treatment with vehicle), the level of DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-75 or at Thr-34 was comparable between fb-A2AR KO mice and their fb-WT littermates (Figure 3B and 3F) (n = -4-6 per group, p>0.05, two-way ANOVA post hoc Bonferroni test). Interestingly, the deletion of A<sub>2A</sub>R in GABAergic striatopallidal neurons significantly increased the basal level of DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-75 (Figure 3H) (n=4 per group, p < 0.05) together with a (mild) reduction of the basal level of DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 (Figure 3D) in st-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO (but not fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO) mice (n = 6). These observations are





Figure 1. Deletion of  $A_{2A}R$  immunoreactivity in glutamatergic terminals of forebrain- $A_{2A}R$  KO and GABAergic terminals of both forebrain  $A_{2A}R$  - and striatum- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice. Detection and quantification of the percentage of GABAergic terminals (A, vGAT-positive) and glutamatergic terminals (B, vGluT1-positive) and from forebrain-selective- $A_{2A}R$  KO (fb-KO) or striatum-selective- $A_{2A}R$  KO (st-KO) mice and their wild type (WT) littermates (control) that are endowed with  $A_{2A}R$  immunoreactivity. The bar graphs represent the percentage of vGluT1- or vGATimmunopositive terminals that are also endowed with  $A_{2A}R$  immunoreactivity (mean  $\pm$  SEM, 3 fields per mouse, n = 4-6 animal per group). \* p<0.05

vs corresponding WT littermates, using an unpaired Student's t test. On the left side of each bar graph are shown representative immunocytochemistry photographs displaying the superimposed immunoreactivities of vGluT1 or vGAT (green) and of  $A_{2A}R$  (red). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080902.g001

consistent with a direct effect of postsynaptic A2AR in GABAergic neurons [18,19]. Also in agreement with previous studies [18,20], acute treatment with cocaine (25 mg/kg, i.p.) produced a marked increase of DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 and a concomitant reduction of DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-75 in WT mice (st-WT and fb-WT, Figure 3). As predicted from a direct, postsynaptic facilitatory effect of A<sub>2A</sub>R in GABAergic neurons, cocaine-induced DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 was significantly attenuated in st-A2AR KO mice compared to their WT littermates (n = 6 per group, p < 0.05 comparing cocaine with saline treatment) (Figure 3D). In contrast, the acute treatment with cocaine markedly increased DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 in fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice compared to WT littermates (n = 4, p<0.05 comparing cocaine with saline treatment) (Figure 3A and 3B), consistent with a reduced glutamate release and dis-inhibition of glutamate suppression of DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 in fb-A2AR KO mice [14]. Additional fluorescence immunohistochemistry using brain sections showed that DARPP32 phosphorylation at Thr-75 was markedly reduced 45 minutes after cocaine treatment in fb-WT and fb-A2AR KO mice (data not shown), a finding consistent with Western blot analysis. These

findings demonstrated that, following cocaine treatment, presynaptic  $A_{2A}R$  in glutamatergic terminals exert an opposite and predominant effect over postsynaptic  $A_{2A}R$  in GABAergic neurons on striatal DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 and Thr-75.

## 4. Cocaine-induced striatal *c-Fos* expression and psychomotor activity are enhanced in striatum-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO but attenuated in forebrain-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice

To evaluate the functional significance of the opposite modulation of striatal DARPP-32 phosphorylation by  $A_{2A}R$  in GABAergic striatal neurons and in glutamatergic terminals, we compared cocaine-induced psychomotor activity and c-Fos expression, a measure of MSN activity, in the striatum of st- $A_{2A}R$  KO and fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice. Consistent with our previous reports [9], we found that cocaine (25 mg/kg, i.p.)-induced psychomotor activity was enhanced in st- $A_{2A}R$  KO (n = 9) but attenuated in fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice (n = 12) compared to their WT littermates (n = 8-12) (two-way ANOVA, drug effect:  $F_{(1,24)} = 91.892$ , p<0.001; genotype effect:  $F_{(3,24)} = 8.456$ , p<0.001; drug x genotype:  $F_{(3,24)} = 13.297$ , p<0.001) (Figure 4A and 4B). The



Figure 2.  $A_{2A}R$ -mediated facilitation of <sup>3</sup>H-glutamate release from striatal synaptosomes is abolished in forebrain- $A_{2A}R$  KO but preserved in striatum- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice. The  $A_{2A}R$  selective agonist, CGS21680 (20 nM) facilitated the evoked <sup>3</sup>H-glutamate release from striatal synaptosomes of fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice. The  $A_{2A}R$  selective agonist, CGS21680 (20 nM) facilitated the evoked <sup>3</sup>H-glutamate release from striatal synaptosomes of fb- $A_{2A}R$  WT (n = 6, **A**), st- $A_{2A}R$  WT (n = 8, **B**) and st- $A_{2A}R$  KO (n = 4, **D**) but not fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO (n = 4, **C**). Each graph depicts the time course of tritium release enhanced by 20 mM K<sup>+</sup> (evoked release, applied twice) in the absence (black symbols and lines) or presence of 20 nM CGS21680 (grey symbols and lines), as indicated by the horizontal lines. \* Indicates a significant (p<0.05, using a one-sample *t*-test against the hypothetical value of 0%) CGS21680-induced facilitation and the mean  $\pm$  SEM facilitation is also indicated. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080902.q002



