



Antidepressants and Circadian Rhythm: Exploring Their Bidirectional Interaction for the Treatment of Depression

Soraia Silva ^{1,2}, Joana Bicker ^{1,2,*}, Amílcar Falcão ^{1,2}, and Ana Fortuna ^{1,2}

- ¹ Laboratory of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Coimbra, Azinhaga Sta. Comba, 3000-548 Coimbra, Portugal; soraia.silva92@gmail.com (S.S.); acfalcao@ff.uc.pt (A.F.); anacfortuna@gmail.com (A.F.)
- ² CIBIT—Coimbra Institute for Biomedical Imaging and Translational Research, University of Coimbra, Azinhaga Sta. Comba, 3000-548 Coimbra, Portugal
- Correspondence: joana.bicker@gmail.com

Abstract: Scientific evidence that circadian rhythms affect pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics has highlighted the importance of drug dosing-time. Circadian oscillations alter drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) as well as intracellular signaling systems, target molecules (e.g., receptors, transporters, and enzymes), and gene transcription. Although several antidepressant drugs are clinically available, less than 50% of depressed patients respond to firstline pharmacological treatments. Chronotherapeutic approaches to enhance the effectiveness of antidepressants are not completely known. Even so, experimental results found until this day suggest a positive influence of drug dosing-time on the efficacy of depression therapy. On the other hand, antidepressants have also demonstrated to modulate circadian rhythmicity and sleep-wake cycles. This review aims to evidence the potential of chronotherapy to improve the efficacy and/or safety of antidepressants. It includes pre-clinical and clinical studies that demonstrate the relevance of determining the most appropriate time of administration for antidepressant drugs. In parallel, their positive influence on the resynchronization of disrupted circadian rhythms is also herein discussed. It is expected that this review will promote the investigation of chronotherapy for the treatment of depression, contribute to a better understanding of the relationship between antidepressants and circadian rhythms, and consequently promote the development of new therapeutics.

Keywords: antidepressant; circadian rhythm; chronopharmacokinetics; chrono-pharmacodynamics; chronotoxicology; depression; clinical studies

1. Introduction

All organisms display biological processes with rhythmic oscillations of 24 h periodicity defined as circadian rhythms. Among them is the sleep–wake cycle associated with the sleep hormone melatonin, which has a 24 h-variation [1]. In a process regulated by noradrenergic and neuropeptidergic signaling, the pineal gland converts serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine; 5-HT) to melatonin, which is then released into the systemic circulation [2]. Melatonin secretion is enhanced by darkness and inhibited by light, achieving plasma peak levels between 2h00 and 4h00 in the morning [2]. Other biological processes are repeated throughout the 24 h (i.e., ultradian rhythms) such as blood circulation, respiration, heart rate, and thermoregulation [3]. If repeated for periods longer than 24 h, rhythms are known as infradian, such as the menstrual cycle or seasonal rhythms [4].

At a molecular level, circadian rhythms are controlled by positive and negative feedback loops that dictate the transcription and translation of clock-genes [5]. The transcription factors, brain and muscle ARNT-like 1 (BMAL1) and circadian locomotor output cycles kaput (CLOCK), dimerize and bind to E-box or E-box-like elements of the promotor region of clock-genes, inducing the transcription of rhythmic clock genes Period 1 and 2 (*PER1* and *PER2*) and cryptochrome (*CRY*) [6]. In the nucleus, the corresponding expressed proteins



Citation: Silva, S.; Bicker, J.; Falcão, A.; Fortuna, A. Antidepressants and Circadian Rhythm: Exploring Their Bidirectional Interaction for the Treatment of Depression. *Pharmaceutics* **2021**, *13*, 1975. https:// doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics 13111975

Academic Editor: Kishor M. Wasan

Received: 14 October 2021 Accepted: 16 November 2021 Published: 21 November 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). PER and CRY inhibit BMAL1:CLOCK heterodimerization in a negative feedback loop. The PER and CRY display peak levels at the end of the day and decrease during the night, in opposition to BMAL1:CLOCK activity [6]. Simultaneously, a secondary mechanism mediated by retinoid-related orphan receptors (RORs) and reverse erythroblastosis virus α (REV-ERB α) induce and inhibit *BMAL1* transcription, respectively [5,7].

In mammals, the central clock is found in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) located in the medio-frontal hypothalamus. The SCN is responsible for maintaining all body cells synchronized by directly or indirectly adjusting peripheral clocks through the synthesis of hormones, such as melatonin or cortisol [5,8]. For instance, neuronal and hormonal clock outputs regulate cell growth, renal filtration, cognition, nutrient metabolism, and immune function [9]. Sunlight, temperature, or food intake are known time-givers (*zeitgebers* in German), i.e., external factors that modulate circadian rhythms [10]. Light signals are received by visual photoreceptors and retinal photosensitive ganglion cells, and the nerve impulse is then transmitted to the SCN by demyelinated axons via retinohypothalamic tract [5].

Dysregulation of circadian rhythms can lead to several disorders including heart diseases, diabetes, cancer, or depression [11]. Depression is a common mental disorder that affects over 300 million people of all ages [12]. The situation worsened in 2020 due to the COVID pandemic restrictions and an increase of 25% of cases has been reported worldwide [13]. In neuropsychiatric disorders such as depression, melatonin and cortisol are deregulated [14]. Indeed, in moderate and severely depressed patients, nocturnal salivary melatonin levels are 1.5- and 3.3-fold lower, respectively, than in healthy individuals, regardless of gender [15]. Melatonin is also associated with sleep–wake rhythms and it is known that bedtime melatonin concentrations have a negative correlation with the severity of depressive symptoms [16]. These results emphasize the use of melatonin as clinical biomarker and as a potential marker of treatment response. Moreover, 6-sulfatoxymelatonin, the main urinary melatonin metabolite, has been proposed as a biomarker for several antidepressant treatments [17,18]. On the other hand, patients diagnosed with major depressive disorder (MDD) show significantly higher waking salivary cortisol levels compared to healthy subjects [19]. In plasma of psychiatric patients, increased cortisol levels during inactive hours have been also associated with psychotic MDD [20].

Depression indicators such as concentration and memory deficit or slow reaction time, vary throughout the day, being more prominent in the morning than evening [21]. Findings from a meta-analysis revealed more severe mood symptoms and a higher likelihood of a mood disorder in individuals with an evening chronotype, who wake up and sleep at late hours and are more active at the end of the day [22,23]. Furthermore, evening-type individuals normally take a higher number of antidepressants with reduced efficacy but similar side effects, compared with morning chronotypes [24]. These data emphasize the importance of light–dark cycles in the development of mood disorders, including depression, and the use of individualized therapy for its treatment.

A deficient stimulation of post-synaptic neurons by norepinephrine (NE) and 5-HT is one of the principal factors underlying the physiopathology of depression [25]. The mechanism of action of most antidepressant drugs relies on slowing the reuptake and thus raising the concentration of those neurotransmitters in the synaptic cleft, increasing neurotransmission and the relief of depressive symptoms [26]. The classification of antidepressant drugs is associated with their respective mechanism of action: selective 5-HT reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), 5-HT and NE reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs), and atypical antidepressants [27]. New classifications have been proposed by regional and international organizations, including European, Asian, American, and International Colleges of Neuropsychopharmacology and International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. These classifications, efficacy, side effects, and neurobiology, but until today, none have been unanimously accepted [28,29]. Antidepressants are usually applied in chronic treatments for long periods of time, despite revealing several side effects. In particular, TCAs exhibit poor tolerability and adverse effects, such as dry mouth, tremors, blurred vision, body weight gain, memory disorders, postural hypotension, and gastrointestinal disturbances and sedation, which ultimately undermine adherence to treatment [30,31]. Since depression is usually worse in the morning, antidepressants can be administered in this period, although their side effects may shift dosing to bedtime [32]. Even so, less than 50% of depressed patients achieve remission following several pharmacological interventions.

Based on the aforementioned bidirectional interactions between circadian rhythms and depression, interest in chronotherapy with antidepressants has increased exponentially [33]. Pharmacokinetic processes, specifically, absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME), present time-dependent oscillations that can lead to different concentrations in the plasma and tissues and, therefore, distinct therapeutic effects [34]. Complementarily, pharmacodynamic studies describe the association between drug concentrations and their effects [35]. The role of clock genes on antidepressant targets seem to influence antidepressant efficacy and side effects during the day [36,37].

Chronopharmacokinetics, chrono-pharmacodynamics, and chronotoxicology are hence defined by differences in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics due to biological rhythms in ADME or in therapeutic and toxic effects, respectively [38,39]. Variations with clinical impact must be considered to adjust the dosing-time of an antidepressant, in order to improve its benefits [40]. According to these observations, defining the best dosing-time for antidepressant administration may result in better pharmacokinetic profiles, improved therapeutic effect and less toxicity. Hence, this review highlights clinical and non-clinical investigations regarding the chronopharmacokinetics and chrono-pharmacodynamics of antidepressant drugs, since understanding their chronopharmacological profiles may improve depression treatment. To finalize, the influence of antidepressants on the modulation of circadian rhythmicity will also be herein explored, in order to provide a better insight of its clinical importance.

For this review, databases including PubMed and Google Scholar were searched for papers using the terms "circadian AND rhythm AND antidepressants", "chronopharmacology OR chronopharmacokinetics OR sleep AND antidepressants". Due to the scarcity of data, no restrictions of time period were applied. Additionally, a search in ClinicalTrials.gov for ongoing clinical trials was performed (registered until 30 September 2021), but no results were found with the terms "chronopharmacokinetic OR chronopharmacology OR chronotoxicology AND depression".

2. Pharmacokinetics of Antidepressants

2.1. Circadian Rhythm Effect on Pharmacokinetic Stages

2.1.1. Absorption

Chronopharmacological studies with antidepressants are often performed *in vivo*. Light is a strong *zeitgeber* in rodents, which display an active phase during the night. For this reason, studies are usually performed under a 12h00 light–12h00 dark cycle, during which drugs are administered at different *zeitgeber* times (ZT). The ZT0 corresponds to the moment that lights are turned on and ZT12 when lights are turned off [41]. In contrast, chronotherapy in humans needs to consider *zeitgeber* factors other than light, namely mealtime, oxygen levels, temperature, and exercise [41]. Pharmacokinetic alterations at different drug dosing times are associated with intrinsic circadian rhythms in several tissues that are also involved in physiological ADME (Figure 1). Chronopharmacokinetic parameters of antidepressants have mostly been studied for TCAs in rodents (Table 1) and humans (Table 2), probably due to their narrow therapeutic index.

Since antidepressants are orally administered, their bioavailability depends not only on their physicochemical properties, such as lipophilicity, but also on physiological processes of the digestive system modulated by circadian rhythms that may be disrupted in depressed patients [42]. As previously stated, food is a strong zeitgeber, but only when its availability is limited during the day, leading mammals to adjust their daily rhythms and behavior [43]. Pre-mealtime increases core temperature, corticosterone levels, and duodenal disaccharidases production [43]. In turn, food intake influences gastric acid secretion, pH, motility, and gastric emptying time, all of which, together with food–drug interactions, can affect drug release and absorption [44].



Figure 1. Physiological processes regulated by circadian rhythms with strong effect on the pharmacokinetics of antidepressants. BBB, blood–brain barrier.

Although the mechanism of action of trimipramine is not fully characterized, it is known to be a TCA that differs from others, particularly because it does not directly inhibit reuptake transporters, namely the 5-HT transporter (SERT) or NE reuptake transporter (NET) [45]. Chronopharmacokinetic studies in humans treated with two oral formulations of the trimipramine (solution and tablet) showed that tablets seem to be less affected by dosing-time (Table 2) [46]. Importantly, drug absorption remains faster after solution administration than tablets administration. Solutions demonstrated significantly higher maximum concentration (C_{max}), lower time to reach C_{max} (t_{max}), and lower mean residence time (MRT) in the morning (under fasting conditions) than at night (after a meal). The authors refer that food delays and reduces the intestinal absorption of solutions and, therefore, different pharmacokinetic results may be found in fasting or after a meal. In contrast, tablet formulations have similar pharmacokinetic parameters in plasma, independent of the administration time [46]. Likewise, the single dose administration of sertraline tablets, a SSRI antidepressant, revealed that the drug bioavailability is not influenced by the time of administration (Table 2) or by the presence of food [47].

Antidonnocconton	Animal Spacias	Daily Dose	Duration		Pielogiaal			Ph	armacokinetic 1	Parameters										
Active Metabolite	(Gender)	(mg/kg)	;) (Days)	(Days) Route	toute Matrix	ZT	t _{max} (h)	C _{max} (mg/L)	AUC (mg.h/L)	t _{1/2 α} (h)	t _{1/2 β} (h)	k _a (h ⁻¹)	Ref.							
Amitrintulino	Wiston not (mole)	64	10	Introgastria	ות	ZT4	-	-	5.43	-	3.88	0.96	[49]							
Annunptynne Wistar fat (male)	64	4 10	10 intragastric	Plasma	ZT16	-	-	4.70	-	2.90	1.40	[40]								
Desipramine Wistar rat (ma				Intravenous Intraperitoneal		ZT0.5	0.78	54.5	272	0.18	2.76	-								
					Plasma	ZT12.5	1.71	47.7	259	0.71	1.90	-	- - - -							
		le) 10	1		Brain	ZT0.5	1.86	1.01 ¹	6.08 ²	0.75	1.08	-								
	Wistar rat (male)					ZT12.5	2.25	1.02 ¹	6.50 ²	1.20	0.91	-								
					Brain	ZT0.5	2.32	$0.85^{\ 1}$	6.90 ²	0.83	3.72	-								
						ZT12.5	1.59	1.80 ¹	10.69 ²	0.53	2.91	-								
					DI	ZT0.5	-	-	482	-	1.08	-	- - - [49]							
				Intervonous	Plasma	ZT12.5	-	-	474	-	0.91	-								
				intravenous	Brain	ZT0.5	-	-	40.43 ²	-	1.40	-								
Imipramine W	Wistar rat (male)	rat (male) 10	1			ZT12.5	-	-	53.21 ²	-	2.83	-								
											T / 1		ZT0.5	0.43	2.85 ¹	6.44 ²	0.11	1.23	-	,
					Intraperitoneal	Brain	ZT12.5	0.40	4.80 ¹	9.67 ²	0.11	1.08	-							

Table 1. Chronopharmacokinetic parameters of antidepressants in animal studies.

Note: Only average values are presented for pharmacokinetic parameters, and some were converted to uniform units. Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; Cl, clearance; C_{max} , maximum concentration; k_a , constant absorption rate; $t_{1/2\alpha}$, distribution half-life time; $t_{1/2\beta}$, elimination half-life time; t_{max} , time to reach the maximum concentration; ZT, *zeitgeber* time. ¹ For brain is mg/g; ² For brain in mg.h/g.

				1	1	1									
		Chu day	Deily Dece	Duration		TT (Plasma Pharmacokinetic Parameters								
Active Metabolite	Subjects	Design	(mg)	(Days)	Formulation	Administration	t _{max} (h)	C _{max} (mg/L)	AUC (mg.h/L)	t _{1/2β} (h)	${\displaystyle \stackrel{k_{el}}{(h^{-1})}}$	k _a (h ⁻¹)	MRT (h)	Ref.	
Amitriptyline10 healthy subjects (σ), 22–31 years old.	C	50	21	21 Injectable - solution	9h00	3.2 *	96.1	1270	15.7	-	0.36 *	-	[27]		
	Crossover	50	21		21h00	4.4 *	72.8	1224	17.2	-	0.25 *	-	- [37]		
Nortriptyline 10 healthy subjects (♂), 22–30 years old.	10 healthy subjects (\mathfrak{F}),	thy subjects (♂),	100	14	Oral formulation:	9h00	6.2	32	730	15.0	-	-	-	[[0]]	
	Crossover	100	14	25 mg capsules	21h00	8.8	31	730	16.0	-	-	-	[30]		
		Ŷ				Oral formulation:	8h00	2.5	37.8	362	10.9	-	-	10.8	
Triminramino	12 healthy subjects (6 ♀,		100	15	100 mg tablet	20h00	2.8	39.2	376	9.9	-	-	11.5	- _ [46]	
frimipramine 6 ♂), 22–37 years old.	6 ♂), 22–37 years old.	Crossover	100	15	Oral formulation:	8h00	1.5 *	48.2 *	372	9.9	-	-	9.8 *		
				solution	20h00	2.5 *	28.8 *	322	11.1	-	-	11.8 *	•		
Sertraline 10 healthy subjects (c 18–45 years old.	10 healthy subjects (♂),	nealthy subjects (♂), Crossover 18–45 years old.	100		Oral formulation:	Morning	7.0	24.5	0.664	20.0	0.0347	-	-	- [47]	
	18–45 years old.		years old. Crossover		1	1 100 mg tablet	Evening	7.3	24.4	0.705	20.8	0.0333	-		-

Table 2. Chronopharmacokinetic parameters of antidepressants in human studies.

