



COMMENTARY

FeNO testing in severe asthma: A clinical argument or an access constraint?



Pedro Carreiro-Martins^{a,b}, Frederico S. Regateiro^{c,d,e}, Jorge Ferreira^f, José Luís Plácido^g, Rita Gerardo^{h,i}, Cláudia Loureiro^{j,k,*}

^a Comprehensive Health Research Center (CHRC), NOVA Medical School, Lisbon, Portugal

^b Immunoallergy Department, Hospital de Dona Estefânia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Lisboa Central, Lisbon, Portugal

^c Allergy and Clinical Immunology Unit, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

^d Institute of Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

^e Coimbra Institute for Clinical and Biomedical Research (iCBR), Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

^f Pneumology Service, Hospital de São Sebastião - Centro Hospitalar de Entre o Douro e Vouga, Santa Maria da Feira, Portugal

^g Allergy and Clinical Immunology Unit, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de S. João, Porto, Portugal

^h Pneumology Unit, Hospital de Santa Marta, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Lisboa Central, Lisbon, Portugal

ⁱ NOVA Medical School, Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

^j Pneumology Unit, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

^k Centre of Pneumology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

Received 9 April 2021; accepted 3 May 2021

Available online 14 June 2021

KEYWORDS

FeNO testing;
SEVERE ASTHMA;
Endotypes

Abbreviations

ATS – American Thoracic Society
FeNO – Fractional exhaled nitric oxide
GINA – Global Initiative for Asthma
ICS – Inhaled corticosteroids
IgE – Immunoglobulin E
NICE – National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

* Corresponding author at: Pneumology Unit, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Praceta Professor Mota Pinto, 3004-561 Coimbra, Portugal.

E-mail address: cl_loureiro@hotmail.com (C. Loureiro).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2021.05.002>

2531-0437/© 2021 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Text

Asthma is one of the most common chronic diseases, causing significant burden to healthcare systems and societies.¹ The prevalence of asthma is increasing in many countries, especially among children. In Portugal, asthma affects 6,8% of the population.²

Severe asthma, according to the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA), is a subset of difficult-to-treat asthma, defined as “asthma that is uncontrolled despite adherence with maximal optimized therapy and treatment of contributory factors, or that worsens when high dose treatment is decreased”.^{3,4} Severe asthma affects approximately 5–10%

of asthma patients and is associated with increased morbidity, risk of hospitalization from exacerbations, mortality, and related healthcare costs.⁵

Type 2 inflammation-driven asthma (type 2 asthma) is mediated by type 2 cytokines (e.g. IL-4, IL-5, IL-13) and is very heterogeneous in nature.³ Type 2 asthma is present in most asthmatic children and about 50% of asthmatic adults.^{6,7} There is a high proportion of type 2 asthma among patients with severe asthma. Type 2 asthma is usually associated with increased eosinophilic inflammation, raised serum immunoglobulin E (IgE) and raised fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) levels. Because Type 2 inflammation results from complex pathophysiologic mechanisms involving both innate and cellular immunity, the criteria to define type 2 asthma is still a matter of debate. According to GINA, either refractory or underlying type 2 inflammation should be considered if: (i) blood eosinophils $\geq 150/\mu\text{l}$, and/or (ii) FeNO $\geq 20\text{ppb}$, and/or (iii) sputum eosinophils $\geq 2\%$, and/or (iv) asthma is clinically allergen-driven.³

All guidelines recommend the use of biomarkers, such as FeNO, IgE or blood eosinophil counts, to characterize severe asthma, although there is still disagreement regarding the cut-off values.^{3,8,9} Biomarkers are important tools to classify asthma patients into phenotypes. Asthma phenotypes do not define asthma severity, as the correlation between biomarker levels and disease severity is limited, and, for some uncontrolled patients, there are no detectable changes in biomarker levels. However, biomarker-based phenotyping of asthma patients, in association with detailed clinical evaluation, is essential for the proper characterization of the patient and to define the most appropriate therapeutic strategy. This is particularly important considering the high proportion of uncontrolled asthma patients in many countries, including Portugal.

Nitric oxide is a key modulator of immune responses and is overproduced in the airways of type 2 asthma patients. FeNO levels have long been recognized as a valid biomarker for the clinical management of severe asthma, not only for the phenotypic characterization of the disease, but also for assessing the disease evolution and treatment strategies.^{3,8,10} FeNO levels are particularly useful as a diagnostic tool in ICS-naïve patients, to monitor patient compliance with treatment, and are good predictors of response to ICS, asthma exacerbations and decline of lung function.¹¹ In particular, the combination of elevated FeNO levels and an elevated blood eosinophil count is a good indicator of the higher exacerbation burden in severe asthma.¹² FeNO levels are also useful to monitor and guide the therapeutic strategy, and to predict the responsiveness to some biological therapies.¹³ In short, FeNO evaluation is a low-cost, non-invasive procedure that is useful as a surrogate biomarker for the assessment and management of severe asthma. Therefore, the routine use of FeNO testing can play an important role in effective asthma control.

Interpreting FeNO levels is not straightforward as there are many possible underlying causes for variations in FeNO levels: smoking habits, diet, respiratory tract infections, time of day, height, gender, age, etc.¹¹ This precludes the use of this biomarker alone to define type 2 severe asthma and most specialists rely on several biomarkers simultaneously in endotype assessment. FeNO levels are easier to interpret when very high levels are observed.

In Portugal, biomarker-based asthma phenotyping assays are reimbursed by the public national health service. However, FeNO testing is not reimbursed by private insurance companies. In addition, FeNO testing is not available at all

Table 1 Summary of the answers to the online survey regarding FeNO testing clinical practice in Portugal.