Figure 3. Cocaine-induced phosphorylation of striatal DARPP-32 at Thr-34 and Thr-75 are oppositely affected in striatum-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO and forebrain-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice. Western blot analysis of phosphorylated (p-Thr-34 and p-Thr-75) and total DARPP-32. Representative Western blots of striatal protein extracts from fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO and fb-WT (**A** and **E**), st-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO or st-WT mice (**C** and **F**). The levels of DARPP-32 phosphorylation (normalized with total DARPP-32 level) are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM and presented as percentage of the value for saline-treated WT mice, for p-Thr-34 DARPP-32 levels in *fb*-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO (n = 4, **B**) and *st*-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO (n = 6, **D**) and p-Thr-75 DARPP-32 levels in *fb*-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO (n = 4, **F**) and *st*-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO (n = 6, **H**). # p<0.05 comparing cocaine with saline treatment within same genotype, two-way ANOVA and a *post hoc* Bonferroni test; \* p<0.05 comparing fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO with their corresponding WT littermates with same treatment, two-way ANOVA *post hoc* Bonferroni test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080902.g003

opposite psychomotor effects of cocaine in st- $A_{2A}R$  KO and fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice were also paralleled by similar opposite effects of cocaine on c-Fos gene expression in the striatum of these two transgenic mouse strains. As expected, cocaine treatment (25 mg/kg, i.p.) increased c-Fos expression in the striatum of WT mice (st-WT and fb-WT, Figure 4C) to a similar extent. Interestingly, cocaine-induced striatal c-Fos expression was *enhanced* in st- $A_{2A}R$ 

KO mice (p<0.05, Student's *t*-test, comparing with st-WT) (Figure 4C) but *reduced* in fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice compared to their corresponding WT littermates (p<0.05, Student's *t*-test, comparing with fb-WT mice) (Figure 4C). Furthermore, double immunohistochemical analysis showed that the cocaine-induced increase of striatal c-Fos immunoreactivity in st- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice was restricted to dynorphin-positive cells (Figure 4D). As shown in



Figure 4. Cocaine-induced psychomotor activity and striatal c-Fos expression were attenuated in forebrain-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO but enhanced in striatal-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice. Ambulation was recorded for 180 min after injection of a single dose of cocaine (25 mg/kg, i.p.) or vehicle in fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO (n = 12, **A**) and in st-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO (n = 9, **B**) mice and their WT littermates (n = 8–12). The arrow indicates the time of injection and the data are mean  $\pm$ SEM; \*p<0.05, comparing fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO and st-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO groups to their corresponding WT group using two-way ANOVA and a *post hoc* Bonferroni test. (**C**) Cocaine-induced c-Fos expression in the striatum of fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO (n = 12) and st-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO (n = 9) and their corresponding WT littermates (n = 8–12) # p<0.05, comparing to corresponding wild-types with cocaine treatment, two-way ANOVA *post hoc* Bonferroni test. (**D**) Representative coimmunostaining of c-Fos with dynorphin. Black arrows indicate neurons co-stained with dynorphin and c-Fos; white arrow heads indicate neurons stained with dynorphin only (greyish brown) and black arrow heads indicate neurons stained with c-Fos (reddish brown). Scale bar = 25 µm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080902.g004

Figure 4D, the majority of c-Fos-positive cells (black arrows) in the striatum were also stained with dynorphin, whereas some neurons were stained with dynorphin (white arrow heads) or c-Fos (black arrow heads) only.

Lastly, we performed double fluorescence immunohistochemistry to investigate if the cocaine-induced c-Fos expression mostly occurred in enkephalin (Enk)-positive or Enk-negative cells in fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice. The basal level of c-Fos expression in fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice was comparable with their WT littermates after saline injection (Figure 5, A and B), while enkephalin-positive cells constituted about 50% of the total cell population. Cocaine treatment markedly increased striatal c-Fos expression in fb-WT and fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice (two-way ANOVA, drug effect:  $F_{(1,34)} = 234.289$ , p<0.001; genotype effect:  $F_{(1,34)} = 70.643$ , p<0.001; drug x genotype:  $F_{(1,34)} = 56.521$ , p<0.001) (Figure 5, A and B). This induction largely occurs in Enk-negative cells (drug effect:  $F_{(1,34)} = 202.149$ , p<0.001; genotype effect:  $F_{(1,34)} = 33.480$ , p<0.001; drug x genotype:  $F_{(1,34)} = 21.888$ , p<0.001) (i.e. in the

direct pathway, Figure 5D); this finding is consistent with our results using two color, sequential immunohistochemistry of c-Fos and dynorphin (Figure 4) and also agrees with previous reports that cocaine induces c-Fos expression predominantly in the D<sub>1</sub>Rcontaining striatonigral neurons (e.g. [17]). In fb-WT animals, we also observed a cocaine-induced c-Fos expression in the D2Rcontaining indirect pathway, likely attributed to a postsynaptic (striatopallidal) A2AR effect since cocaine-induced c-Fos expression was reduced in fb-A2AR KO mice (Figure 5C). Thus fb-A2AR KO mice displayed a reduced cocaine-induced c-Fos expression in the direct pathway as well as the indirect pathway, although the majority of cocaine-induced modifications of c-Fos expression in fb-A2AR KO mice were attributed to the direct pathway (see Figure 5). This finding suggests that the elimination of presynaptic glutamatergic A<sub>2A</sub>R mainly affects the direct pathway to control psychomotor activity and c-Fos expression.