Note: Only average values are presented for pharmacokinetic parameters, and some were converted to uniform units. Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; C_{max} , maximum concentration; k_a , constant absorption rate; k_{el} , constant elimination rate; MRT, mean residence time; $t_{1/2\beta}$, elimination half-life time; t_{max} , time to reach the maximum concentration. * Statistically significant values (* p < 0.05).

Intestinal permeability shows daily rhythms due to variations of expression of tight junction proteins that regulate the epithelial paracellular pathway [51]. In the small intestine, mRNA levels of occludin and claudin-3 were higher during the late dark phase than the late light phase and inversely associated with paracellular permeability data [52]. A study performed by Oh-Oka et al. reported that, in the large intestine of mice, BMAL1 and CLOCK bind to the E-box element in the promoter regions of occludin and claudin-1 genes and affect their transcriptional responses [51]. Colonic permeability was higher at the beginning of the dark phase (ZT16) than during the light phase (ZT4) and inversely related with occludin and claudin-1 expression levels [51]. Meanwhile, the disruption of circadian rhythms by light–dark shifting results in a lower expression of zonula occludens-1 and in colon hyperpermeability [53]. It has also been observed that patients with MDD may have a leaky gut that activates their inflammatory response system. This reaction plays a critical role in the pathophysiology of depression because it increases the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and weakens cellular immunity [54].

From another point of view, it is important to bear in mind that several antidepressant drugs are known as substrates of efflux transmembrane ATP-binding cassette (ABC) proteins, including P-glycoprotein (P-gp; ABCB1; MDR1) [55–59] and Breast Cancer Resistant Protein (BCRP; ABCG2) [60]. These transporters are expressed in several tissues, namely the intestine, kidney, liver, and blood-brain barrier (BBB). They reduce the bioavailability, facilitate the elimination, and hamper the access of compounds to the brain, including antidepressant drugs [61]. The expression of P-gp in the intestine is modulated by prolineand acid-rich basic leucine zipper (PAR bZIP) proteins, particularly hepatic leukemia factor (HLF), whose expression is regulated by core oscillator components [62]. The HLF and E4 promoter binding protein-4 (E4BP4), a putative antagonist of PAR bZIP proteins, respectively, increase and decrease the mRNA levels and expression of P-gp [63]. In the mouse intestine, Mdr1a mRNA levels exhibit a significant 24 h daily variation, increasing during the light phase with a peak at ZT12, when the lights go off [63,64]. Similarly, in the rat jejunum, P-gp mRNA varies 5.4-fold with the circadian time [65]. Consistent with the daily rhythmicity observed in total protein with a peak level at ZT8, the P-gp function in the intestine is significantly higher at ZT12 than ZT0 [64]. Additionally, feeding patterns and gender also influence the expression and activities of the ABC transporters during the day, since circadian amplitudes of mRNA and protein levels of P-gp in the ileum are larger in female mice than in male mice [66]. A study performed with cynomolgus monkeys, diurnally active animals, reported that the intestinal expression of ABCB1 mRNA in monkeys oscillated in the opposite phase of rodents. However, the intestinal expression and function of P-gp was similar between both species [67]. The authors justified the delayed mRNA oscillation in monkeys due to slower protein synthesis and/or transportation into the intestinal membrane [67]. These results suggest that the data generated from mouse models may be transferable to humans, provided that the P-gp protein levels, and not exclusively mRNA levels, are taken into consideration.

Multiple-dose experiments provide a better insight of the pharmacokinetics of antidepressants administered to humans. Amitriptyline is a TCA and a P-gp substrate [68]. Similar pharmacokinetic parameters were found in plasma between administrations at ZT4 or ZT16 after multiple dosing in rats, but the absorption rate constant (k_a) was 1.46 higher in the active phase than in the rest phase (Table 1) [48]. In humans, the absorption of amitriptyline also presents a daily rhythm [37]. Oral administrations of amitriptyline hydrochloride (50 mg) in the morning (9h00) or evening (21h00) for three weeks (Table 2) demonstrated that t_{max} in plasma was significantly shorter with morning doses (3.2 h vs 4.4 h) while k_a values were higher (0.36 h⁻¹ vs 0.25 h⁻¹) [37]. The active metabolite of amitriptyline, nortriptyline, also had a faster absorption after morning administration than in the evening, although the difference was not statically significant (Table 2) [50].

Similarly to P-gp, BCRP expression demonstrates daily oscillations in the intestine of mice. It is induced through the activation of transcription factor 4 (ATF4), a molecule regulated by clock genes [69,70]. In the rat jejunum, BCRP mRNA levels vary slightly (1.6-

fold) with the circadian time [65]. The BCRP mRNA levels in the mouse intestine increase during the light phase, with peak values near its end (between ZT6 and ZT10). Protein expression levels and function are higher in the beginning of the dark phase (ZT14) [70]. In agreement, BCRP protein levels in the small intestine of monkeys are higher between

15h00 and 21h00 [67]. The aforementioned results regarding P-gp and BCRP in the intestine suggest that their substrates may have higher bioavailability if administered in the morning, when the expression levels of efflux transporters are lower. Although sertraline is a known BCRP substrate [60], there are no studies in literature concerning the influence of the circadian BCRP oscillations on its intestinal absorption.

2.1.2. Distribution

The cardiovascular system is susceptible to circadian rhythmicity since blood pressure, heart rate, and plasma protein levels display daily oscillations [71,72]. The blood pressure of mammals has a 24 h cycle, with a peak during their active phase and a 10–20% slope at rest phase, along with a 17% daily fluctuation of total plasma proteins [73,74]. Particularly for antidepressant drugs that are highly protein-bound, distribution is strongly affected by the presence of plasma proteins, mainly albumin and α_1 -acid glycoprotein [75,76]. A study performed in rats demonstrated that plasma protein levels are higher in the active phase [74]. Furthermore, in healthy humans, α_1 -acid glycoprotein plasma levels are also increased during the active phase [77]. Hence, these protein fluctuations may be important to adjust the dosing-time of highly protein-bound antidepressants since differences of unbound fraction and tissue exposure can affect drug efficacy and toxicity [48]. A single intragastric administration of amitriptyline in rats (64 mg/kg) at six different time-points revealed highest bioavailability at the end of the active phase (ZT22) [48]. In fact, the total drug exposure was higher in the beginning of the rest phase, even though the k_a after intragastric administration was unaltered during the light–dark cycle [48]. Exposure in liver and lung, given by area under the concentration-time curve (AUC), showed a 24 h oscillation (peak at ZT4). Moreover, multi-dosing for 10 days demonstrated significantly higher AUC values in liver, lung, and kidney tissues in the light than in the dark phase [48]. These results may be linked to the reduction of plasma protein levels during the light phase, since amitriptyline is a highly protein-bound drug (95%) [78]. In another study, the concentrations of imipramine and its active metabolite, desipramine, were evaluated in rat plasma after a single injection, at two different time-points [49]. Desipramine revealed a lower t_{max} and faster distribution, given by higher distribution half-life time $(t_{1/2\alpha})$ values at ZT0.5 than those at ZT12.5 (Table 1). Indeed, the plasma exposure of desipramine, after oral chronic treatment with imipramine (15 mg/kg) for 14 days, revealed a 24 h oscillation, with the highest concentration detected at ZT7 (48.9 mg/L) and the lowest at ZT13 (12.4 mg/L) [49].

The therapeutic targets of antidepressant drugs are located in the central nervous system (CNS), whose access is limited by blood-CNS barriers, including the BBB and the blood-cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) barrier. Circadian rhythms have an important role in BBB homeostasis and integrity, since the deletion of the clock component BMAL1 leads to BBB hyperpermeability [79]. Additionally, a strong circadian gene expression of *Per2* in the choroid plexus is responsible for the adjustment of the SCN clock and brain homeostasis through the CSF [80]. Sleep–awake cycles also influence the volume of the interstitial space. Xie et al. evidenced that natural sleep and ketamine/xylazine anesthesia increase CSF influx and, therefore, the clearance of neural metabolites from the brain of mice [81].

One of the main causes behind antidepressant inefficacy is the overexpression of P-gp and BCRP by the endothelial cells of BBB of depressed patients [61] and the efflux of xenobiotics across the BBB of mammals is known to be regulated by circadian rhythms [82]. Nonetheless, there is not much data concerning diurnal variations in the transcript and protein levels of efflux transporters in the brain and the studies performed until today are conflicting. Pulido et al. observed an inverse association between P-gp activity and

the active phase of wild-type mice [83]. The authors reported a diurnal oscillation of P-gp transcription (peak at ZT12) in the brain, which increased during the light phase and decreased during the dark phase. It was modulated by PAR bZip transcription factors, specifically albumin D box-binding protein (DBP), thyrotroph embryonic factor (TEF), and HLF [83]. Accordingly, the accumulation of a P-gp substrate (rhodamine-123) in the brain was higher in the beginning of the light phase [83]. In opposition, Zhang et al. did not find circadian alterations of mRNA or protein levels of P-gp in the BBB of mice or humans [82]. However, its activity was modulated by clock genes. In mice, higher efflux activity was observed during the active phase, but transcription levels and protein expression were unaffected. The magnesium transporter transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily M, member 7 (TRPM7) contributed to efflux rhythms in human cultured brain endothelial cells [82]. The BMAL1 modulates transcript and protein expression of TRPM7 during active periods, which enhances intracellular magnesium levels and promotes efflux activity. It was observed that BBB permeability in Drosophila is regulated by 24 h cyclically expressed gap junctions [84]. During the rest phase, increased gap junctions reduce intracellular magnesium and, therefore, lead to higher brain exposure at night [84]. A study performed by Kervezee et al. with resort to intracerebral microdialysis demonstrated that the exposure of a P-gp substrate in rat brain tissue displayed a diurnal rhythm, no longer observed after P-gp inhibition [85]. The authors verified that drug accumulation in the rat brain is higher during the rest phase than active phase [85]. In contrast, Savolainen et al. found that the highest brain uptake of a radiolabeled P-gp substrate, [¹⁸F]MC225, during the active phase (ZT15), significantly decreased until ZT21 [86]. Still, the role of P-gp in these results was not directly evaluated, since the effect of P-pg inhibition was not assessed. Interestingly, intragastric administrations of amitriptyline in rats revealed a 12 h oscillation of drug levels in the brain (peaks at ZT4 and ZT16) [48]. It is known that P-gp expression in the BBB has the potential to reduce amitriptyline concentrations in the brain [87,88], however, other factors such as clearance and daily oscillations of plasma protein levels may have also influenced the brain exposure of this drug.

Imipramine and desipramine levels in the rat brain were analyzed after administrations at different times of the light–dark cycle [49]. Their C_{max} and AUC in the brain were 1.6-fold higher in the light phase (ZT12.5) than in the dark one (ZT0.5), following intravenous or intraperitoneal single administrations (Table 1). The increase of these values could be related with P-gp activity, since both drugs accumulate in the brain after P-gp inhibition with verapamil [89]. Furthermore, the chronic administration of imipramine (15 mg/kg) in drinking water for two weeks caused concentration variations of imipramine and its metabolite in the brain (more than 3-fold within 24 h). Peak levels were reached at ZT13 (2.81 mg/L) and ZT7 (0.67 mg/L), respectively, suggesting that both undergo timedependent oscillations. Curiously, lower concentrations were detected at ZT7 (0.83 mg/L) for imipramine and ZT13 (0.20 mg/L) for desipramine. Nonetheless, it is important to refer that imipramine altered the drinking behavior of rats, since higher drinking volumes were observed at ZT12-ZT14, compared with those of the control group [49]. This may have been caused by the adverse effect of imipramine, i.e., xerostomia.

Transcript levels of BCRP in the BBB display circadian oscillations with peak levels at ZT14, independent of BMAL1 [82]. To the best of our knowledge, data on daily oscillations of the BCRP protein and function on the BBB remain scarce. Additional studies would assist our current understanding of the impact of circadian rhythms on the xenobiotic efflux in the BBB.

2.1.3. Metabolism and Excretion

Liver enzyme activity and hepatic blood flow are two time-dependent processes that drive hepatic drug metabolism [90]. Lipophilic drugs, such as antidepressants, are metabolized in the liver into more hydrophilic polar metabolites in three phases [91]. Phase I functionalization reactions are mostly performed by the cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme superfamily, specifically the isoforms CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 [91]. After phase II conjugation reactions, metabolites may be excreted into the bile or transported into the systemic circulation by efflux transporters (phase III). The PAR bZip and an atypical nuclear receptor expressed abundantly in the liver, named as small heterodimer partner (SHP), play a pivotal role in CYP activity 24 h oscillations in mice, controlling daily variations of detoxification and drug metabolism [62,92]. In addition, in mice, Zhang et al. described that the mRNA levels of phase I enzymes increase in the dark phase, while phase II enzymes rise in the light phase [93]. Analyzing serum-shocked cells of a human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (HepG2), a 24 h oscillation in the expression of CYP3A4 and CYP2D6 was revealed [94,95]. Transcript levels seem to be considerably more affected than protein levels when circadian rhythms are disrupted. The rhythmicity of liver proteins can be explained by rhythmic mRNAs, and translational and post-translational regulation and feeding behavior [96].

Regarding efflux transporters, Ando et al. reported time-dependent mRNA levels of hepatic P-gp in mice that increase in the light phase and peak at ZT16 [64]. Still, total P-gp protein levels were constant throughout the light–dark cycle in mice [64] and similar results were found in monkeys [67]. Recent investigations confirmed that P-gp mRNA levels vary with time in mouse liver, but protein levels present only a slight daily oscillation [97]. This discrepancy between mRNA and protein levels can be explained by several factors such as post-transcriptional mechanisms, oscillations of protein degradation rate, or experimental conditions that require further investigation. Concerning BCRP, mRNA and protein levels do not vary during the light–dark cycle in the liver of mice or monkeys [67,97], although transcript levels of isoform *Abcg2* containing exon 1B oscillated in mouse liver [70]. Nevertheless, in zebrafish, active during the light phase, hepatic *Abcg2* mRNA levels were higher in the dark phase (peak at ZT18) than in the light phase [98]. Consequently, additional studies are needed to clarify the extent of rhythmic expression and function of efflux transporters in the liver.

Metabolic oscillations appear to have a weaker role in the chronopharmacokinetics of antidepressants. For instance, the elimination half-life time $(t_{1/2\beta})$ of sertraline did not change after administration to humans in the morning or evening (Table 2) [46]. Similarly, the administration of imipramine to rats revealed that $t_{1/2}$ was not time-dependent (Table 1) [49]. Moreover, imipramine versus desipramine exposure ratios in plasma were 1.7 and 1.8 during the light and dark phase, respectively, suggesting a constant metabolic process [49]. The lack of data concerning the influence of circadian rhythms on the metabolism of antidepressants reveals that additional studies in this area are warranted.