Question	Answers			
		Immunoallergy panel (n = 18)	Pneumology panel (n = 12)	Total (n = 30)
Is FeNO typing a routine procedure, in your hospital/center?	Yes	9 (50.0%)	3 (25.0%)	12 (40.0%)
	No	9 (50.0%)	9 (75.0%)	18 (60.0%)
	Total	18	12	30
If yes to question 1, do you use FeNO typing for all asthma patients?	Yes	6 (66.7%)	2 (66.7%)	8 (66.7%)
	No	3 (33.3%)	1 (33.3%)	4 (33.3%)
	Total	9	3	12
If yes to question 2, for what purpose?	Diagnostic & monitoring	0 (0.0%)	1 (50.0%)	1 (12.5%)
	Monitoring only	6 (100.0%)	1 (50.0%)	7 (87.5%)
If no to question 1, why not?	No apparatus available	8 (88.9%)	8 (88.9%)	16 (88.9%)
	No technician available	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)
	Lack of consumables	0 (0.0%)	1 (11.1%)	1 (5.6%)

Note: A panel of immunoallergologists and pulmonologists was informally invited to answer an online survey in order to assess the clinical practice of FeNO testing in Portugal; a total of 30 valid answers were obtained.

hospitals/centers. In order to assess clinical practice of FeNO testing in Portugal, a panel of immunoallergologists and pulmonologists was informally invited to participate anonymously in an online survey. The data obtained (see Table 1), demonstrates that FeNO testing is still not routinely used in clinical practice in Portugal mainly due to access constraints: the lack of devices or consumables for FeNO measurement. Interestingly, for those who reported using FeNO testing with all asthma patients, the biomarker is mainly used for disease activity monitoring purposes, and for those who use FeNO routinely but not for all patients, the reported criteria for testing included severe and type 2 asthma patients.

In conclusion, although FeNO testing appears to be recognized by Portuguese asthma specialists as an important tool for disease management, particularly in patients with severe and/or type 2 asthma, there are access constraints to this assay that need to be addressed in Portugal. Generalized access to FeNO testing will add value to the clinical management of asthma patients and improve symptom control. FeNO testing is also particularly relevant in severe asthma patients as it can serve to predict responsiveness to some new biological therapies and contribute to more cost-effective therapeutic options: e.g., high FeNO levels are one of the prescription criteria for dupilumab, a novel biologic for the treatment of severe asthma. We thus recommend that FeNO testing should be established as a routine procedure in clinical practice in Portugal for the diagnosis and management of asthma patients or at least be available in all reference centers.

Declaration of Competing Interest

In the preparation of this article, the authors received collaboration from the medical writer Duarte Oliveira (W4Research) financially supported by Sanofi. Sanofi had no role in the preparation of the manuscript or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. All authors declare collaborating and receiving fees from Sanofi and other pharmaceutical companies either through participation in advisory boards or consultancy, congress symposia, conducting clinical trials, investigator-initiated trials or grants.

References

1. Soriano JB, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, Abera SF, Agrawal A, Ahmed MB, et al. Global, regional, and national deaths, prevalence, disability-adjusted life years, and years lived with disability for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2017;5(9):691–706.
2. Sa-Sousa A, Morais-Almeida M, Azevedo LF, Carvalho R, Jacinto T, Todo-Bom A, et al. Prevalence of asthma in Portugal – the Portuguese National Asthma Survey. *Clin Transl Allergy.* 2012;2(1):15.
3. GINA - Global Initiative for Asthma. In: Difficult-to-treat & severe asthma in adolescent and adult patients – diagnosis and management; 2019. <https://ginasthma.org/severeasthma/> last accessed on 19 April 2021.
4. GINA - Global Initiative for Asthma. In: Global strategy for asthma management and prevention; 2020. <https://ginasthma.org/gina-reports/> last accessed on 19 April 2021.
5. Wang E, Wechsler ME, Tran TN, Heaney LG, Jones RC, Menzies-Gow AN, et al. Characterization of severe asthma worldwide: data from the international severe Asthma Registry. *Chest.* 2020;157(4):790–804.
6. Papi A, Brightling C, Pedersen SE, Reddel HK. Asthma. *Lancet.* 2018;391(10122):783–800. Feb.
7. Fahy J V. Type 2 inflammation in asthma—present in most, absent in many. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2015;15(1):57–65.
8. NICE - National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. In: Asthma: diagnosis, monitoring and chronic asthma management (NICE guideline NG80); 2020. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng80> last accessed on 19 April 2021.
9. Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, Bush A, Castro M, Sterk PJ, et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J.* 2014;43(2):343–73.
10. Dweik RA, Boggs PB, Erzurum SC, Irvin CG, Leigh MW, Lundberg JO, et al. An official ATS clinical practice guideline: interpretation of exhaled nitric oxide levels (FENO) for clinical applications. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2011;184(5):602–15. Sep.
11. Menzies-Gow A, Mansur AH, Brightling CE. Clinical utility of fractional exhaled nitric oxide in severe asthma management. *Eur Respir J.* 2020;55(3):1–13.
12. Soma T, Iemura H, Naito E, Miyauchi S, Uchida Y, Nakagome K, et al. Implication of fraction of exhaled nitric oxide and blood eosinophil count in severe asthma. *Allergol Int.* 2018;67S: S3–11.
13. Loureiro CC, Amaral L, Ferreira JA, Lima R, Pardal C, Fernandes I, et al. Omalizumab for severe asthma: Beyond allergic asthma. *Biomed Res Int.* 2018. 2018.