Figure 5. Immunofluorescence double staining of c-Fos and enkephalin in forebrain-WT and forebrain- $A_{2A}$ R KO mice after saline or cocaine treatment. (A) Representative merged images of immunofluorescence double staining of c-Fos (red) with enkephalin (Enk, green) in cocaine- vs. saline-treated fb- $A_{2A}$ R KO and fb-WT mice. (B) Quantitative analysis demonstrating the percentage of total c-Fos positive [c-Fos<sup>(+)</sup>]cells out of the total cells. (C) Quantitative analysis showing the percentage of c-Fos and Enk double positive [c-Fos<sup>(+)</sup>Enk<sup>(+)</sup>] stained cells out of the total cells. (D) Quantitative analysis showing the percentage of c-Fos and Enk double positive [c-Fos<sup>(+)</sup>Enk<sup>(-)</sup>] cells out of the total cells. (D) Quantitative analysis demonstrating the percentage of c-Fos positive but Enk negative [c-Fos<sup>(+)</sup>Enk<sup>(-)</sup>] cells out of the total cells. Data in the bar graphs are mean ± SEM, n = 6-10 per group. \* p < 0.05, vs. groups of same genotype with saline treatment; # p < 0.05 vs. cocaine-treated WT groups. <sup>Δ</sup> p < 0.05, vs. saline-treated fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R group. Scale bar = 50 µm. Yellow arrows indicate neurons with c-Fos positive but Enk negative [c-Fos<sup>(+)</sup>Enk<sup>(+)</sup>] staining. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080902.q005

#### Discussion

## $A_{2A}R$ in glutamatergic corticostriatal terminals modulate psychomotor activity

Postsynaptic A<sub>2A</sub>R in GABAergic striatapallidal neurons are involved in the modulation of motor activity due to the concentrated expression of  $A_{2A}R$  in striatopallidal neurons and their antagonistic interactions with  $D_2R$  [21]. In addition,  $A_{2A}R$ are also present and functional in presynaptic glutamatergic terminals that play a primordial role in driving striatal circuits [4,5,6,8,22]; however their role in the control of the action of psychostimulants remains largely unexplored, due to the low expression of the A2AR in the cerebral cortex and the inability to selectively manipulate A2AR in distinct cellular elements. Furthermore, it is currently unknown if the presynaptic A2AR might differentially affect the direct versus indirect pathways. The comparative analysis of the phenotypes of st-A $_{2A}R$  KO and fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice allowed us to dissect the effects of  $A_{2A}R$  in glutamatergic terminals from those of  $A_{2A}R$  in GABAergic striatopallidal neurons. Our main findings demonstrate that presynaptic A2AR in corticostriatal glutamatergic terminals facilitate glutamate release (by its presynaptic action alone or in combination with the action of postsynaptic  $A_{2A}R$ ) and play a predominant role in the control of DARPP-32 phosphorylation,

striatal c-Fos expression, and consequent enhanced psychomotor activity upon cocaine exposure. Specifically, we showed that, in contrast to st-A2AR KO, fb-KO mice display a markedly reduced cocaine-induced c-Fos expression mainly in the MSN of the direct but also of the indirect pathway. Together with the finding that the deletion of A<sub>2A</sub>R in glutamatergic terminals in fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO abolished the A2AR-mediated enhancement of glutamate release, these results suggest that presynaptic A<sub>2A</sub>R control glutamate release, affecting the activity of both the direct and indirect pathways (with c-Fos expression as a marker for neuronal activity). This indicates that forebrain  $A_{2A}R$  exert their control of cocaine action predominantly through the regulation of glutamate release, which challenges previous views attributing those actions to the control of the responsiveness of striatal GABAergic neurons. The most intriguing aspect of A2AR function in glutamatergic terminals is their ability to over-ride the effect of  $A_{2A}R$  in striatopallidal neurons, which have a nearly 20-fold higher A2AR density [3]. This preferential engagement of A2AR in glutamatergic terminals heralded by the observations that psychostimulants is [23,24,25,26] as well as NMDA receptor activation [27,28] can enhance the local striatal extracellular levels of adenosine, preferentially near glutamatergic but not GABAergic terminals [25]. Thus, the pattern of generation of adenosine by psychostimulants may favor a preferential activation of presynaptic  $A_{2A}R$  in corticostriatal terminals.

#### $A_{2A}R$ in glutamatergic terminals and GABAergic neurons of the indirect pathway differentially modulate the action of psychostimulants through opposite control of DARPP-32 phosphorylation

Our current findings mechanistically dissociate the role of A2AR in glutamatergic terminals and in GABAergic neurons controlling DARPP-32 phosphorylation in the indirect pathway. Strikingly, the two different subsets of A2AR modulate the actions of psychostimulants via DARPP-32 phosphorylation in an opposite manner. In parallel with enhanced cocaine-induced c-Fos expression and psychomotor activity, the selective inactivation of A<sub>2A</sub>R in striatal GABAergic neurons (in st-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice) reduced DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 and enhanced DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-75. This modulation is consistent with a direct effect of A2AR on GABAergic striatopallidal neurons, since the inactivation of A2AR in GABAergic neurons reduces protein kinase A activity and in turn reduces DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34, with a parallel increase of DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-75 [19,29]. Furthermore, the increase of DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 in fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice is best explained by the selective changes of DARPP-32 in the indirect pathway since the attenuation of cocaine-psychomotor activity is strongly correlated with enhanced DARPP-32 in the indirect pathway (not the direct pathway) as clearly demonstrated by the elegant work using cell-type specific DARPP-32 KO [15,16]. Our findings are also in line with the concept that the striatopallidal pathway exerts a general inhibitory effect on behavior such as instrumental learning [30], psychostimulant activity [9], and aversive behavior [31], as revealed by selective destruction of the indirect pathway using targeted toxin expression [32] and by optogenetic silencing [33,34].