The excretion of antidepressants and their metabolites is predominantly renal and involves three processes: glomerular filtration, active tubular secretion, and tubular reabsorption [99]. In a mouse kidney, P-gp mRNA and protein expression do not appear to present 24 h oscillations [64]. Nevertheless, daily variations of blood flow may explain clearance oscillations observed in the excretion of some drugs [100,101]. Single and daily administrations of amitriptyline for 10 days in rats showed significantly higher concentrations at ZT4 in the liver and kidney, compared to administrations at ZT16 [48]. This lower drug exposure was associated with clearance oscillations, since the highest clearance values were obtained between ZT13 and ZT16. In fact, its chronic administration revealed a higher clearance at ZT16 (13.61 L/h/Kg) than ZT4 (11.78 L/h/Kg). Identically, a lower $t_{1/26}$ was detected at ZT16 (2.90 h) compared with ZT4 (3.88 h) (Table 1) [48].

Glomerular filtration rates in rodents are higher during the dark phase, leading to higher urine volume [102]. Therefore, if drug administration is performed in the active phase when clearance is the highest, nephrotoxicity can be reduced [103]. More chronopharmacokinetic studies will improve the current knowledge about the influence of time on other classes of antidepressants since their elimination profiles were not sufficiently explored.

3. Pharmacodynamics of Antidepressants

3.1. Circadian Rhythm Effect on Antidepressant Drug Targets

Different results of chronopharmacodynamic studies with antidepressants can be related with fluctuations of the pharmacokinetic parameters discussed in Section 2.1 or daily variations of the expression of antidepressant drug targets affected by circadian rhythms (Figure 2). Distinct mechanisms of action of antidepressant drugs may lead to different chronopharmacological profiles, and dosing-time can influence both therapeutic and toxic effects.



Figure 2. Summary of the mechanism of action of SSRIs, SNRIs, TCAs, and MAOIs at noradrenergic (left) and serotonergic (right) neurons. The influence of circadian rhythms on antidepressant targets is also depicted. SSRIs, SNRIs, and TCAs increase 5-HT neurotransmission through the direct blockade of SERT at presynaptic terminals. NET is inhibited by SNRIs and TCAs in noradrenergic neurons. MAOIs inhibit MAO enzymes present in mitochondria, responsible for breaking down neurotransmitters, such as 5-HT and NE. These processes increase the levels of 5-HT and NE in the synaptic cleft, leading to an antidepressant effect [26]. Circadian rhythms are known to affect the expression or activity of NET and SERT [104–106], 5-HT_{1A} receptor [106], adrenergic receptors [107], and MAO [108]. 5-HT_X, 5-HT receptor subtypes; α - and β -AR, adrenergic receptors; MAO, monoamine oxidase; MAOI, MAO inhibitors; NET, NE transporter; SERT, 5-HT transporter; SNRI, SERT, and NET inhibitor; SSRI, SERT inhibitor; TCA, tricyclic antidepressant.

The monoaminergic hypothesis, established in 1965, postulates that depression is linked with noradrenergic and serotoninergic dysfunction in the CNS [109]. Hence, the development of antidepressants aimed towards the direct inhibition of SERT occurs, a member of the Na⁺/Cl⁻-dependent transporter family, or the blockade of both SERT and NET. The first is mediated by SSRIs, SNRIs, and TCAs and the second by SNRIs and

TCAs. Notwithstanding, antidepressants exhibit different inhibitory potencies on reuptake transporters. The fact that inhibition is not equal among all drugs that prevent SERT and NET activity leads to different pharmacodynamic results in the same antidepressant classes [110].

Serotonergic and noradrenergic systems from the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus are very important to reduce depressive symptoms. Both systems have a 30% amplitude of mean content levels in the rat brain during a light–dark cycle [104]. The SERT transcription levels and activity revealed significant time-dependent changes in the mouse mid-brain, with higher levels during the active phase [105]. The 5-HT peak levels in the synaptic cleft occur at the end of the dark phase and are higher throughout the light phase than the dark phase. In the rat hippocampus, 5-HT turnover shows a peak during the ZT18–ZT22 and a trough at ZT10-14, while the NE turnover peaks between ZT22 and ZT2. Base levels were detected at ZT14-ZT18 [104]. Discrepancies of peak activity times in serotonergic and noradrenergic systems may be responsible for differences in the chronopharmacological profiles of antidepressants. In humans, positron emission tomography was applied to examine changes of the 5-HT_{1A} receptor and SERT in the brain of 40–56 healthy volunteers [106]. This study showed an increase and decrease of the 5-HT_{1A} receptor and SERT, respectively, in the midbrain during the day [106]. The increase of the 5-HT_{1A} receptor is directly correlated with the duration of daylight, leading to seasonal differences [106]. Indeed, potential binding values to SERT in the brain were significantly higher in the fall and winter, compared to the spring and summer, revealing a negative correlation with the daily amount of sunshine [111].

The TCAs are potent inhibitors of 5-HT and NE reuptake by binding to sodiumdependent transporters. They also block histamine H₁ receptors, α_1 -adrenergic receptors, and muscarinic receptors causing sedative, hypotensive, and anticholinergic effects (e.g., blurred vision, dry mouth, constipation, urinary retention), respectively [112]. These receptors have been described to be modulated by circadian rhythm in rodents with higher expression during the day [107,113]. In the rat forebrain, the number of muscarinic receptors was significantly higher during the light than dark phase [113]. Likewise, the density of adrenergic receptors in the pineal gland of Syrian hamsters is higher during the light phase and decreases at the time of the peak of nocturnal melatonin production [107]. Activation of post-synaptic α_1 -adrenergic receptors is known to induce antidepressant effects, since NE exerts its function by binding to these receptors [114]. Nevertheless, their inhibition by TCAs may reduce the antidepressant effect of the noradrenergic system [115].

Monoamine oxidase (MAO) enzymes are located in the outer membrane of the mitochondria and catalyze the oxidation of monoamines, mediating the degradation of 5-HT and NE. These enzymes are the principal target of MAOI antidepressants. In the rat brain, MAO activity presents a 24 h oscillation, with higher levels during the light phase [108]. *In vitro* studies revealed that the transcription of the MAO-A promoter is regulated by clock components, namely BMAL1 and PER2 [108]. The direct binding of BMAL1 to the promoter of MAO-A in the rat brain is significantly higher during the light phase (ZT6) than the dark phase (ZT18). Moreover, *Per2* mutant mice showed no circadian oscillations of MAO-A expression and activity during the light–dark cycle [108].

Other neuronal systems, namely the dopaminergic and glutamatergic systems, also play an important role in the action of bupropion, an atypical antidepressant and dopamine reuptake inhibitor [116]. Dopamine, glutamate, and γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) levels in the striatum and *nucleus accumbens* of the rat brain exhibit circadian oscillations and reach maximum levels during the dark phase [117]. Castañeda et al. analyzed the levels of these neurotransmitters during light–dark, full-dark, or full-light cycles. In the striatum region, only dopamine was influenced by light, whereas all neurotransmitters showed daily oscillations in the *nucleus accumbens* but were not regulated by light. The authors suggested that an endogenous mechanism could be responsible for this circadian variation [117].

Overall, several antidepressant targets are known to be regulated by circadian rhythms, which may have important implications regarding their efficacy at different dosing times.

Nevertheless, much is yet unknown about this relationship. Further research in this field would benefit the design of future therapeutic approaches and improve the efficacy of currently available options.

3.1.1. Animal Studies

Before chronopharmacological studies, it is important to ensure the entrainment of circadian rhythms in healthy mice to a standard light/dark cycle. Phenotyping circadian rhythms in mice requires measurements of their activity in free running conditions [118], quantifying circadian clock or clock-related genes [119], or monitoring sleep behavior [120]. Most animal studies regarding chronopharmacology for depression have been performed in animals without a depressive phenotype. However, it is important to consider the differences between rodents and humans and between normal and depressive conditions, bearing in mind that circadian rhythms are disrupted in depression. Thus, the use of healthy animals may complicate the transferability to clinical studies. To reduce the gap, mice can be induced into a depression-like state by chronic mild stress (CMS) or prolonged physical or social stress environments [121]. In addition, knockdown of clock gene *Bmal1* in mouse SCN is a validated model of depression and sufficient to cause helplessness, behavioral despair, and anxiety-like behavior in C57BL/6J mice [122].

The most common behavioral tests in rodents to evaluate antidepressant effects are the forced swimming test (FST) and the tail suspension test (TST). Both present high predictive reliability and validity but different sensitivity [123,124]. In TST, rodents are hung by the tail and failed efforts to escape lead to immobility. Pretreatment with antidepressant drugs decreases the duration of immobility. Generally, TST is a more consistent and sensitive model to detect SSRI activity than the traditional FST [124]. Results depend on mouse strain differences concerning NET and SERT binding levels, which lead to distinct immobility time in FST and TST [125,126]. Moreover, different immobility definitions are evaluated in these tests. Immobility in TST is the inability to maintain effort, while in FST, immobility is the reduction of movement to the minimum necessary to maintain the head above water [124]. Antagonism and genetic knockout of the GABA_B receptor, associated with anxiety and depressive symptoms, result in an antidepressant-like effect in FST, but not in TST [127]. During FST, rodents are placed in a cylinder tank with water and their immobility is evaluated. Even in single dose, rodents show decreased immobility during FST, representative of an antidepressant effect. In the modified FST (increase of water depth from 15–18 cm to 30 cm) it becomes possible to distinguish the serotonergic and noradrenergic activities of antidepressants by evaluating their immobility, swimming, and climbing [128].

Specifically, NET inhibition increases climbing, whereas inhibition of SERT selectively increases swimming [129]. However, for a reliable comparison with clinical data, it is advisable to investigate therapeutic effects following chronic treatment in rodents [128]. It is important to refer that reduction of immobility is interpreted as an antidepressant effect, if it does not increase general locomotor activity, which could be interpreted as a false positive result [123]. This is related with the fact that antidepressants and psychostimulants, respectively, reduce and increase the locomotor activity of rodents in new environments [123]. Moreover, behavioral experiments at different times of the day strongly affect the obtained results [130]. The FST experiments display different results if performed during the dark or light phase, since rodents are more active during the dark phase [131]. Kelliher et al. noticed that rats were more agitated or worsened when taken from the swim apparatus during light phase [131].

Seasonal variations seem to influence the efficacy of antidepressants. In rats, FST revealed significant seasonal fluctuations of the antidepressant effect for TCAs, namely amineptine, amitriptyline, desipramine, imipramine, and mianserin [132]. Maximal reduction of immobility in FST was observed in March for all aforementioned antidepressants. The desipramine and mianserin anti-immobility effect was also evaluated in a light–dark cycle and was not circadian-dependent (Table 3) [132]. Nomifensine, a NE-dopamine

reuptake inhibitor, was equally effective throughout the year with no seasonal variations, but it revealed a circadian-dependent effect, more pronounced during the light phase (peak at ZT7) (Table 3) [132]. The interpretation of these results is complicated by the low number of experimental replicates (n = 1 or 2). Furthermore, the controlled conditions of rats excluded the influence off the light–dark cycle, humidity and temperature variations that occur during the seasons. Therefore, the observed seasonal effect may be linked with internal mechanisms, namely the daily amplitude of subtype receptor 5-HT_{1A} binding in the rat brain, which is higher in March than December [133].

The effect of light-dark cycles on pharmacodynamic studies with antidepressants has also been investigated. In the modified FST, the immobility time of mice treated with SSRI fluvoxamine showed a significant 24 h rhythm, with the lowest immobility at the beginning of the dark phase (ZT14) (Figure 3) without increasing locomotor activity (Table 3) [105]. Moreover, when FST was performed, plasma and brain drug concentrations at 30 min post-intraperitoneal did not exhibit significant differences between ZT2 and ZT14 [105]. Nevertheless, 1 h after administration, plasma concentrations were 1.6-fold higher at ZT2 than ZT14, indicating that pharmacokinetics could play a key role in the acute treatment with fluvoxamine [105]. Another SSRI, fluoxetine, induced a relatively strong oscillation of the antidepressant effect within 24 h, with high amplitudes (39% of mean values) in TST [134]. A maximal effect on the reduction of locomotor activity was observed at the start of the rest-phase (ZT1) with a peak at ZT3.9 (Table 3) [134]. Although not statistically significant, plasma and brain levels of fluoxetine were slightly higher in the morning than evening, which may be related with a higher intestinal absorption in the morning. The results of these studies revealed that both fluvoxamine and fluoxetine have mutual targets but different chronopharmacological profiles. This highlights the importance of performing time-dosing studies with antidepressants.



Figure 3. Proposed drug dosing-time of antidepressants according to chronopharmacological studies in rodents. This placement was based on studies performed in mice or rats when higher antidepressant effect was observed during forced swimming [36,104,105] or tail suspension [134] tests. ZT0 represents lights on and ZT12 indicates lights off.

Zeitgeber Time (ZT) dministrations		Pharmacodynamic			
	Test	24 h Rhythm Variation	Observations	Drug Concentration	Ref.
T2, ZT6, ZT10, T14, ZT18, ZT22	FST	Yes	Lowest immobility at ZT14.	-	[105]
T1, ZT7, ZT13,	TST	No, but significantly different between	Lowest immobility at ZT1.	No significant differences	[124]

Table 3. Chronophar	macodvnamic studie	s of antidepressant of	drugs in rodents.
inore of childhophan	macoa y manne braane	o of antiacpressure .	arago mi roacino.

			Initial of		Zeitgeber		Pharmacodynamic														
Antidepressant	Species (Gender)	Dose (mg/Kg)	Experiment after Administration (h)	Route	Time (ZT) Administrations	Test	24 h Rhythm Variation	Observations	Drug Concentration	Ref.											
Amitriptyline	ICR mice (male)	15	0.5	Intraperitoneal	ZT2, ZT6, ZT10, ZT14, ZT18, ZT22	FST	Yes	Lowest immobility at ZT14.	-	[105]											
Bupropion	opion C57BL/6 mice (male)	opion C57BL/6 mice (male)	C57BL/6 mice (male)	20	1	Intraperitoneal	ZT1, ZT7, ZT13, ZT19	TST	No, but significantly different between ZT	Lowest immobility at ZT1.	No significant differences between dosing times in	[134]									
						Locomotor activity	No	Increased	plasma and brain.												
Desipramine	CD-COBS rats (male)	20	24, 5 and 1	Intraperitoneal	ZT3, ZT7, ZT11, ZT15, ZT19, ZT23	FST	No	-	-	[132]											
	C57BL/6 mice	30				22	20	22		1	Interpositor col	ZT1, ZT7, ZT13,	TST	Yes	Lowest immobility at ZT1.	No significant differences	[124]				
Fluoxetine (mal	(male)		Ĩ	intraperitorical	ZT19	Locomotor activity	Yes	Lowest locomotion activity at ZT1	plasma and brain.	[134]											
Eleventine	ICP mice (male)	nice (male) 30	30	0.5	Intraporitoncal	ZT2, ZT6, ZT10, ZT14, ZT18, ZT22	FST	Yes	Lowest immobility at ZT14.	ZT2 > ZT14 in plasma, significantly different after	[105]										
Fluvoxamine	ICK mice (male)		0.5	intraperitorical	ZT2, ZT14	Locomotor activity	No	No effect	Ih of drug injection. No differences in brain.	[105]											
	C57BL/6 mice	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	1	Introporitopool	ZT1, ZT7, ZT13,	TST	Yes	Lowest immobility at ZT13.	No significant differences	[124]
	(male)	50	1	intrapentonear	Intraperitoneal ZT19		No	Reduced	plasma and brain	[134]											
Imipramine	nipramine 30	30		30 1		Intraperitoneal	ZT1, ZT13	FST	Yes	Lowest immobility and highest climbing at ZT1.	ZT1 > ZT13 for imipramine and desipramine in plasma but not significantly different										
	rats (male)	10 for 2 weeks	1	Intraperitoneal	ZT1, ZT13	FST	Yes	Lowest immobility and highest climbing at ZT1.	-	[36]											
		30 for 2 weeks	1	Intraperitoneal	ZT1, ZT13	FST	No	-	-												
Mianserin	CD-COBS rats (male)	15	24, 5 and 1	Intraperitoneal	ZT3, ZT7, ZT11, ZT15, ZT19, ZT23	FST	No	-		[132]											

					Table 3. Cont.					
			Initial of		Zeitgeber		Pharmacodynami	c		
Antidepressant Species (Gender)		Dose (mg/Kg)	Experiment after Administration (h)	Route	Time (ZT) Administrations	Test	24 h Rhythm Variation	Observations	Drug Concentration	Ref.
Milnacipran	Wistar Hannover rats (male)	60	1	Oral	ZT1, ZT13	FST	Yes	Lowest immobility and highest swimming at ZT1.	No significant differences between dosing times in plasma and brain	[104]
Nomifensine	CD-COBS rats (male)	5	24, 5 and 1	Intraperitoneal	ZT3, ZT7, ZT11, ZT15, ZT19, ZT23	FST	Yes	Lowest immobility at ZT7	-	[132]
Venlafaxine C57BL/6 mice (male)				ntraperitoneal ZT1, ZT7, ZT13, — ZT19	TST	Yes	Lowest immobility at ZT7.	No significant differences	[134]	
	(male)	(male) 30	1 Intraperitor		Locomotor activity	Yes	Lowest locomotion activity at ZT7.	between dosing times in plasma and brain		

FST, Forced swimming test; TST, tail suspension test.