However, the A<sub>2A</sub>R control of c-Fos expression in the striatum seems to result mainly from the c-Fos response in the direct pathway since we now demonstrated that cocaine-induced c-Fos expression was detected mainly in dynorphin-positive neurons. This effect could either result from recurrent collateral connections between striatopallidal and striatonigral MSN [35] or from an enhanced D<sub>2</sub>R-mediated release of endocannabinoids, which would decrease glutamate release from corticostriatal terminals projecting to both the indirect as well as the direct pathway [36]. This also explains the ability of A<sub>2A</sub>R to control D<sub>1</sub>R-mediated responses such as rotational behavior [37,38], c-Fos expression in striatopallidal neurons [39] and DARPP-32 phosphorylation [29,40]. In addition, the c-Fos expression may also be a secondary functional consequence of the enhanced psychomotor activity by selective deletion of  $A_{2A}R$  in the indirect pathway. Although only derived from the use of a single dose of cocaine at single time point, the present findings nonetheless provide an important snapshot of the A2AR modulation of cocaine-induced molecular responses at the level of DARPP-32 phosphorylation and c-Fos expression in the striatum.

The additional elimination of  $A_{2A}R$  from glutamatergic terminals in fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice reduced the basal Thr-75 phosphorylation of DARPP-32 and caused an enhancement of cocaine-induced Thr-34 phosphorylation of DARPP-32, in contrast to our findings in st- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice. This suggests that tonic activation of  $A_{2A}R$  in glutamatergic corticostriatal terminals exerts opposite effects (compared to  $A_{2A}R$  in GABAergic striatopallidal neurons) on striatal DARPP-32 phosphorylation. Since the major biochemical and neurochemical differences

between fb-A2ARKO and st-A2AR KO mice is the deletion of A<sub>2A</sub>R in glutamatergic terminals (Figure 1) and the consequent abolishment of A2AR-facilitated glutamate release from striatal nerve terminals (Figure 2), the different regulation of DARPP-32 phosphorylation by A2AR in fb-A2AR KO mice likely results either from the impact of presynaptic A2AR on glutamate release alone or from the combined effect of presynaptic  $A_{2A}R$  and postsynaptic  $A_{2A}R$  actions, an issue that will require the use of selective deletions of A<sub>2A</sub>R in presynaptic glutamatergic corticostriatal terminals to be resolved. In fact, we are concluding that the differences between the phenotypes of fb-A2AR KO and st-A2AR KO mice are mostly due to the effects of presynaptic A<sub>2A</sub>R in glutamatergic corticostriatal terminals since the most evident differentiating factor in fb-A2AR KO mice is the deletion of presynaptic A2AR and the abolishment of A2AR-mediated facilitation of glutamate release. Since increased DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34 in the direct pathway is expected to produce enhanced cocaine psychomotor activity [15,17], the increased DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-34, together with the attenuation of cocaine-induced psychomotor activity in fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice strongly suggests that glutamate release by  $A_{2A}R$ in corticostriatal terminals preferentially affects DARPP-32 phosphorylation in the indirect pathway. Conversely, fb-A2AR KO mice display an altered c-Fos expression in the direct and indirect pathways with the direct pathway being prominent one. Overall, the molecular and behavioral responses found in fb-A2AR KO mice suggest a selective modification of DARPP-32 phosphorylation in the indirect pathway and a prominent modification of cocaine-induced c-Fos expression in the direct pathway in tight correlation with cocaine-induced psychomotor activity. This is in line with the findings from cell-type specific deletion of DARPP-32, which showed that cocaine-induced psychomotor activity was attenuated by selective inactivation of DARPP-32 in the direct pathway [15]. While these results suggest that A<sub>2A</sub>R activity in glutamatergic terminals and GABAergic neurons may influence the action of psychostimulants by controlling DARPP-32 phosphorylation selectively in the indirect pathway, with the c-Fos response being secondary to the psychomotor effect, additional experiments are clearly warranted to clarify the cellular substrate linking the presynaptic  $A_{2A}R$ control of glutamate release and its impact on psychomotor activity.

#### Neurobiological and therapeutic implications

Based on the opposite phenotypes of cocaine-induced molecular and behavioral changes in st-A2AR KO and fb-A2AR KO mice, and their association with glutamatergic, GABAergic and dopaminergic systems at presynaptic and postsynaptic sites, we propose a new model for A2AR function in the control of striatal circuits: A2AR in glutamatergic terminals and GABAergic neurons provide a "fine-tuning" mechanism, whereby they integrate and regulate dopaminergic and glutamatergic signaling in the striatum. The integrated function of  $A_{2A}R$  is accomplished through the opposing actions of  $A_{2A}R$  in GABAergic striatal neurons (through  $A_{2A}R$ - $D_2R$  antagonistic interactions) and in glutamatergic corticostriatal terminals (by modulating glutamate release). The novelty of this model is that the "fine-tuning" provided by A2AR may serve to prevent over- or under-stimulation of striatal neurons, and illustrates an essential aspect of the integrated function of the adenosine neuromodulation system [41]. Since decreased glutamatergic neurotransmission and increased dopaminergic activity contribute to the pathophysiology of schizophrenia and related psychiatric disorders, the ability of A<sub>2A</sub>R to integrate dopaminergic and glutamatergic systems indicates that adenosine acting at

 $A_{2A}R$  may modulate both positive (by preventing hyper-dopaminergic activity) and negative (by preventing hypo-glutamatergic activity) symptoms of schizophrenia [42]. Thus, the selective manipulation of presynaptic  $A_{2A}R$  in glutamatergic terminals [43] may have a therapeutic value to manage a variety of neuropsychiatric behaviors such as anxiety, depression, psychosis and schizophrenia [44].