Dual-action antidepressants may also have different chronopharmacological profiles. In FST, the immobility time of mice treated with TCA amitriptyline exhibited a 24 h rhythm variation with a peak of antidepressant effect at ZT14 (Table 3 and Figure 3), identical to the previously mentioned results for fluvoxamine [105]. This similarity may be associated with the 8-fold higher potency of amitriptyline on SERT rather than NET [110]. On the other hand, milnacipran, a SNRI, was described to reduce immobility and increase swimming at ZT1 rather than ZT13, in FST [104]. Interestingly, climbing was largely superior at ZT13 compared to ZT1. There were no differences of milnacipran levels between dosing times in plasma or the brain (Table 3). Thus, data indicate that SERT inhibition of milnacipran is higher in the morning (swimming), whereas NET is strongly blocked in the evening (climbing) [104].

Chronopharmacodynamic studies with imipramine yielded conflicting results. In rats, a single dose administration of imipramine (30 mg/kg) showed lower immobility and higher climbing in FST in the morning (ZT1) but not evening (ZT13), compared with the control group (Table 3) [36]. The results suggest that a higher concentration may be necessary to achieve an antidepressant effect during evening administrations. Plasma concentrations of imipramine and active metabolite desipramine were 17-37% higher at ZT1 than at ZT13. For this reason, the authors proposed that CYP2D9 peak levels were responsible for lower concentrations during the dark phase [95]. Moreover, the inhibitory action of imipramine is 27 times more potent on SERT than NET, while desipramine is 21 times more potent on NET than SERT [110]. Since an increase of climbing in FST is related to NE reuptake inhibition, desipramine seems to have a more dominant effect on the results of this study. However, in mice, the lowest locomotor activity in TST, under the same conditions, was obtained at ZT13 (calculated peak at ZT10.2) (Table 3 and Figure 3) [134]. Although no significant differences were found in plasma after acute administration [134], this data may be positively associated with circadian rhythm impact on pharmacokinetic parameters of imipramine and desipramine in the brain, with higher accumulation at ZT13 [49], as mentioned in Section 2.1.2. To analyze the discrepancy between these experiments, several factors that may impact the results need to be considered. Firstly, the use of different species (mice and rats) with contrasting chronobiological parameters [135]. Additionally, mice were evaluated at four dosing times (ZT1, ZT7, ZT13, ZT19), while rats were compared only at two dosing times (ZT1, ZT13) which may lead to less reliable conclusions. Lastly, different assays of antidepressant effect were performed, with distinct sensitivities.

Similarly to single dose, chronic treatment with imipramine for two weeks was only effective in the morning, even with doses that were previously inefficient (10 mg/kg) (Table 3) [36]. Only chronic treatment with higher doses revealed an efficient result at ZT13. Chronic treatment with TCAs is linked with a downregulation of cortical presynaptic inhibitory α_2 -adrenoceptors in the rat brain, more evident at ZT1 than ZT13 [36,136]. These adrenoceptors, when localized in presynaptic neurons, reduce NE release in negative feedback [114]. Therefore, the increase of antidepressant effect in the light phase may be associated with a reduction of the expression of α_2 -adrenoceptors that increases NE neural transmission.

The SNRI venlafaxine induced maximal antidepressant effects in the light phase with better results at ZT7 (Table 3) and a calculated peak at ZT9 [134] are shown. It revealed weak circadian rhythms with low amplitude values (29% of mean values) and the plasma and brain levels did not correlate with effect variations. Hence, drug exposure may not be the factor responsible for circadian observations. Meanwhile, bupropion did not reveal daily oscillations of plasma concentration levels, although maximal antidepressant effects had been reached at ZT1 (Table 3) [134]. Locomotor activity increased and was maintained during the light–dark cycle, indicative of its stimulatory motor effects [137].

In general, data from the animal studies herein discussed demonstrate that antidepressants display distinct chronopharmacodynamic outcomes (Figure 3) which should be specifically evaluated. Other behavioral experiments (sucrose preference test or elevated plus maze) could be additionally performed to increase result reliability [138]. Moreover, the use of depressive mice and the monitorization of circadian rhythms could facilitate the implementation of clinical studies in humans. Transferability of data from mice to humans regarding circadian rhythms is challenging. For instance, although mice are nocturnally active and humans are diurnally active, both secrete melatonin during the nighttime [139]. Therefore, the translation of chronopharmacological results of antidepressants from mice to humans needs particular care.

3.1.2. Human Data

Despite having yielded interesting and helpful results, chronopharmacodynamic studies in humans have not been performed in recent years. Side effects of TCAs are the principal focus of these types of studies and experiments showed diverse results for different TCAs (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Drug dosing-time of antidepressant drugs according to chronopharmacodynamic studies in humans. This figure includes an optimal time for administration based on lower side effects for amitriptyline [37] and higher antidepressant effects for clomipramine [140] and lofepramine [141].

The side effects of amitriptyline seem to be higher after morning administrations. Its antimuscarinic effect, measured through the mean percent decrease from the pre-drug level in salivary flow, demonstrated to be higher if the drug is administered in the morning than in the evening, at 2 h ($78 \pm 3\%$ vs $59 \pm 7\%$) and 3 h ($76 \pm 4\%$ and $65 \pm 5\%$) post-administration [37]. Identically, amitriptyline-induced sedative effects, such as drowsiness, confusion, and mental slowness, measured by self-rating scales, were higher with morning than evening doses (Table 4) [37]. These results are in accordance with the pharmacokinetic parameters of amitriptyline mentioned in Section 2.1.1 and Table 2, which presented a faster gastrointestinal Ka in the morning. Although no significant differences of plasma concentration levels or systemic exposure were found at different dosing-times [37], studies in mice demonstrated lower depressive symptoms in the evening [105]. For these reasons, amitriptyline dosing may be recommended in the evening rather than in the morning, given that lower side effects are obtained without compromising its therapeutic efficacy (Figure 4). Nonetheless, additional chronopharmacodynamic studies in humans should be performed to support these observations.

able 4. Chrono-pharmacodynamics of orally administered antidepressant drugs in humans.

Antidepressant					Time	Pharmacodynamic			
	Subjects	Study Design	Daily Dose (mg)	Duration (Days)	Administrations	Test	24 h Rhythm Variation	Observations	Ref.
Amitriptyline	10 healthy (♂) subjects. Range age: 22–31 years old.	Crossover	50	21	9h00 21h00	Antimuscarinic action (saliva flow) and sedation effect by self-rating scales	Yes	Highest salivary flow and lowest sedative effect at 21h00	[37]
Clomipramine	40 patients with MDD (15 ♀, 25 ♂). Range age: 18–65 years old.	Crossover	150	28	8h20 12h20 20h30	HRSD and BDRS	Yes	Lowest depressive symptoms at 12h20	[140]
Lofepramine	30 patients with MDD (22 ♀, 8 ♂). Range age: 25–60 years old.	Parallel	210	21	8h00 16h00 24h00	HRSD and CSRS	Yes	Lowest depressive symptoms at 24h00	[141]

Beck Depression Rating Scale (BDRS); Clinical Self-Rating Scales (CSRS); HRSD, 17-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; MDD, major depressive disorder.

A double-blind chronopharmacological study with TCA clomipramine was performed in 30 patients with MDD for 4 weeks. Single doses of 150 mg/day were administered at 3 different moments: morning, noon, and before bedtime (Table 4) [140]. The highest antidepressant effect was verified at noon (12h20) (Figure 4). Significantly fewer side effects, namely tremors and mouth dryness, were observed at this time, compared with the group in which administration was performed before bedtime [140].

Circadian fluctuations of antidepressant effect were detected for TCA lofepramine, an imipramine derivative [141]. A pilot study was conducted in 30 patients diagnosed with MDD and drug administration was performed at three different times of the day (8 h, 16 h, and 24 h). A single dose of 210 mg lofepramine administered at midnight was significantly more effective than a morning or afternoon dose (Table 4). In addition, a midnight single dose showed better therapeutic results than a 70 mg dose divided three times a day [141]. Based on these results, midnight dosing was suggested as the most favorable administration time (Figure 4). However, it is important to ensure that patient compliance to this posology is maintained. This study highlights that circadian rhythm has a bigger impact than steady-state blood drug levels for an optimal effect of lofepramine. The variable results obtained in the chronopharmacological studies may be associated with the desynchronization of circadian rhythms in depressed patients [142]. This confirms the importance of individualizing chronotherapy to improve the efficacy of antidepressants and reduce their side effects.

3.2. Antidepressant Effects on Circadian Rhythms

Circadian gene polymorphisms have been associated with affective disorders, including depression, through the modulation of MAO-A and dopamine neurotransmission [143]. Moreover, pineal abnormalities lead to altered melatonin secretion and circadian disruptions, which are related with clinical subtypes of MDD and its symptomatology [144]. Therefore, the evaluation of circadian rhythm differences in depressed-like mice before and after antidepressant treatments is of utmost importance. Depressed patients experience a wide range of circadian rhythms and sleep-cycle disruptions, and chronotherapy has proved to reduce their depressive symptoms [145]. Therefore, drugs targeted to normalize circadian rhythms could be of interest for the treatment of depression. The main implications of antidepressants on circadian rhythms in pre-clinical and clinical studies are depicted in Table 5.

Antidepressant	Pre-Clinical Studies	Clinical Studies	References
	SSRI		
Citalopram/escitalopram	- Modulates <i>Per1</i> oscillation in vitro.	 Restores daily rhythms of PER2 and BMAL1 and baseline levels of serum melatonin; Increases melatonin suppression and delays the internal clock rhythm. 	[146–148]
Fluoxetine	 Modulates <i>Per1</i> oscillation in vitro; Induces non-photic effects in light–dark cycle in mice; Induces light-phase advances of SCN firing; Normalizes disrupted circadian locomotor activity and clock gene expression in depressive-like mice; Decreases the response of mice to light-induced phase-delays. 	- Increases 6-sulfatoxymelatonin in urine.	[146,149–152]
Fluvoxamine	- Modulates <i>Per1</i> oscillation in vitro.	 Increases plasma levels of melatonin and cortisol; Improves sleep parameters and reduces insomnia. 	[146,153–155]
Paroxetine	- Modulates <i>Per1</i> oscillation in vitro.	 Delays REM onset and reduces REM time sleep; Increases the changeover time of wakefulness to sleep; May induce "hypersomnia". 	[146,156,157]
Sertraline	- Modulates <i>Per1</i> oscillation in vitro.		[146]
	SNRI		
Duloxetine		- Increases 6-sulfatoxymelatonin in urine.	[149]
	ТСА		
Desipramine	 Restores photic entrainment of activity after exposure to glucocorticoids. 	- Increases melatonin plasma levels.	[158–160]
Imipramine	- Does not restore photic entrainment after light shifting.	- Increases melatonin plasma levels.	[158,159,161]
	Atypical		
Agomelatine	 Modulates daily rhythm of melatonin secretion; Induces circadian effects on locomotor activity and body temperature; Restores resynchronization of light–dark cycle advances; Improves sleep parameters (only if taken at night); Restores circadian rhythm activity in depressive-like rodents. 	 Induces circadian alterations of cortisol and melatonin levels, core body temperature and heart rate; Improves sleep parameters; Resynchronizes the circadian rhythms and sleep parameters of depressed patients. 	[162–174]

Table 5. Main findings of pre-clinical and clinical studies reporting the influence of different classes of antidepressants on circadian rhythms.

	Table 5. C	Lont.	
Antidepressant	Pre-Clinical Studies	Clinical Studies	References
	Atypic	al	
Ketamine	Alters the entrainment of clock genes;Resets main clock in the SNC.	Increases neuroplasticity;Improves sleep quality.	[175,176]
Mirtazapine		 Improves sleep continuity; Increases slow-wave sleep; Increase melatonin plasma levels; Reduces cortisol plasma levels. 	[177]
Vortioxetine		 Delays REM onset and reduces REM time sleep; Increases the changeover time of wakefulness to sleep 	[157]

SCN, suprachiasmatic nucleus; SNRI, serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; TCA, tricyclic antidepressant.

22 of 34

Table 5. Cont.

Several antidepressants enhance plasma melatonin levels, restoring circadian rhythmicity in depression [149,153,154,158,159]. Fluvoxamine increased the plasma levels of melatonin and cortisol in healthy men [153,154], while SSRI fluoxetine and SNRI duloxetine increased 6-sulfatoxymelatonin in depressed patients [149]. Chronic treatment with imipramine or desipramine also resulted in higher peak levels of melatonin in depressed patients [158,159]. Still, imipramine had no significant effect on circadian rhythms of golden hamsters after a 6 h advance in the light–dark cycle [161]. In contrast, desipramine restored photic entrainment of activity, which was previously altered by prenatal exposure to glucocorticoids [160]. Indeed, these mice expressed non-synchronized clock genes in the hippocampus with the light–dark cycle [160]. The results suggest that desipramine promoted glucocorticoid receptor-mediated signaling by upregulating their expression and restoring the synchronization of peripheral clocks in the SCN [160].

The SSRI antidepressants seem to modulate circadian rhythmicity and sleep-wake cycles through the suppression of SERT activity (Table 5) [146]. In vitro, sertraline, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, citalopram, and paroxetine shorten the period of *Per1*-induced rhythms in rat-1 fibroblasts [146]. This reduction seems to be related to a stronger affinity to SERT [146]. Moreover, subtypes of 5-HT post-synaptic receptors can create a photic (5-HT_{2C} and 5-HT₃) or non-photic (5-HT_{1A} possibly with co-activation of 5-HT₇) effect on the SCN, influencing the master clock [150]. Rats administered with fluoxetine showed a non-photic effect by producing shifting during the light phase and attenuating photic resetting during the dark phase [150]. This indicates higher 5-HT_{1A} activity than other subtypes in these rodents, probably because 5-HT_{1A} is expressed predominantly in the cerebellum of adult rats [178]. Sprouse et al. evaluated the regulation of the circadian biological clock through SCN firing in neurons maintained in slice culture [151]. In the presence of L-tryptophan, which maintains the production of endogenous 5-HT in vitro, the addition of fluoxetine induced light-phase advances of SCN firing [151]. In another study resorting to mice with depression-like behavior, Per2 mRNA levels and circadian period length were restored to normal values after a chronic fluoxetine treatment [152]. The daily rhythms of clock genes and neuropeptide circadian markers were investigated before and after chronic treatment with escitalopram for 8 weeks in depressed patients [147]. Although depressive symptoms decreased, disruption of several daily rhythms (i.e., PER1, CRY, melatonin, cortisol) persisted after treatment [147]. Nevertheless, escitalopram restored daily rhythms of PER2 and BMAL1, as well as the baseline serum melatonin levels [147].