#### **Materials and Methods**

## 1. Generation and genotyping of striatum-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice and forebrain-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice

Animals were handled according to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and in accordance with the protocol approved by the IACUC at the Boston University School of Medicine and by the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Coimbra. The Cre-loxP strategy was used to generate fb-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO and st-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice. The generation and genotyping of fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO mice has been described recently [10]. Briefly, transgenic mice expressing the Cre recombinase under control of the CaMKIIa gene promoter were crossbred with homozygous floxed  $(A_{2A}R^{\rm flox+/4})$  mice (F10 generation in congenic C57BL/6 background). Their Cre (+)  $A_{2A}R^{\text{flox+/+}}$  offspring display an  $A_{2A}R$ deletion in postnatal forebrain neurons (including cortex, hippocampus and striatum). Similarly, homozygous floxed (A $_{2A}R^{flox}$ mice (F5 generation in mixed 129-Steel and C57BL/6 background) were crossbred with Dlx5/6-Cre transgenic mice expressing Cre recombinase under control of the Dlx5/6 gene promoter, which is active exclusively in striatal neurons during development [45,46,47], to generate st-A<sub>2A</sub>R KO mice [Dlx5/6- $\operatorname{Cre}(+)A_{2A}R^{\operatorname{flox}+/+}$  mice [9]. Genotyping was conducted by 3 primer PCR analysis of tail DNA [10]. Fb-A2AR KO and st-A2AR KO mice were characterized for their selective Adora2a deletion in the forebrain (i.e., cortex, hippocampus, and striatum) [10,48] or exclusively in striatal [9] neurons, as shown in our previous studies. The selectivity in these two lines was further validated by Creexpression by X-gal staining of LacZ in a Rosa26 reporter transgenic line, PCR analysis of Cre-mediated Adora2a deletion, A2AR immunohistochemistry and <sup>3</sup>H-ZM241385 radioligand binding of A2AR density [9,10,48,49]. Our early studies showed that the behaviors of two WT genotypes  $[\mathrm{Cre}(-)A_{2A}R^{\mathrm{flox}+/+}$  or  $Cre(+)A_{2A}R^{flox-/-}$  were not distinguishable (data not shown) and so we used either WT type or in some cases two WT types were pooled in to one group referred to as simply st-WT or fb-WT, accordingly.

### 2. Drug treatments and psychomotor activity assessments

Before drug treatment, all mice were habituated in the testing environment and mice were injected with a single dose of cocaine (25 mg/kg, i.p.; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Horizontal locomotor activity was monitored for 180 min after drug administration and analyzed as described previously [9].

#### 3. Glutamate release from striatal synaptosomes

<sup>3</sup>H-glutamate release experiments were performed as previously described after purification of striatal nerve terminals using a sucrose/Percoll fractionation method [22]. Briefly, nerve terminals were equilibrated at 37°C for 10 min, loaded with <sup>3</sup>H-glutamate (0.2  $\mu$ M, specific activity of 45 Ci/mmol, Amersham, Piscataway, NJ, USA) for 5 min at 37°C, washed, layered over Whatman GF/C filters and superfused with oxygenated Krebs solution for 20 min before starting collection of the superfusate. Synaptosomes were stimulated with 20 mM K<sup>+</sup> at 3 min (S<sub>1</sub>) and 9 min (S<sub>2</sub>) after

starting sample collection, triggering a release of tritium that was mostly <sup>3</sup>H-glutamate, released in a Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent manner [22]. The A<sub>2A</sub>R agonist CGS21680 (Tocris, Bristol, UK), tested at a concentration that is supra-maximal but selective to activate A<sub>2A</sub>R [22], was added 2 min before S<sub>2</sub> onwards and its effect was quantified by modification of the S<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>1</sub> ratio compared to control chambers. Normalized facilitation by CGS21680 of the K<sup>+</sup>-evoked <sup>3</sup>H-glutamate release was tested by the one-sample *i*-test against the hypothetical value of 0% compared to paired control experiments carried out in the same batch of nerve terminals in the absence of added drugs. P  $\leq$  0.05 was considered to represent a significant difference.

### 4. Immunocytochemical detection of A<sub>2A</sub>R in glutamatergic and GABAergic nerve terminals

Striatal nerve terminals were purified through a discontinuous Percoll gradient and platted over poly-L-lysine-coated cover-slips for immunocytochemical analysis, using antibodies that were previously validated [22,50]. Permeabilized nerve terminals were incubated for 1 hour with rabbit anti-A<sub>2A</sub>R (1:500, Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY, USA), and guinea pig antivesicular GABA transporters (vGAT, 1:1,000, Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA) or guinea pig anti-vesicular glutamate type 1 transporters (vGluT1, 1:1000, Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA) antibodies followed by a 1 hour incubation with different AlexaFluor-labeled secondary antibodies (1:2,000, Molecular Probes, Leiden, The Netherlands), which did not yield any signal in the absence of the corresponding primary antibodies. After washing and mounting onto slides with Prolong Gold Antifading (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA), preparations were visualized in a Zeiss fluorescence microscope and analyzed with MetaFluor 5.0. Each coverslip was analyzed by counting three different fields and in each field a total amount of 150 individualized elements excluding elements based on their insufficient or excessive pixel intensity and excessive size, as previously described [22,50]. Note that this approach can only globally distinguish glutamatergic from GABAergic terminals, but the anti-vGluT1 and anti-vGAT antibodies used cannot distinguish between the different types of glutamatergic terminals (projecting to the direct or indirect pathways) or GABAergic terminals (direct projections or collaterals).