Regarding sleep modulation, an acute citalopram administration to healthy individuals at the beginning of light exposure, increased melatonin suppression in 47% compared to the placebo [148]. In addition, citalopram delayed internal clock rhythms, determined by a dim light melatonin onset (DLMO) in normal lighting conditions, suggesting a decrease of the sleep signal in the evening [148]. Moreover, fluoxetine decreased the response of mice to light-induced phase-delays, similarly to sleep deprivation [179]. On the other hand, vortioxetine or paroxetine treatment revealed a delay of REM onset and a reduction of total sleep and REM time sleep, associated with a higher SERT blockade [157]. Vortioxetine is a multimodal antidepressant and acts as a 5-HT₃, 5-HT₇, and 5-HT_{1D} receptor antagonist. It is also a 5-HT_{1B} receptor partial agonist, 5-HT_{1A} receptor agonist, and SERT inhibitor [180]. Both vortioxetine and paroxetine also significantly increased the first stage of the sleep-cycle, related with the transition from wakefulness to sleep [157]. Conversely, paroxetine treatment may induce "hypersomnia" in less than 20% of depressed patients, defined as severe sleepiness and excess sleep duration [156]. Depressed patients who presented paroxetine-induced "hypersomnia" revealed a faster clinical response than patients without this side effect [156]. The results may be associated with a reduction of depressive symptoms caused by insomnia and, therefore, this effect could be beneficial from a pharmacological perspective [156]. In depressed patients with insomnia, an 8-week treatment with fluvoxamine improved sleep parameters and ameliorated insomnia complaints [155]. Additionally, mirtazapine, a noradrenergic and specific serotoninergic antidepressant, improved the sleep continuity of depressed patients following treatment for only 2 days [177]. After 4 weeks, mirtazapine increased slow-wave sleep as well as melatonin plasma levels, and restored sleep-related cortisol secretion. These results may be associated with the antagonist role of mirtazapine on pre-synaptic α_2 -receptors, post-synaptic 5-HT₂ and 5-HT₃ receptors, and histamine H₁, a receptor which induces a sedative effect [177].

The sleep-cycle dysregulation seems to be differently linked with the severity of depression in men and women. In depressed women, disease severity was positively correlated with a low phase angle difference (hour interval between midsleep and DLMO) [142,181]. However, in men, a high phase angle difference was associated with more severe depressive symptoms [181]. Moreover, women who experienced a phase delay after 2 weeks of fluoxetine treatment revealed a poorer pharmacological response after 8 weeks, demonstrating the clinical importance of sex difference and sleep–wake cycle disruption on depression remission [181].

Additionally, MDD has been linked with alterations of neurotrophic factors, specifically brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), in various brain regions [182]. The BDNF is associated with the regulation of neuronal plasticity and survival, memory, learning, appetite, and sleep [183,184]. In the rat hippocampus, the mRNA expression of BDNF present circadian oscillations, related with plasma corticosterone variation levels [185]. Similarly, in men, plasma BDNF levels show 24 h oscillations [186]. Higher plasma concentrations were detected in the morning than at night and BDNF daily oscillations were positively correlated with cortisol plasma levels [186]. Importantly, women presented BDNF daily oscillations with higher interindividual variability which was not associated with a 24 h period [187]. Regarding MDD, depressed patients demonstrated lower BDNF plasma levels compared with healthy individuals [15]. The increase of BDNF plasma levels and the restoration of the BDNF circadian oscillation has been associated with a positive therapeutic response [188]. It has been demonstrated that antidepressant treatments, such as vortioxetine or agomelatine, increase hippocampal BDNF levels on CMS rats [189,190]. Moreover, chronic antidepressant treatments with fluoxetine, MAOI tranylcypromine, or selective NRI reboxetine, increase neurogenesis in the rat hippocampus [191].

Drug profiles with additional pathways besides the modulation of serotonergic and noradrenergic neurotransmission could be important to develop better antidepressants [145]. For instance, agomelatine is an atypical antidepressant with a unique mechanism of action: it acts both as a melatonergic receptor agonist and a 5-HT_{2C} receptor antagonist [192]. Agomelatine injections increase the 24 h amplitude rhythm of melatonin secretion and the core temperature of rodents, two well-characterized SCN-dependent processes [162]. Like melatonin, agomelatine induces circadian effects on locomotor activity and body temperature directly through the SCN, since a pinealectomy does not alter this ability [163]. In rats, circadian rhythm readjustments induced by oral agomelatine are influenced by its dose (2.5 to 10 mg/kg) and strongly related with its plasma concentrations [193]. Pitrosky et al. observed that rats under constant darkness required a prolonged subcutaneous infusion of 0.25 mg/kg/h for 8 h or 0.5 mg/kg for 1 h to start a resynchronization [194]. Meanwhile, Redman et al. attempted to achieve a complete re-entrainment of rat activity rhythms after an 8 h phase advance of the light–dark cycle [164]. For this purpose, chronic daily subcutaneous injections of agomelatine (1 or 3 mg/kg) were applied, at the pre-shift dark onset [164]. Rodents required about 18 days to be fully resynchronized to the new light–dark cycle, identically to what was observed with melatonin [164]. The aforementioned data demonstrate the strong effect of agomelatine in rodents at resetting circadian rhythms when disrupted (Table 5). Likewise, in healthy individuals, agomelatine induced circadian alterations of cortisol and melatonin levels, core body temperature, and heart rate [165,166].

Sleep–wake states can also be modulated by agomelatine [167–169]. Acute administration of melatonin or agomelatine (5 mg) 5 h before bedtime increased rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and advanced sleep–wake cycles in healthy men [167]. Interestingly, when rats were orally treated with agomelatine (10 and 40 mg/kg) before the light phase, no relevant sleep–wake alterations were found [168]. However, after a similar treatment before night phase, Descamps et al. observed unique alterations of sleep–wake states (higher REM and sleep-wave sleep, and lower waking state) [168]. A different sleep profile was obtained from melatonin or 5-HT_{2C} antagonist administrations, suggesting that agomelatine influences the sleep architecture by modulating both melatonergic and 5-HT_{2C} receptors [168]. Still, the involvement of melatonin receptors on depression treatment seems to be time-dependent [195]. Papp et al. administered agomelatine or melatonin (both at 10 and 50 mg/kg) to CMS mice, a validated animal model of depression. Following 5 weeks of treatments performed in the morning or evening, sucrose consumption in the glucose preference test increased, suggesting that animals were less depressed [195,196]. While agomelatine presented similar efficacy after morning or evening treatment, melatonin did not elicit antidepressant-like activity after morning administration [195]. In the presence of melatonergic antagonist S22153, agomelatine efficacy was inhibited in the evening but not in the morning. This suggests distinct mechanisms of action for this drug at different times of administration [195].

To evaluate the resynchronizing properties of agomelatine in depression, psychosocially stressed male tree shrews, an established preclinical model of depression, were treated for 4 weeks with 40 mg/kg/day [170]. Agomelatine reverted the stress-induced nocturnal hyperthermia after treatment, in opposition to other studied compounds (melatonin, fluoxetine, or 5-HT_{2C} antagonist S32006) [170]. Similarly, in mice with a depressive-like phenotype, agomelatine, but not fluoxetine, normalized circadian activity disrupted by chronic corticosterone administrations [171]. In addition, chronic oral treatment with agomelatine in adult rats restored the sleep–wake cycle and the circadian running wheel activity that had been previously disrupted by prenatal restrained stress [169]. In addition, Barden et al. chronically treated a transgenic depressed mouse model with 10 mg/kg of agomelatine to evaluate circadian changes [172]. Readjustments of circadian cycles of core body temperature and locomotor activity were observed following an induced phase shift with agomelatine, but not with desipramine [172].

Clinical studies indicate that agomelatine has antidepressant effects by resynchronizing the circadian rhythms of depressed patients (Table 5) [173,174]. In MDD patients, 25 mg of agomelatine per day increased sleep efficiency, awake time after sleep onset and total amount of slow-wave sleep after 6 weeks [174]. Kasper et al. observed an improvement of sleep and daytime functioning after 1 week of treatment with agomelatine in depressed patients [173]. Compared with sertraline, agomelatine significantly corrected the sleep–wake cycle and decreased depression symptoms quickly, while maintaining a good tolerability profile [173].

As previously mentioned, depression has been linked with abnormal glutamatergic neurotransmission [197]. Activation of glutamate receptors increases the transcription levels of *Per1* and *Per2* in the SCN and suppresses melatonin levels in the pineal gland [198,199]. Ketamine is a N-methyl d-aspartate (NMDA) glutamate receptor antagonist with confirmed antidepressant effects at subanesthetic doses in depressed patients [200]. For rapid and sustained antidepressant action, ketamine activates mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling, specifically extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) through tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB) [201]. The ERK pathway accelerates the differentiation of doublecortin-positive adult hippocampal neural progenitors into functionally mature neurons within 24 h [201]. In addition, MAPK activation allows the endogenous clock to entrain to 24 h environmental cycles [202]. Inhibition of MAPK induces a depressive-like behavior and blocks the ketamine antidepressant effect in rats during FST [203]. Activation of MAPK signaling has been equally associated with an increase of pro-BDNF expression in specific brain areas (hippocampus and nucleus accumbens) [203]. Both ketamine and sleep deprivation treatments showed evidence of the influence of circadian rhythms on the rapid antidepressant response through the ERK/MAK pathway [204].

In vitro studies indicated that ketamine inhibits the CLOCK:BMAL1 function by altering the entrainment of clock genes and reducing the daily amplitude of transcription of several clock genes (*Bmal1, Per2, Cry1*) [175]. Single intravenous treatment of 0.5 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride in depressed patients increased neuroplasticity and improved their mood and sleep quality [176]. Acute ketamine altered circadian timekeeping (amplitude and timing) leading to an initial weak interaction between sleep homeostasis and circadian processes [176]. However, patients in continuous treatment who develop a strong sleep-circadian interaction are associated with fewer relapses and a better ketamine response [176].

In conclusion, commercially available antidepressants have demonstrated to play a critical role on circadian entrainment. Nevertheless, the links behind the modulation of circadian rhythms by antidepressants still require more investigation. New insights may help the design of better chronopharmacological strategies for the treatment of depression.

4. Conclusions

Chronotherapy is known to improve drug efficacy and reduce toxicity. The choice of an appropriate dosing-time for antidepressants is a possible factor of variation in pharmacokinetics and may promote therapeutic effects, while reducing adverse effects. Several factors that can affect the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of antidepressants are modulated by circadian rhythms, which undermine the comprehension of in vivo and human findings. In spite of increasing scientific evidence emerging in this field, further studies in animals and humans remain necessary to determine pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters and understand the best time of administration for different antidepressants.

Exploring the chronopharmacological profiles of each antidepressant is expected to provide a more effective pharmacotherapy. Depressed patients can require different dosing-times for the same antidepressant, indicating that individual chronopharmacological therapy should be the primary tool for effective treatment. Moreover, the readjustment of circadian rhythms by some antidepressants is partially responsible for their effectiveness. Thus, restoring circadian rhythmicity is a valid mechanism to promote the development of rapid and sustained treatments in MDD, as it has been discovered in the recent years.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.S.; writing—original draft preparation, S.S.; writing review and editing, J.B. and A.F. (Ana Fortuna); supervision, A.F. (Amílcar Falcão) and A.F. (Ana Fortuna); funding acquisition, A.F. (Ana Fortuna). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) I.P./MCTES, European Regional Development Fund (FEDER) through Portugal 2020 Operational Programme for Competitiveness and Internationalization (COMPETE 2020) and national funds (PID-DAC) within the scope of the research project CENTRO-01-0145-FEDER-030752. PhD research grant for Soraia Silva is funded by FCT, European Union, MCTES and European Social Fund (FSE) in the scope of the Centro 2020 Regional Operational Programme with the number PD/BDE/150685/2020.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: All images were created with BioRender.com.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Brown, G.M. Light, melatonin and the sleep-wake cycle. J. Psychiatr. Neurosci. 1994, 19, 345–353.
- Tordjman, S.; Chokron, S.; Delorme, R.; Charrier, A.; Bellissant, E.; Jaafari, N.; Fougerou, C. Melatonin: Pharmacology, functions and therapeutic benefits. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* 2017, 15, 434–443. [CrossRef]
- 3. Brodsky, V.Y. Circahoralian (ultradian) metabolic rhythms. *Biochemistry* 2014, 79, 483–495. [CrossRef]
- Prendergast, B.J.; Nelson, R.J.; Zucker, I. 19—Mammalian seasonal rhythms: Behavior and neuroendocrine substrates. In Hormones, Brain and Behavior; Pfaff, D.W., Arnold, A.P., Fahrbach, S.E., Etgen, A.M., Rubin, R.T., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2002; pp. 93–156.

- 5. Pilorz, V.; Helfrich-Forster, C.; Oster, H. The role of the circadian clock system in physiology. *Pflüg. Arch.* 2018, 470, 227–239. [CrossRef]
- 6. Robinson, I.; Reddy, A.B. Molecular mechanisms of the circadian clockwork in mammals. *FEBS Lett.* **2014**, *588*, 2477–2483. [CrossRef]
- Preitner, N.; Damiola, F.; Molina, L.-L.; Zakany, J.; Duboule, D.; Albrecht, U.; Schibler, U. the orphan nuclear receptor REV-ERBα controls circadian transcription within the positive limb of the mammalian circadian oscillator. *Cell* 2002, *110*, 251–260. [CrossRef]
- 8. Honma, S. The mammalian circadian system: A hierarchical multi-oscillator structure for generating circadian rhythm. *J. Physiol. Sci.* **2018**, *68*, 207–219. [CrossRef]
- 9. Buijs, R.M.; Kalsbeek, A. Hypothalamic integration of central and peripheral clocks. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2001, 2, 521–526. [CrossRef]
- Masri, S.; Sassone-Corsi, P. The emerging link between cancer, metabolism, and circadian rhythms. *Nat. Med.* 2018, 24, 1795–1803. [CrossRef]
- 11. Reddy, A.B.; O'Neill, J.S. Healthy clocks, healthy body, healthy mind. Trends Cell. Biol. 2010, 20, 36–44. [CrossRef]
- 12. WHO. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders: Global Health Estimates; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
- 13. Santomauro, D.F.; Mantilla Herrera, A.M.; Shadid, J.; Zheng, P.; Ashbaugh, C.; Pigott, D.M.; Abbafati, C.; Adolph, C.; Amlag, J.O.; Aravkin, A.Y.; et al. Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* **2021**, *398*, 1700–1712. [CrossRef]
- 14. Charrier, A.; Olliac, B.; Roubertoux, P.; Tordjman, S. Clock genes and altered sleep-wake rhythms: Their role in the development of psychiatric disorders. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18*, 938. [CrossRef]
- 15. Oglodek, E.A.; Just, M.J.; Szromek, A.R.; Araszkiewicz, A. Melatonin and neurotrophins NT-3, BDNF, NGF in patients with varying levels of depression severity. *Pharm. Rep.* **2016**, *68*, 945–951. [CrossRef]
- 16. Sundberg, I.; Ramklint, M.; Stridsberg, M.; Papadopoulos, F.C.; Ekselius, L.; Cunningham, J.L. Salivary Melatonin in relation to depressive symptom severity in young adults. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0152814. [CrossRef]
- Hidalgo, M.P.; Caumo, W.; Dantas, G.; Franco, D.G.; Torres, I.L.; Pezzi, J.; Elisabetsky, E.; Detanico, B.C.; Piato, A.; Markus, R.P. 6-Sulfatoxymelatonin as a predictor of clinical outcome in depressive patients. *Hum. Psychopharmacol* 2011, 26, 252–257. [CrossRef]
- Jury Freitas, J.; Bertuol Xavier, N.; Comiran Tonon, A.; Carissimi, A.; Timm Pizutti, L.; Vieira Ilgenfritz, C.A.; Pekelmann Markus, R.; Paz Hidalgo, M. 6-Sulfatoxymelatonin predicts treatment response to fluoxetine in major depressive disorder. *Adv. Psychopharmacol.* 2019, 9, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- Bhagwagar, Z.; Hafizi, S.; Cowen, P.J. Increased salivary cortisol after waking in depression. *Psychopharmacology* 2005, 182, 54–57. [CrossRef]
- Keller, J.; Flores, B.; Gomez, R.G.; Solvason, H.B.; Kenna, H.; Williams, G.H.; Schatzberg, A.F. Cortisol circadian rhythm alterations in psychotic major depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2006, 60, 275–281. [CrossRef]
- 21. Moffoot, A.P.R.; O'Carroll, R.E.; Bennie, J.; Carroll, S.; Dick, H.; Ebmeier, K.P.; Goodwin, G.M. Diurnal variation of mood and neuropsychological function in major depression with melancholia. *J. Affect. Disord.* **1994**, *32*, 257–269. [CrossRef]
- 22. Adan, A.; Archer, S.N.; Hidalgo, M.P.; Di Milia, L.; Natale, V.; Randler, C. Circadian typology: A comprehensive review. *Chronobiol. Int.* **2012**, *29*, 1153–1175. [CrossRef]
- 23. Au, J.; Reece, J. The relationship between chronotype and depressive symptoms: A meta-analysis. *J. Affect. Disord.* 2017, 218, 93–104. [CrossRef]
- McGlashan, E.M.; Drummond, S.P.A.; Cain, S.W. Evening types demonstrate reduced SSRI treatment efficacy. *Chronobiol. Int.* 2018, 35, 1175–1178. [CrossRef]
- 25. Hasler, G. Pathophysiology of depression: Do we have any solid evidence of interest to clinicians? *World Psychiatry* **2010**, *9*, 155–161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- O'Donnell, J.M.; Bies, R.R.; Shelton, R.C. Chapter 15: Drug therapy of depression and anxiety disorders. In *Goodman & Gilman's: The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*, 13th ed.; Brunton, L.L., Hilal-Dandan, R., Knollmann, B.C., Eds.; McGraw Hill: New York, NY, USA, 2017.
- 27. Bauer, M.; Severus, E.; Moller, H.J.; Young, A.H.; Disorders, W.T.F.o.U.D. Pharmacological treatment of unipolar depressive disorders: Summary of WFSBP guidelines. *Int. J. Psychiatry Clin. Pract.* **2017**, *21*, 166–176. [CrossRef]
- Alvano, S.A.; Zieher, L.M. An updated classification of antidepressants: A proposal to simplify treatment. *Pers. Med. Psychiatry* 2020, 19-20, 100042. [CrossRef]
- 29. Uchida, H.; Fleischhacker, W.; Juckel, G.; Grunder, G.; Bauer, M. Naming for psychotropic drugs: Dilemma and challenge. *Pharmacopsychiatry* **2017**, *50*, 1–2. [CrossRef]
- 30. Montgomery, S.A. Why do we need new and better antidepressants? *Int. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* **2006**, *21*, S1–S10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Uher, R.; Farmer, A.; Henigsberg, N.; Rietschel, M.; Mors, O.; Maier, W.; Kozel, D.; Hauser, J.; Souery, D.; Placentino, A.; et al. Adverse reactions to antidepressants. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **2009**, *195*, 202–210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Kelly, K.; Posternak, M.; Alpert, J.E. Toward achieving optimal response: Understanding and managing antidepressant side effects. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 2008, 10, 409–418. [PubMed]

- 33. Martiny, K.; Refsgaard, E.; Lund, V.; Lunde, M.; Thougaard, B.; Lindberg, L.; Bech, P. Maintained superiority of chronotherapeutics vs. exercise in a 20-week randomized follow-up trial in major depression. *Acta. Psychiatr. Scand.* 2015, 131, 446–457. [CrossRef]
- 34. Bicker, J.; Alves, G.; Falcao, A.; Fortuna, A. Timing in drug absorption and disposition: The past, present, and future of chronopharmacokinetics. *Br. J. Pharm.* **2020**, 177, 2215–2239. [CrossRef]
- 35. Keller, F.; Hann, A. Clinical Pharmacodynamics: Principles of drug response and alterations in kidney disease. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **2018**, *13*, 1413–1420. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Kawai, H.; Kodaira, N.; Tanaka, C.; Ishibashi, T.; Kudo, N.; Kawashima, Y.; Mitsumoto, A. Time of administration of acute or chronic doses of imipramine affects its antidepressant action in rats. *J. Circadian Rhythm.* **2018**, *16*, 5. [CrossRef]
- 37. Nakano, S.; Hollister, L.E. Chronopharmacology of amitriptyline. Clin. Pharm. 1983, 33, 453–459.
- 38. Erkekoglu, P.; Baydar, T. Chronopharmacodynamics of drugs in toxicological aspects: A short review for clinical pharmacists and pharmacy practitioners. *J. Res. Pharm. Pract.* **2012**, *1*, 41–47. [CrossRef]
- 39. Liu, J.; Li, H.; Xu, S.; Xu, Y.; Liu, C. Circadian Clock Gene Expression and Drug/Toxicant Interactions as Novel Targets of Chronopharmacology and Chronotoxicology; InTechOpen: London, UK, 2018. [CrossRef]
- 40. Ruben, M.D.; Smith, D.F.; FitzGerald, G.A.; Hogenesch, J.B. Dosing time matters. Science 2019, 365, 547–549. [CrossRef]
- 41. Gaspar, L.S.; Alvaro, A.R.; Carmo-Silva, S.; Mendes, A.F.; Relogio, A.; Cavadas, C. The importance of determining circadian parameters in pharmacological studies. *Br. J. Pharm.* **2019**, *176*, 2827–2847. [CrossRef]
- 42. Dallmann, R.; Brown, S.A.; Gachon, F. Chronopharmacology: New insights and therapeutic implications. *Annu. Rev. Pharm. Toxicol.* **2014**, *54*, 339–361. [CrossRef]
- 43. Stephan, F.K. The "other" Circadian system: Food as a Zeitgeber. J. Biol. Rhythm. 2002, 17, 284–292. [CrossRef]
- Koziolek, M.; Alcaro, S.; Augustijns, P.; Basit, A.W.; Grimm, M.; Hens, B.; Hoad, C.L.; Jedamzik, P.; Madla, C.M.; Maliepaard, M.; et al. The mechanisms of pharmacokinetic food-drug interactions—A perspective from the UNGAP group. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2019, 134, 31–59. [CrossRef]
- 45. Haenisch, B.; Hiemke, C.; Bonisch, H. Inhibitory potencies of trimipramine and its main metabolites at human monoamine and organic cation transporters. *Psychopharmacology* **2011**, *217*, 289–295. [CrossRef]
- 46. Bougerolle, A.M.; Chabard, J.L.; Jbilou, M.; Dordain, G.; Eschalier, A.; Aumaitre, O.; Gaillol, J.; Piron, J.J.; Petit, J.; Berger, J.A. Chronopharmacokinetic and bioequivalence studies of two formulations of trimipramine after oral administration in man. *Eur. J. Drug. Metab. Pharm.* **1989**, *4*, 139–144. [CrossRef]
- 47. Ronfeld, R.A.; Wilner, K.D.; Baris, B.A. Sertraline: Chronopharmacokinetics and the effect of coadministration with food. *Clin. Pharm.* **1997**, *32*, 50–55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Rutkowska, A.; Piekoszewski, W.; Brandys, J. Chronopharmacokinetics of Amitriptyline in Rats. *Biopharm. Drug Dispos.* **1999**, *20*, 117–124. [CrossRef]
- 49. Lemmer, B.; Holle, L. Chronopharmacokinetics of imipramine and desipramine in rat forebrain and plasma after single and chronic treatment with imipramine. *Chronobiol. Int.* **1991**, *8*, 176–185. [CrossRef]
- 50. Nakano, S.; Hollister, L.E. No circadian effect on nortriptyline kinetics in man. Clin. Pharm. 1978, 23, 199–203. [CrossRef]
- 51. Oh-oka, K.; Kono, H.; Ishimaru, K.; Miyake, K.; Kubota, T.; Ogawa, H.; Okumura, K.; Shibata, S.; Nakao, A. Expressions of tight junction proteins Occludin and Claudin-1 are under the circadian control in the mouse large intestine: Implications in intestinal permeability and susceptibility to colitis. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e98016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Tanabe, K.; Kitagawa, E.; Wada, M.; Haraguchi, A.; Orihara, K.; Tahara, Y.; Nakao, A.; Shibata, S. Antigen exposure in the late light period induces severe symptoms of food allergy in an OVA-allergic mouse model. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 14424. [CrossRef]
- 53. Tran, L.; Jochum, S.B.; Shaikh, M.; Wilber, S.; Zhang, L.; Hayden, D.M.; Forsyth, C.B.; Voigt, R.M.; Bishehsari, F.; Keshavarzian, A.; et al. Circadian misalignment by environmental light/dark shifting causes circadian disruption in colon. *PLoS ONE* 2021, 16, e0251604. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Maes, M.; Kubera, M.; Leunis, J.C. The gut-brain barrier in major depression: Intestinal mucosal dysfunction with an increased translocation of LPS from gram negative enterobacteria (leaky gut) plays a role in the inflammatory pathophysiology of depression. *Neuroendocr. Lett.* **2008**, *29*, 117–124.
- 55. Uhr, M.; Grauer, M.T. abcb1ab P-glycoprotein is involved in the uptake of citalopram and trimipramine into the brain of mice. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **2003**, *37*, 179–185. [CrossRef]
- 56. Bundgaard, C.; Eneberg, E.; Sanchez, C. P-glycoprotein differentially affects escitalopram, levomilnacipran, vilazodone and vortioxetine transport at the mouse blood-brain barrier in vivo. *Neuropharmacology* **2016**, *103*, 104–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. O'Brien, F.E.; O'Connor, R.M.; Clarke, G.; Dinan, T.G.; Griffin, B.T.; Cryan, J.F. P-glycoprotein inhibition increases the brain distribution and antidepressant-like activity of escitalopram in rodents. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2013**, *38*, 2209–2219. [CrossRef]
- Uhr, M.; Steckler, T.; Yassouridis, A.; Holsboer, F. Penetration of amitriptyline, but not of fluoxetine, into brain is enhanced in mice with blood-brain barrier deficiency due to Mdr1a P-glycoprotein gene disruption. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2000, 22, 380–387. [CrossRef]
- 59. Spieler, D.; Namendorf, C.; Namendorf, T.; Uhr, M. abcb1ab p-glycoprotein is involved in the uptake of the novel antidepressant vortioxetine into the brain of mice. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **2019**, *109*, 48–51. [CrossRef]
- 60. Feng, S.; Zheng, L.; Tang, S.; Gu, J.; Jiang, X.; Wang, L. In-vitro and in situ assessment of the efflux of five antidepressants by breast cancer resistance protein. *J. Pharm. Pharm.* **2019**, *71*, 1133–1141. [CrossRef]