## 5. Western blot analysis of DARPP-32 phosphorylation at Thr-32 and Thr-75

DARPP-32 immunoreactivity was analyzed as previously described [51] with modifications. Mice were sacrificed by decapitation (45 min after i.p. injection of vehicle or drug) and their heads were immediately immersed in liquid nitrogen for 6 sec. The striata were rapidly (within 20 sec) dissected out on an ice-cold surface, sonicated in 750  $\mu$ L of 2% sodium dodecylsulfate, and boiled for 10 min. After protein determination, 30  $\mu$ g protein from each sample was loaded and separated by Western blot to quantify phospho-DARPP-32 (Thr34) (1:1000, antibody kindly provided by Dr. Greengard) and phospho-DARPP-32 (Thr75) (1:1,000, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA), normalized to total DARPP-32 immunoreactivity (1:1,000, Cell Signaling).

### 6. Immunohistochemistry of c-Fos expression and double labeling of c-Fos with dynorphin or enkephalin

Sequential antibody detection of c-Fos and dynorphin. Free-floating brain coronal sections (30  $\mu$ m) were double stained immunohistochemically with anti-c-Fos and anti-dynorphin polyclonal antibodies using standard avidin–biotin procedures follow-

ing a sequential antibody detection protocol as described previously [52,53]. For this procedure, the first antibody, i.e., a goat anti-dynorphin polyclonal antibody (1:200, sc-46313, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) was detected first, using immunoperoxidase staining enhanced with 0.08% nickel ammonium sulfate, which yields a dark gravish color. After completion of the first staining, the same sections were incubated with an avidin/biotin blocking solution in order to block free avidin/biotine sites from the first biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody. Then, sections were processed for immunolabeling with the second primary antibody, i.e., a rabbit anti-c-Fos polyclonal antibody (1:5,000, PC-38, Calbiochem) following standard protocols using DAB, yielding a bright brown color. This method has been repeatedly shown to lack cross-labeling [52,53]. Moreover, the nuclear localization of c-Fos staining, as opposed to the cytoplasm/neuropil staining of dynorphin, makes it easy to differentiate the two types of staining.

Fluorescence double immunohistochemistry of c-Fos and enkephalin. Coronal brain sections (30  $\mu$ m) were double stained overnight with primary antibodies, namely rabbit anti-Fos polyclonal antibody (1:5,000, PC-38, Calbiochem) and mouse anti-enkephalin monoclonal antibody (1:50, sc-47705, Santa Cruz). After washing, slices were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature in a solution containing a goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody, conjugated to Cy3 (1:750, 111-165-144, Jackson Immuno Research, West Grove, PA, USA) and a goat anti-mouse

#### References

- Pennartz CM (2009) Identification and integration of sensory modalities: neural basis and relation to consciousness. Conscious Cogn 18: 718–739.
- Gerfen CR (1992) The neostriatal mosaic: multiple levels of compartmental organization in the basal ganglia. Annu Rev Neurosci 15: 285–320.
- Schiffmann SN, Fisone G, Moresco R, Cunha RA, Ferré S (2007) Adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptors and basal ganglia physiology. Prog Neurobiol 83: 277–292.
- Rosin DL, Hettinger BD, Lee A, Linden J (2003) Anatomy of adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptors in brain: morphological substrates for integration of striatal function. Neurology 61: S12–S18.
- Ciruela F, Casadó V, Rodrigues RJ, Lujan R, Burgueño J, et al. (2006) Presynaptic control of striatal glutamatergic neurotransmission by adenosine A<sub>1</sub>-A<sub>2A</sub> receptor heteromers. J Neurosci 26: 2080–2087.
- 6. Tozzi A, Tscherter A, Belcastro V, Tantucci M, Costa C, et al. (2007) Interaction of  $A_{2A}$  adenosine and D2 dopamine receptors modulates corticostriatal glutamatergic transmission. Neuropharmacology 53: 783–789.
- Quiróz C, Lujan R, Uchigashima M, Simões AP, Lerner TN, et al. (2009) Key modulatory role of presynaptic adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptors in cortical neurotransmission to the striatal direct pathway. ScientificWorldJournal 9: 1321–1344.
- Martire A, Tebano MT, Chiodi V, Ferreira SG, Cunha RA, et al. (2011) Presynaptic adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptors control cannabinoid CB1 receptor-mediated inhibition of striatal glutamatergic neurotransmission. J Neurochem 116: 273– 280.
- 9. Shen HY, Coelho JE, Ohtsuka N, Canas PM, Day YJ, et al. (2008) A critical role of the adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor in extrastriatal neurons in modulating psychomotor activity as revealed by opposite phenotypes of striatum and forebrain  $A_{2A}$  receptor knock-outs. J Neurosci 28: 2970–2975.
- Bastia E, Xu YH, Scibelli AC, Day YJ, Linden J, et al. (2005) A crucial role for forebrain adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptors in amphetamine sensitization. Neuropsychopharmacology 30: 891–900.
- Fredduzzi S, Moratalla R, Monopoli A, Cuellar B, Xu K, et al. (2002) Persistent behavioral sensitization to chronic L-DOPA requires A<sub>2A</sub> adenosine receptors. J Neurosci 22: 1054–1062.
- Xiao D, Bastia E, Xu YH, Benn CL, Cha JH, et al. (2006) Forebrain adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptors contribute to L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine-induced dyskinesia in hemiparkinsonian mice. J Neurosci 26: 13548–13555.
- Svenningsson P, Nishi A, Fisone G, Girault JA, Nairn AC, et al. (2004) DARPP-32: an integrator of neurotransmission. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 44: 269– 296.
- Nishi A, Watanabe Y, Higashi H, Tanaka M, Nairn AC, et al. (2005) Glutamate regulation of DARPP-32 phosphorylation in neostriatal neurons involves activation of multiple signaling cascades. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 1199–1204.
- Bateup HS, Svenningsson P, Kuroiwa M, Gong S, Nishi A, et al. (2008) Cell type-specific regulation of DARPP-32 phosphorylation by psychostimulant and antipsychotic drugs. Nat Neurosci 11: 932–939.
- Bateup HS, Santini E, Shen W, Birnbaum S, Valjent E, et al. (2010) Distinct subclasses of medium spiny neurons differentially regulate striatal motor behaviors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 14845–14850.

secondary antibody, conjugated to FITC (1:200, 115-095-166, Jackson Immuno Research). The sections were then washed 3 times and mounted on gelatin-coated slides and cover slipped with Vectashield fluorescent mounting medium with DAPI (H-1200, Vector Lab, Burlingame, CA, USA).

#### 7. Statistical analysis

Statistical comparisons between st- $A_{2A}R$  KO vs st-WT or fb- $A_{2A}R$  KO vs fb-WT were analyzed (independently for their different genetic backgrounds) using a paired or unpaired Student's *t* test, according to the experimental design. To determine the effect of genotype, drug treatment and their interaction, we applied a two-way ANOVA for repeated measurements followed by Bonferroni *post hoc* comparison.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Paul Greengard for kindly providing the antibody for p-Thr-34 DARPP-32 for this study.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: JFC RAC RM. Performed the experiments: HYS PC PG JQL. Analyzed the data: HYS PC PG. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JFC RAC RM DB. Wrote the paper: HYS JFC RAC DB.

- Bertran-Gonzalez J, Bosch C, Maroteaux M, Matamales M, Herve D, et al. (2008) Opposing patterns of signaling activation in dopamine D1 and D2 receptor-expressing striatal neurons in response to cocaine and haloperidol. J Neurosci 28: 5671–5685.
- Svenningsson P, Lindskog M, Ledent C, Parmentier M, Greengard P, et al. (2000) Regulation of the phosphorylation of the dopamine- and cAMP-regulated phosphoprotein of 32 kDa in vivo by dopamine D1, dopamine D2, and adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 1856–1860.
- Lindskog M, Svenningsson P, Pozzi L, Kim Y, Fienberg AA, et al. (2002) Involvement of DARPP-32 phosphorylation in the stimulant action of caffeine. Nature 418: 774–778.
- Nishi A, Bibb JA, Snyder GL, Higashi H, Nairn AC, et al. (2000) Amplification of dopaminergic signaling by a positive feedback loop. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 12840–12845.
- Ferré S, Fredholm BB, Morelli M, Popoli P, Fuxe K (1997) Adenosinedopamine receptor-receptor interactions as an integrative mechanism in the basal ganglia. Trends Neurosci 20: 482–487.
- Rodrigues RJ, Alfaro TM, Rebola N, Oliveira CR, Cunha RA (2005) Colocalization and functional interaction between adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> and metabotropic group 5 receptors in glutamatergic nerve terminals of the rat striatum. J Neurochem 92: 433–441.
- Hack SP, Christie MJ (2003) Adaptations in adenosine signaling in drug dependence: therapeutic implications. Crit Rev Neurobiol 15: 235–274.
- Bonci A, Williams JT (1996) A common mechanism mediates long-term changes in synaptic transmission after chronic cocaine and morphine. Neuron 16: 631– 639.
- Fiorillo CD, Williams JT (2000) Selective inhibition by adenosine of mGluR IPSPs in dopamine neurons after cocaine treatment. J Neurophysiol 83: 1307– 1314.
- Harvey J, Lacey MG (1997) A postsynaptic interaction between dopamine D1 and NMDA receptors promotes presynaptic inhibition in the rat nucleus accumbens via adenosine release. J Neurosci 17: 5271–5280.
- Manzoni O, Pujalte D, Williams J, Bockaert J (1998) Decreased presynaptic sensitivity to adenosine after cocaine withdrawal. J Neurosci 18: 7996–8002.
- Manzoni OJ, Manabe T, Nicoll RA (1994) Release of adenosine by activation of NMDA receptors in the hippocampus. Science 265: 2098–2101.
- Svenningsson P, Lindskog M, Rognoni F, Fredholm BB, Greengard P, et al. (1998) Activation of adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> and dopamine D1 receptors stimulates cyclic AMP-dependent phosphorylation of DARPP-32 in distinct populations of striatal projection neurons. Neuroscience 84: 223–228.
- Yu C, Gupta J, Chen JF, Yin HH (2009) Genetic deletion of A<sub>2A</sub> adenosine receptors in the striatum selectively impairs habit formation. J Neurosci 29: 15100–15103.
- Hikida T, Kimura K, Wada N, Funabiki K, Nakanishi S (2010) Distinct roles of synaptic transmission in direct and indirect striatal pathways to reward and aversive behavior. Neuron 66: 896–907.