- 61. O'Leary, O.F.; Dinan, T.G.; Cryan, J.F. Faster, better, stronger: Towards new antidepressant therapeutic strategies. *Eur. J. Pharm.* **2015**, 753, 32–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Gachon, F.; Olela, F.F.; Schaad, O.; Descombes, P.; Schibler, U. The circadian PAR-domain basic leucine zipper transcription factors DBP, TEF, and HLF modulate basal and inducible xenobiotic detoxification. *Cell. Metab.* **2006**, *4*, 25–36. [CrossRef]
- 63. Murakami, Y.; Higashi, Y.; Matsunaga, N.; Koyanagi, S.; Ohdo, S. Circadian clock-controlled intestinal expression of the multidrug-resistance gene mdr1a in mice. *Gastroenterology* **2008**, *135*, 1636–1644. [CrossRef]
- 64. Ando, H.; Yanagihara, H.; Sugimoto, K.; Hayashi, Y.; Tsuruoka, S.; Takamura, T.; Kaneko, S.; Fujimura, A. Daily rhythms of P-glycoprotein expression in mice. *Chronobiol. Int.* **2005**, *22*, 655–665. [CrossRef]
- 65. Stearns, A.T.; Balakrishnan, A.; Rhoads, D.B.; Ashley, S.W.; Tavakkolizadeh, A. Diurnal rhythmicity in the transcription of jejunal drug transporters. *J. Pharm. Sci* 2008, 108, 144–148. [CrossRef]
- Okyar, A.; Kumar, S.A.; Filipski, E.; Piccolo, E.; Ozturk, N.; Xandri-Monje, H.; Pala, Z.; Abraham, K.; Gomes, A.; Orman, M.N.; et al. Sex-, feeding-, and circadian time-dependency of P-glycoprotein expression and activity—implications for mechanistic pharmacokinetics modeling. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 10505. [CrossRef]
- 67. Iwasaki, M.; Koyanagi, S.; Suzuki, N.; Katamune, C.; Matsunaga, N.; Watanabe, N.; Takahashi, M.; Izumi, T.; Ohdo, S. Circadian modulation in the intestinal absorption of P-glycoprotein substrates in monkeys. *Mol. Pharm.* **2015**, *88*, 29–37. [CrossRef]
- 68. Abaut, A.Y.; Chevanne, F.; Le Corre, P. Oral bioavailability and intestinal secretion of amitriptyline: Role of P-glycoprotein? *Int. J. Pharm.* **2007**, 330, 121–128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Koyanagi, S.; Hamdan, A.M.; Horiguchi, M.; Kusunose, N.; Okamoto, A.; Matsunaga, N.; Ohdo, S. cAMP-response element (CRE)-mediated transcription by activating transcription factor-4 (ATF4) is essential for circadian expression of the Period2 gene. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, *286*, 32416–32423. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hamdan, A.M.; Koyanagi, S.; Wada, E.; Kusunose, N.; Murakami, Y.; Matsunaga, N.; Ohdo, S. Intestinal expression of mouse Abcg2/breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) gene is under control of circadian clock-activating transcription factor-4 pathway. J. Biol.Chem. 2012, 287, 17224–17231. [CrossRef]
- 71. Chen, L.; Yang, G. Recent advances in circadian rhythms in cardiovascular system. Front. Pharm. 2015, 6, 71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Lemmer, B.; Soloviev, M. Chronobiology and the implications for safety pharmacology. In *Drug Discovery and Evaluation: Safety and Pharmacokinetic Assays*; Vogel, H.G., Maas, J., Hock, F.J., Mayer, D., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; Volume 21.
- 73. Douma, L.G.; Gumz, M.L. Circadian clock-mediated regulation of blood pressure. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2018**, *119*, 108–114. [CrossRef]
- 74. Scheving, L.E.; Pauly, J.E.; Tsai, T.H. Circadian fluctuation of plasma proteins of the rat. *Am. J. Physiol.* **1968**, *215*, 1096–1101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Harten, J.v. Clinical pharmacokinetics of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Clin. Pharm.* **1993**, *24*, 203–220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Borga, O.; Azarnoff, D.L.; Forshell, G.P.; Sjoqvist, F. Plasma protein binding of tricyclic anti-depressants in man. *Biochem. Pharm.* 1969, 18, 2135–2143. [CrossRef]
- Yost, R.L.; DeVane, C.L. Diurnal variation of α₁-acid glycoprotein concentration in normal volunteers. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 1985, 74, 777–779. [CrossRef]
- Wishart, D.S.; Feunang, Y.D.; Guo, A.C.; Lo, E.J.; Marcu, A.; Grant, J.R.; Sajed, T.; Johnson, D.; Li, C.; Sayeeda, Z.; et al. DrugBank 5.0: A major update to the DrugBank database for 2018. Available online: https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/ (accessed on 25 January 2021).
- 79. Nakazato, R.; Kawabe, K.; Yamada, D.; Ikeno, S.; Mieda, M.; Shimba, S.; Hinoi, E.; Yoneda, Y.; Takarada, T. Disruption of Bmal1 impairs blood-brain barrier integrity via pericyte dysfunction. *J. Neurosci.* **2017**, *37*, 10052–10062. [CrossRef]
- 80. Myung, J.; Schmal, C.; Hong, S.; Tsukizawa, Y.; Rose, P.; Zhang, Y.; Holtzman, M.J.; De Schutter, E.; Herzel, H.; Bordyugov, G.; et al. The choroid plexus is an important circadian clock component. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 1062. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 81. Xie, L.; Kang, H.; Xu, Q.; Chen, M.J.; Liao, Y.; Thiyagarajan, M.; O'Donnell, J.; Christensen, D.J.; Nicholson, C.; Iliff, J.J.; et al. Sleep drives metabolite clearance from the adult brain. *Science* 2013, *342*, 373–377. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Zhang, S.L.; Lahens, N.F.; Yue, Z.; Arnold, D.M.; Pakstis, P.P.; Schwarz, J.E.; Sehgal, A. A circadian clock regulates efflux by the blood-brain barrier in mice and human cells. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 617. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pulido, R.S.; Munji, R.N.; Chan, T.C.; Quirk, C.R.; Weiner, G.A.; Weger, B.D.; Rossi, M.J.; Elmsaouri, S.; Malfavon, M.; Deng, A.; et al. Neuronal activity regulates blood-brain barrier efflux transport through endothelial circadian genes. *Neuron* 2020, 108, 937–952. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Zhang, S.L.; Yue, Z.; Arnold, D.M.; Artiushin, G.; Sehgal, A. A Circadian clock in the blood-brain barrier regulates xenobiotic efflux. *Cell* **2018**, *173*, 130–139. [CrossRef]
- 85. Kervezee, L.; Hartman, R.; Berg, D.J.v.d.; Shimizu, S.; Emoto-Yamamoto, Y.; Meijer, J.H.; Lange, E.C.M.d. Diurnal variation in p-glycoprotein-mediated transport and cerebrospinal fluid turnover in the brain. *AAPS J.* **2014**, *16*, 1029–1037. [CrossRef]
- Savolainen, H.; Meerlo, P.; Elsinga, P.H.; Windhorst, A.D.; Dierckx, R.A.; Colabufo, N.A.; van Waarde, A.; Luurtsema, G. P-glycoprotein function in the rodent brain displays a daily rhythm, a quantitative in vivo PET study. *AAPS J.* 2016, 18, 1524–1531.
 [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 87. O'Brien, F.E.; Clarke, G.; Dinan, T.G.; Cryan, J.F.; Griffin, B.T. Human P-glycoprotein differentially affects antidepressant drug transport: Relevance to blood-brain barrier permeability. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **2013**, *16*, 2259–2272. [CrossRef]
- 88. Uhr, M.; Grauer, M.T.; Yassouridis, A.; Ebinger, M. Blood-brain barrier penetration and pharmacokinetics of amitriptyline and its metabolites in p-glycoprotein (abcb1ab) knock-out mice and controls. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **2007**, *41*, 179–188. [CrossRef]
- O'Brien, F.E.; Clarke, G.; Fitzgerald, P.; Dinan, T.G.; Griffin, B.T.; Cryan, J.F. Inhibition of P-glycoprotein enhances transport of imipramine across the blood-brain barrier: Microdialysis studies in conscious freely moving rats. *Br. J. Pharm.* 2012, 166, 1333–1343. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 90. Lemmer, B.; Nold, G. Circadian changes in estimated hepatic blood flow in healthy subjects. *Br. J. Clin. Pharm.* **1991**, *32*, 627–629. [CrossRef]
- Hodgson, K.; Tansey, K.E.; Uher, R.; Dernovšek, M.Z.; Mors, O.; Hauser, J.; Souery, D.; Maier, W.; Henigsberg, N.; Rietschel, M.; et al. Exploring the role of drug-metabolising enzymes in antidepressant side effects. *Psychopharmacology* 2015, 232, 2609–2617. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Zhang, T.; Yu, F.; Guo, L.; Chen, M.; Yuan, X.; Wu, B. Small heterodimer partner regulates circadian cytochromes p450 and drug-induced hepatotoxicity. *Theranostics* 2018, *8*, 5246–5258. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Y.K.; Yeager, R.L.; Klaassen, C.D. Circadian expression profiles of drug-processing genes and transcription factors in mouse liver. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2009, 37, 106–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Takiguchi, T.; Tomita, M.; Matsunaga, N.; Nakagawa, H.; Koyanagi, S.; Ohdo, S. Molecular basis for rhythmic expression of CYP3A4 in serum-shocked HepG2 cells. *Pharm. Genom.* **2007**, *17*, 1047–1056. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Matsunaga, N.; Inoue, M.; Kusunose, N.; Kakimoto, K.; Hamamura, K.; Hanada, Y.; Toi, A.; Yoshiyama, Y.; Sato, F.; Fujimoto, K.; et al. Time-dependent interaction between differentiated embryo chondrocyte-2 and CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha underlies the circadian expression of CYP2D6 in serum-shocked HepG2 cells. *Mol. Pharm.* 2012, *81*, 739–747. [CrossRef]
- 96. Mauvoisin, D.; Wang, J.; Jouffe, C.; Martin, E.; Atger, F.; Waridel, P.; Quadroni, M.; Gachon, F.; Naef, F. Circadian clock-dependent and -independent rhythmic proteomes implement distinct diurnal functions in mouse liver. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, 167–172. [CrossRef]
- 97. Oh, J.H.; Lee, J.H.; Han, D.H.; Cho, S.; Lee, Y.J. Circadian clock is involved in regulation of hepatobiliary transport mediated by multidrug resistance-associated protein 2. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 2017, *106*, 2491–2498. [CrossRef]
- 98. Carmona-Antonanzas, G.; Santi, M.; Migaud, H.; Vera, L.M. Light- and clock-control of genes involved in detoxification. *Chronobiol. Int.* **2017**, *34*, 1026–1041. [CrossRef]
- 99. Wyska, E. Pharmacokinetic considerations for current state-of-the-art antidepressants. *Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* 2019, 15, 831–847. [CrossRef]
- 100. White, C.A.; Pardue, R.; Huang, C.; Warren, J. Chronobiological evaluation of the active biliary and renal secretion of ampicillin. *Chronobiol. Int.* **1995**, 12, 410–418. [CrossRef]
- Oda, M.; Koyanagi, S.; Tsurudome, Y.; Kanemitsu, T.; Matsunaga, N.; Ohdo, S. Renal circadian clock regulates the dosing-time dependency of cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in mice. *Mol. Pharm.* 2014, 85, 715–722. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hara, M.; Minami, Y.; Ohashi, M.; Tsuchiya, Y.; Kusaba, T.; Tamagaki, K.; Koike, N.; Umemura, Y.; Inokawa, H.; Yagita, K. Robust circadian clock oscillation and osmotic rhythms in inner medulla reflecting cortico-medullary osmotic gradient rhythm in rodent kidney. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 7306. [CrossRef]
- 103. Prins, J.M.; Weverling, G.J.; Ketel, R.J.v.; Speelman, P. Circadian variations in serum levels and the renal toxicity of aminoglycosides in patients. *Clin. Pharm.* **1997**, *62*, 106–111. [CrossRef]
- 104. Kawai, H.; Machida, M.; Ishibashi, T.; Kudo, N.; Kawashima, Y.; Mitsumoto, A. Chronopharmacological analysis of antidepressant activity of a dual-action serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor (SNRI), milnacipran, in rats. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 2018, 41, 213–219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Ushijima, K.; Sakaguchi, H.; Sato, Y.; To, H.; Koyanagi, S.; Higuchi, S.; Ohdo, S. Chronopharmacological study of antidepressants in forced swimming test of mice. *J. Pharm. Exp. Ther.* **2005**, *315*, 764–770. [CrossRef]
- 106. Matheson, G.J.; Schain, M.; Almeida, R.; Lundberg, J.; Cselenyi, Z.; Borg, J.; Varrone, A.; Farde, L.; Cervenka, S. Diurnal and seasonal variation of the brain serotonin system in healthy male subjects. *Neuroimage* **2015**, *112*, 225–231. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Pangerl, B.; Pangerl, A.; Reiter, R.J. Circadian variations of adrenergic receptors in the mammalian pineal gland: A review. *J. Neural Transm.* **1990**, *81*, 17–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Hampp, G.; Ripperger, J.A.; Houben, T.; Schmutz, I.; Blex, C.; Perreau-Lenz, S.; Brunk, I.; Spanagel, R.; Ahnert-Hilger, G.; Meijer, J.H.; et al. Regulation of monoamine oxidase A by circadian-clock components implies clock influence on mood. *Curr. Biol.* 2008, 18, 678–683. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. Perez-Caballero, L.; Torres-Sanchez, S.; Bravo, L.; Mico, J.A.; Berrocoso, E. Fluoxetine: A case history of its discovery and preclinical development. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* 2014, *9*, 567–578. [CrossRef]
- Tatsumi, M.; Groshan, K.; Blakely, R.D.; Richelson, E. Pharmacological profile of antidepressants and related compounds at human monoamine transporters. *Eur. J. Pharm.* 1997, 340, 249–258. [CrossRef]
- 111. Praschak-Rieder, N.; Willeit, M.; Wilson, A.A.; Houle, S.; Meyer, J.H. Seasonal variation in human brain serotonin transporter binding. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 2008, *65*, 1072–1078. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Gillman, P.K. Tricyclic antidepressant pharmacology and therapeutic drug interactions updated. *Br. J. Pharm.* 2007, 151, 737–748. [CrossRef]