- Durieux PF, Schiffmann SN, de Kerchove d'Exaerde A (2012) Differential regulation of motor control and response to dopaminergic drugs by D1R and D2R neurons in distinct dorsal striatum subregions. EMBO J 31: 640–653.
- Kravitz AV, Freeze BS, Parker PR, Kay K, Thwin MT, et al. (2010) Regulation of parkinsonian motor behaviours by optogenetic control of basal ganglia circuitry. Nature 466: 622–626.
- Lobo MK, Covington HE, 3rd, Chaudhury D, Friedman AK, Sun H, et al. (2010) Cell type-specific loss of BDNF signaling mimics optogenetic control of cocaine reward. Science 330: 385–390.
- Taverna S, Ilijic E, Surmeier DJ (2008) Recurrent collateral connections of striatal medium spiny neurons are disrupted in models of Parkinson's disease. J Neurosci 28: 5504–5512.
- Yin HH, Lovinger DM (2006) Frequency-specific and D2 receptor-mediated inhibition of glutamate release by retrograde endocannabinoid signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 8251–8256.
- Morelli M, Fenu S, Pinna A, Di Chiara G (1994) Adenosine A2 receptors interact negatively with dopamine D1 and D2 receptors in unilaterally 6hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats. Eur J Pharmacol 251: 21–25.
- Pollack AE, Fink JS (1996) Synergistic interaction between an adenosine antagonist and a D1 dopamine agonist on rotational behavior and striatal c-Fos induction in 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats. Brain Res 743: 124–130.
- Pollack AE, Fink JS (1995) Adenosine antagonists potentiate D2 dopaminedependent activation of Fos in the striatopallidal pathway. Neuroscience 68: 721–728.
- Lindskog M, Svenningsson P, Fredholm BB, Greengard P, Fisone G (1999) Activation of dopamine D2 receptors decreases DARPP-32 phosphorylation in striatonigral and striatopallidal projection neurons via different mechanisms. Neuroscience 88: 1005–1008.
- Sebastião AM, Ribeiro JA (2000) Fine-tuning neuromodulation by adenosine. Trends Pharmacol Sci 21: 341–346.
- Cunha RA, Sebastião AM, Ribeiro JA (1998) Inhibition by ATP of hippocampal synaptic transmission requires localized extracellular catabolism by ectonucleotidases into adenosine and channeling to adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors. J Neurosci 18: 1987–1995.

- Orru M, Bakesova J, Brugarolas M, Quiroz C, Beaumont V, et al. (2011) Striatal pre- and postsynaptic profile of adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonists. PLoS One 6: e16088.
- Cunha RA, Ferré S, Vaugeois JM, Chen JF (2008) Potential therapeutic interest of adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptors in psychiatric disorders. Curr Pharm Des 14: 1512– 1524.
- Price M, Lemaistre M, Pischetola M, Di Lauro R, Duboule D (1991) A mouse gene related to Distal-less shows a restricted expression in the developing forebrain. Nature 351: 748–751.
- Bulfone A, Kim HJ, Puelles L, Portcus MH, Grippo JF, et al. (1993) The mouse Dlx-2 (Tes-1) gene is expressed in spatially restricted domains of the forebrain, face and limbs in midgestation mouse embryos. Mech Dev 40: 129–140.
- Bulfone A, Puelles L, Porteus MH, Frohman MA, Martin GR, et al. (1993) Spatially restricted expression of Dlx-1, Dlx-2 (Tes-1), Gbx-2, and Wnt- 3 in the embryonic day 12.5 mouse forebrain defines potential transverse and longitudinal segmental boundaries. J Neurosci 13: 3155–3172.
- Yu L, Shen HY, Coelho JE, Araujo IM, Huang QY, et al. (2008) Adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptor antagonists exert motor and neuroprotective effects by distinct cellular mechanisms. Ann Neurol 63: 338–346.
- Wei CJ, Singer P, Coelho J, Boison D, Feldon J, et al. (2011) Selective inactivation of adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptors in striatal neurons enhances working memory and reversal learning. Learn Mem 18: 459–474.
- Rodrigues RJ, Canas PM, Lopes LV, Oliveira CR, Cunha RA (2008) Modification of adenosine modulation of acetylcholine release in the hippocampus of aged rats. Neurobiol Aging 29: 1597–1601.
- 51. Andersson M, Usiello A, Borgkvist A, Pozzi L, Dominguez C, et al. (2005) Cannabinoid action depends on phosphorylation of dopamine- and cAMPregulated phosphoprotein of 32 kDa at the protein kinase A site in striatal projection neurons. J Neurosci 25: 8432–8438.
- Darmopil S, Martin AB, De Diego IR, Ares S, Moratalla R (2009) Genetic inactivation of dopamine D1 but not D2 receptors inhibits L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia and histone activation. Biol Psychiatry 66: 603–613.
- Moratalla R, Elibol B, Vallejo M, Graybiel AM (1996) Network-level changes in expression of inducible Fos-Jun proteins in the striatum during chronic cocaine treatment and withdrawal. Neuron 17: 147–156.