- 113. Marquez, E.; Pavia, J.; Laukonnen, S.; Martos, F.; Gomez, A.; Rius, F.; Cuesta, F.S.d.I. Circadian rhythm in muscarinic receptor subtypes in rat forebrain. *Chronobiol. Int.* **1990**, *7*, 277–282. [CrossRef]
- Maletic, V.; Eramo, A.; Gwin, K.; Offord, S.J.; Duffy, R.A. The role of norepinephrine and its alpha-adrenergic receptors in the pathophysiology and treatment of major depressive disorder and schizophrenia: A systematic review. *Front. Psychiatry* 2017, *8*, 42. [CrossRef]
- 115. Doze, V.A.; Handel, E.M.; Jensen, K.A.; Darsie, B.; Luger, E.J.; Haselton, J.R.; Talbot, J.N.; Rorabaugh, B.R. alpha(1A)-And alpha(1B)-adrenergic receptors differentially modulate antidepressant-like behavior in the mouse. *Brain Res.* **2009**, *1285*, 148–157. [CrossRef]
- 116. Nestler, E.J.; Barrot, M.; DiLeone, R.J.; Eisch, A.J.; Gold, S.J.; Monteggia, L.M. Neurobiology of depression. Neuron 2002, 34, 13–25. [CrossRef]
- 117. Castañeda, T.R.; Prado, B.M.; Prieto, D.; Mora, F. Circadian rhythms of dopamine, glutamate and GABA in the striatum and nucleus accumbens of the awake rat: Modulation by light. *J. Pineal Res.* 2004, *36*, 177–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Eckel-Mahan, K.; Sassone-Corsi, P. Phenotyping circadian rhythms in mice. *Curr. Protoc. Mouse Biol.* **2015**, *5*, 271–281. [CrossRef]
- Ripperger, J.A.; Jud, C.; Albrecht, U. The daily rhythm of mice. *FEBS Lett.* 2011, *585*, 1384–1392. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
 Fisher, S.P.; Godinho, S.I.; Pothecary, C.A.; Hankins, M.W.; Foster, R.G.; Peirson, S.N. Rapid assessment of sleep-wake behavior in mice. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 2012, *27*, 48–58. [CrossRef]
- 121. Krishnan, V.; Nestler, E.J. Animal models of depression: Molecular perspectives. *Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci.* 2011, 7, 121–147. [CrossRef]
- 122. Landgraf, D.; Long, J.E.; Proulx, C.D.; Barandas, R.; Malinow, R.; Welsh, D.K. Genetic disruption of circadian rhythms in the suprachiasmatic nucleus causes helplessness, behavioral despair, and anxiety-like behavior in mice. *Biol. Psychiatry* **2016**, *80*, 827–835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Slattery, D.A.; Cryan, J.F. Using the rat forced swim test to assess antidepressant-like activity in rodents. *Nat. Protoc.* **2012**, *7*, 1009–1014. [CrossRef]
- 124. Cryan, J.F.; Mombereau, C.; Vassout, A. The tail suspension test as a model for assessing antidepressant activity: Review of pharmacological and genetic studies in mice. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 2005, 29, 571–625. [CrossRef]
- 125. Jin, Z.L.; Chen, X.F.; Ran, Y.H.; Li, X.R.; Xiong, J.; Zheng, Y.Y.; Gao, N.N.; Li, Y.F. Mouse strain differences in SSRI sensitivity correlate with serotonin transporter binding and function. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 8631. [CrossRef]
- Lucki, I.; Dalvi, A.; Mayorga, A.J. Sensitivity to the effects of pharmacologically selective antidepressants in different strains of mice. *Psychopharmacology* 2001, 155, 315–322. [CrossRef]
- 127. Mombereau, C.; Kaupmann, K.; Froestl, W.; Sansig, G.; van der Putten, H.; Cryan, J.F. Genetic and pharmacological evidence of a role for GABA(B) receptors in the modulation of anxiety- and antidepressant-like behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2004**, *29*, 1050–1062. [CrossRef]
- 128. Cryan, J.F.; Markou, A.; Lucki, I. Assessing antidepressant activity in rodents: Recent developments and future needs. *Trends Pharm. Sci.* **2002**, *23*, 238–245. [CrossRef]
- 129. Detke, M.J.; Rickels, M.; Lucki, I. Active behaviors in the rat forced swimming test differentially produced by serotonergic and noradrenergic antidepressants. *Psychopharmacology* **1995**, *121*, 66–72. [CrossRef]
- 130. Richetto, J.; Polesel, M.; Weber-Stadlbauer, U. Effects of light and dark phase testing on the investigation of behavioural paradigms in mice: Relevance for behavioural neuroscience. *Pharm. Biochem. Behav.* **2019**, *178*, 19–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 131. Kelliher, P.; Connor, T.J.; Harkin, A.; Sanchez, C.; Kelly, J.P.; Leonard, B.E. Varying responses to the rat forced-swim test under diurnal and nocturnal conditions. *Physiol. Behav.* 2000, *69*, 531–539. [CrossRef]
- 132. Borsini, F.; Lecci, A.; Stasi, M.A.; Pessia, M.; Meli, A. Seasonal and circadian variations of behavioural response to antidepressants in the forced swimming test in rats. *Behav. Pharmacol.* **1990**, *1*, 395–401. [CrossRef]
- 133. Weiner, N.; Clement, H.-W.; Gemsa, D.; Wesemann, W. Circadian and seasonal rhythms of 5-HT receptor subtypes, membrane anisotropy and 5-HT release in hippocampus and cortex of the rat. *Neurochem. Int.* **1992**, *21*, 7–14. [CrossRef]
- Kawai, H.; Iwadate, R.; Ishibashi, T.; Kudo, N.; Kawashima, Y.; Mitsumoto, A. Antidepressants with different mechanisms of action show different chronopharmacological profiles in the tail suspension test in mice. *Chronobiol. Int.* 2019, *36*, 1194–1207. [CrossRef]
- 135. Tsang, A.H.; Astiz, M.; Leinweber, B.; Oster, H. Rodent models for the analysis of tissue clock function in metabolic rhythms research. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2017, *8*, 27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Subhash, M.N.; Nagaraja, M.R.; Sharada, S.; Vinod, K.Y. Cortical alpha-adrenoceptor downregulation by tricyclic antidepressants in the rat brain. *Neurochem. Int.* **2003**, *43*, 603–609. [CrossRef]
- Redolat, R.; Vidal, J.; Gómez, M.C.; Carrasco, M.C. Effects of acute bupropion administration on locomotor activity in adolescent and adult mice. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 2005, 16, 59–62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 138. Belovicova, K.; Bogi, E.; Csatlosova, K.; Dubovicky, M. Animal tests for anxiety-like and depression-like behavior in rats. *Interdiscip. Toxicol.* **2017**, *10*, 40–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 139. Kavakli, I.H.; Sancar, A. Circadian photoreception in humans and mice. *Mol. Interv.* 2002, 2, 484–492. [CrossRef]
- 140. Nagayama, H.; Nagano, K.; Ikezaki, A.; Tashiro, T. Double-blind study of the chronopharmacotherapy of depression. *Chronobiol. Int.* **1991**, *8*, 203–209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Philipp, M.; Marneros, A. Chronobiology and its implications for pharmacotherapy of endogenous depression. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 1978, 11, 235–240. [CrossRef]
- 142. Emens, J.; Lewy, A.; Kinzie, J.M.; Arntz, D.; Rough, J. Circadian misalignment in major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Res* 2009, 168, 259–261. [CrossRef]
- 143. Kripke, D.F.; Nievergelt, C.M.; Joo, E.; Shekhtman, T.; Kelsoe, J.R. Circadian polymorphisms associated with affective disorders. *J. Circadian Rhythm.* 2009, 7, 2. [CrossRef]
- 144. Takahashi, T.; Sasabayashi, D.; Yucel, M.; Whittle, S.; Lorenzetti, V.; Walterfang, M.; Suzuki, M.; Pantelis, C.; Malhi, G.S.; Allen, N.B. Pineal gland volume in major depressive and bipolar disorders. *Front. Psychiatry* **2020**, *11*, 450. [CrossRef]
- 145. Gorwood, P. Restoring circadian rhythms: A new way to successfully manage depression. *J. Psychopharmacol.* **2010**, *24*, 15–19. [CrossRef]
- 146. Nomura, K.; Castanon-Cervantes, O.; Davidson, A.; Fukuhara, C. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and raft inhibitors shorten the period of Period1-driven circadian bioluminescence rhythms in rat-1 fibroblasts. *Life Sci.* 2008, *82*, 1169–1174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, S.X.; Liu, L.J.; Xu, L.Z.; Gao, L.; Wang, X.F.; Zhang, J.T.; Lu, L. Diurnal alterations in circadian genes and peptides in major depressive disorder before and after escitalopram treatment. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2013, 38, 2789–2799. [CrossRef]
- 148. McGlashan, E.M.; Nandam, L.S.; Vidafar, P.; Mansfield, D.R.; Rajaratnam, S.M.W.; Cain, S.W. The SSRI citalopram increases the sensitivity of the human circadian system to light in an acute dose. *Psychopharmacology* **2018**, 235, 3201–3209. [CrossRef]
- Carvalho, L.A.; Gorenstein, C.; Moreno, R.; Pariante, C.; Markus, R.P. Effect of antidepressants on melatonin metabolite in depressed patients. J. Psychopharmacol. 2008, 23, 315–321. [CrossRef]
- 150. Cuesta, M.; Clesse, D.; Pevet, P.; Challet, E. New light on the serotonergic paradox in the rat circadian system. *J. Neurochem.* 2009, *110*, 231–243. [CrossRef]
- 151. Sprouse, J.; Braselton, J.; Reynolds, L. Fluoxetine modulates the circadian biological clock via phase advances of suprachiasmatic nucleus neuronal firing. *Biol. Psychiatry* **2006**, *60*, 896–899. [CrossRef]
- 152. Schaufler, J.; Ronovsky, M.; Savalli, G.; Cabatic, M.; Sartori, S.B.; Singewald, N.; Pollak, D.D. Fluoxetine normalizes disrupted light-induced entrainment, fragmented ultradian rhythms and altered hippocampal clock gene expression in an animal model of high trait anxiety- and depression-related behavior. Ann. Med. 2015, 48, 17–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Demisch, K.; Demisch, L.; Bochnik, H.J.; Nickelsen, T.; Althoff, P.H.; Schöffling, K.; Rieth, R. Melatonin and cortisol increase after fluvoxamine. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1986, 22, 620–622. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 154. Demisch, K.; Demisch, L.; Nickelsen, T.; Rieth, R. The influence of acute and subchronic administration of various antidepressants on early morning melatonin plasma levels in healthy subjects: Increases following fluvoxamine. *J. Neural Transm.* **1987**, *68*, 257–270. [CrossRef]
- 155. Hao, Y.; Hu, Y.; Wang, H.; Paudel, D.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, B. The effect of fluvoxamine on sleep architecture of depressed patients with insomnia: An 8-week, open-label, baseline-controlled study. *Nat. Sci. Sleep* 2019, *11*, 291–300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 156. Murata, Y.; Kamishioiri, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Sugimoto, H.; Sakamoto, S.; Kobayashi, D.; Mine, K. Severe sleepiness and excess sleep duration induced by paroxetine treatment is a beneficial pharmacological effect, not an adverse reaction. *J. Affect. Disord.* 2013, 150, 1209–1212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wilson, S.; Hojer, A.M.; Buchberg, J.; Areberg, J.; Nutt, D.J. Differentiated effects of the multimodal antidepressant vortioxetine on sleep architecture: Part 1, a pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic comparison with paroxetine in healthy men. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 2015, 29, 1085–1091. [CrossRef]
- 158. Hariharasubramanian, N.; Nair, N.P.V.; Pilapil, C.; Isaac, I.; Quirion, R. Effect of imipramine on the circadian rhythm of plasma melatonin in unipolar depression. *Chronobiol. Int.* **1986**, *3*, 65–69. [CrossRef]
- 159. Thompson, C.; Mezey, G.; Corn, T.; Franey, C.; English, J.; Arendt, J.; Checkley, S.A. The effect of desipramine upon melatonin and cortisol secretion in depressed and normal subjects. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **1985**, *147*, 389–393. [CrossRef]
- 160. Spulber, S.; Conti, M.; Elberling, F.; Raciti, M.; Borroto-Escuela, D.O.; Fuxe, K.; Ceccatelli, S. Desipramine restores the alterations in circadian entrainment induced by prenatal exposure to glucocorticoids. *Transl. Psychiatry* **2019**, *9*, 263. [CrossRef]
- 161. Refinetti, R.; Menaker, M. Effects of imipramine on circadian rhythms in the golden hamster. *Pharm. Biochem. Behav.* **1993**, 45, 27–33. [CrossRef]
- 162. Castanho, A.; Bothorel, B.; Seguin, L.; Mocaer, E.; Pevet, P. Like melatonin, agomelatine (S20098) increases the amplitude of oscillations of two clock outputs: Melatonin and temperature rhythms. *Chronobiol. Int.* **2014**, *31*, 371–381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 163. Redman, J.R.; Francis, A.J.P. Entrainment of rat circadian rhythms by the melatonin agonist s-20098 requires intact suprachiasmatic nuclei but not the pineal. *J. Biol. Rhythms* **1998**, *13*, 39–51. [CrossRef]
- 164. Redman, J.R.; Guardiola-Lemaitre, B.; Brown, M.; Delagrange, P.; Armstrong, S.M. Dose dependent effects of S.20098, a melatonin agonist, on direction of re-entrainment of rat circadian activity rhythms. *Psychopharmacology* 1995, 118, 385–390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 165. Kräuchi, K.; Cajochen, C.; Möri, D.; Graw, P.; Wirz-Justice, A. Early evening melatonin and S-20098 advance circadian phase and nocturnal regulation of core body temperature. *Am. J. Physiol.* **1997**, 272, R1178–R1188. [CrossRef]
- 166. Leproult, R.; Van Onderbergen, A.; L'Hermite-Baleriaux, M.; Van Cauter, E.; Copinschi, G. Phase-shifts of 24-h rhythms of hormonal release and body temperature following early evening administration of the melatonin agonist agomelatine in healthy older men. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 2005, *63*, 298–304. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 167. Cajochen, C.; Krauchi, K.; Mori, D.; Graw, P.; Wirz-Justice, A. Melatonin and S-20098 increase REM sleep and wake-up propensity without modifying NREM sleep homeostasis. *Am. J. Physiol.* **1997**, 272, R1189–R1196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Descamps, A.; Rousset, C.; Millan, M.J.; Spedding, M.; Delagrange, P.; Cespuglio, R. Influence of the novel antidepressant and melatonin agonist/serotonin2C receptor antagonist, agomelatine, on the rat sleep-wake cycle architecture. *Psychopharmacology* 2009, 205, 93–106. [CrossRef]
- 169. Mairesse, J.; Silletti, V.; Laloux, C.; Zuena, A.R.; Giovine, A.; Consolazione, M.; van Camp, G.; Malagodi, M.; Gaetani, S.; Cianci, S.; et al. Chronic agomelatine treatment corrects the abnormalities in the circadian rhythm of motor activity and sleep/wake cycle induced by prenatal restraint stress in adult rats. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2013, *16*, 323–338. [CrossRef]
- Schmelting, B.; Corbach-Sohle, S.; Kohlhause, S.; Schlumbohm, C.; Flugge, G.; Fuchs, E. Agomelatine in the tree shrew model of depression: Effects on stress-induced nocturnal hyperthermia and hormonal status. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2014, 24, 437–447. [CrossRef]
- 171. Rainer, Q.; Xia, L.; Guilloux, J.-P.; Gabriel, C.; Mocaër, E.; Hen, R.; Enhamre, E.; Gardier, A.M.; David, D.J. Beneficial behavioural and neurogenic effects of agomelatine in a model of depression/anxiety. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2011, 15, 321–335. [CrossRef]
- 172. Barden, N.; Shink, E.; Labbe, M.; Vacher, R.; Rochford, J.; Mocaer, E. Antidepressant action of agomelatine (S 20098) in a transgenic mouse model. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 2005, *29*, 908–916. [CrossRef]
- 173. Kasper, S.; Hajak, G.; Wulff, K.; Hoogendijk, W.J.; Montejo, A.L.; Smeraldi, E.; Rybakowski, J.K.; Quera-Salva, M.A.; Wirz-Justice, A.M.; Picarel-Blanchot, F.; et al. Efficacy of the novel antidepressant agomelatine on the circadian rest-activity cycle and depressive and anxiety symptoms in patients with major depressive disorder: A randomized, double-blind comparison with sertraline. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 2010, *71*, 109–120. [CrossRef]
- 174. Quera Salva, M.A.; Vanier, B.; Laredo, J.; Hartley, S.; Chapotot, F.; Moulin, C.; Lofaso, F.; Guilleminault, C. Major depressive disorder, sleep EEG and agomelatine: An open-label study. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2007, 10, 691–696. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 175. Bellet, M.M.; Vawter, M.P.; Bunney, B.G.; Bunney, W.E.; Sassone-Corsi, P. Ketamine influences CLOCK:BMAL1 function leading to altered circadian gene expression. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e23982. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Duncan, W.C., Jr.; Slonena, E.; Hejazi, N.S.; Brutsche, N.; Yu, K.C.; Park, L.; Ballard, E.D.; Zarate, C.A., Jr. Motor-activity markers of circadian timekeeping are related to ketamine's rapid antidepressant properties. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2017, *82*, 361–369. [CrossRef]
- 177. Schmid, D.A.; Wichniak, A.; Uhr, M.; Ising, M.; Brunner, H.; Held, K.; Weikel, J.C.; Sonntag, A.; Steiger, A. Changes of sleep architecture, spectral composition of sleep EEG, the nocturnal secretion of cortisol, ACTH, GH, prolactin, melatonin, ghrelin, and leptin, and the DEX-CRH test in depressed patients during treatment with mirtazapine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2006**, *31*, 832–844. [CrossRef]
- Osredkar, D.; Kržan, M. Expression of serotonin receptor subtypes in rat brain and astrocyte cell cultures: An age- and tissuedependent process. *Period Biol.* 2009, 111, 129–135.
- 179. Challet, E.; Turek, F.W.; Laute, M.-A.; Reeth, O.V. Sleep deprivation decreases phase-shift responses of circadian rhythms to light in the mouse: Role of serotonergic and metabolic signals. *Brain Res.* **2001**, *909*, 81–91. [CrossRef]
- Bang-Andersen, B.; Ruhland, T.; Jorgensen, M.; Smith, G.; Frederiksen, K.; Jensen, K.G.; Zhong, H.; Nielsen, S.M.; Hogg, S.; Mork, A.; et al. Discovery of 1-[2-(2,4-dimethylphenylsulfanyl)phenyl]piperazine (Lu AA21004): A novel multimodal compound for the treatment of major depressive disorder. *J. Med. Chem.* 2011, 54, 3206–3221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Swanson, L.M.; Burgess, H.J.; Huntley, E.D.; Bertram, H.; Mooney, A.; Zollars, J.; Dopp, R.; Hoffmann, R.; Armitage, R.; Todd Arnedt, J. Relationships between circadian measures, depression, and response to antidepressant treatment: A preliminary investigation. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017, 252, 262–269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 182. Duman, R.S.; Monteggia, L.M. A neurotrophic model for stress-related mood disorders. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2006, *59*, 1116–1127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 183. Duman, R.S.; Malberg, J.; Nakagawa, S.; D'Sa, C. Neuronal plasticity and survival in mood disorders. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2000, 48, 732–739. [CrossRef]
- Faraguna, U.; Vyazovskiy, V.V.; Nelson, A.B.; Tononi, G.; Cirelli, C. A causal role for brain-derived neurotrophic factor in the homeostatic regulation of sleep. J. Neurosci. 2008, 28, 4088–4095. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 185. Schaaf, M.J.M.; Duurland, R.; Kloet, E.R.; Vreugdenhil, E. Circadian variation in BDNF mRNA expression in the rat hippocampus. *Brain Res.Mol.* 2000, 75, 342–344. [CrossRef]
- 186. Begliuomini, S.; Lenzi, E.; Ninni, F.; Casarosa, E.; Merlini, S.; Pluchino, N.; Valentino, V.; Luisi, S.; Luisi, M.; Genazzani, A.R. Plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor daily variations in men: Correlation with cortisol circadian rhythm. *J. Endocrinol.* 2008, 197, 429–435. [CrossRef]
- 187. Cain, S.W.; Chang, A.M.; Vlasac, I.; Tare, A.; Anderson, C.; Czeisler, C.A.; Saxena, R. Circadian rhythms in plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor differ in men and women. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 2017, *32*, 75–82. [CrossRef]
- Giese, M.; Beck, J.; Brand, S.; Muheim, F.; Hemmeter, U.; Hatzinger, M.; Holsboer-Trachsler, E.; Eckert, A. Fast BDNF serum level increase and diurnal BDNF oscillations are associated with therapeutic response after partial sleep deprivation. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 2014, 59, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- Lu, Y.; Ho, C.S.; McIntyre, R.S.; Wang, W.; Ho, R.C. Effects of vortioxetine and fluoxetine on the level of Brain Derived Neurotrophic Factors (BDNF) in the hippocampus of chronic unpredictable mild stress-induced depressive rats. *Brain Res. Bull.* 2018, 142, 1–7. [CrossRef]

- Lu, Y.; Ho, C.S.; McIntyre, R.S.; Wang, W.; Ho, R.C. Agomelatine-induced modulation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in the rat hippocampus. *Life Sci.* 2018, 210, 177–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 191. Malberg, J.E.; Eisch, A.J.; Nestler, E.J.; Duman, R.S. Chronic antidepressant treatment increases neurogenesis in adult rat hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* 2000, 20, 9104–9110. [CrossRef]
- 192. Kasper, S.; Hamon, M. Beyond the monoaminergic hypothesis: Agomelatine, a new antidepressant with an innovative mechanism of action. *World J. Biol. Psychiatry* **2009**, *10*, 117–126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 193. Martinet, L.; Guardiola-Lemaitre, B.; Mocaer, E. Entrainment of circadian rhythms by S-20098, a melatonin agonist, is dose and plasma concentration dependent. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **1996**, *54*, 713–718. [CrossRef]
- 194. Pitrosky, B.; Kirsch, R.; Malan, A.; Mocaer, E.; Pevet, P. Organization of rat circadian rhythms during daily infusion of melatonin or S20098, a melatonin agonist. *Am. J. Physiol.* **1999**, 277, R812–R828. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 195. Papp, M.; Gruca, P.; Boyer, P.A.; Mocaer, E. Effect of agomelatine in the chronic mild stress model of depression in the rat. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2003**, *28*, 694–703. [CrossRef]
- Liu, M.Y.; Yin, C.Y.; Zhu, L.J.; Zhu, X.H.; Xu, C.; Luo, C.X.; Chen, H.; Zhu, D.Y.; Zhou, Q.G. Sucrose preference test for measurement of stress-induced anhedonia in mice. *Nat. Protoc.* 2018, 13, 1686–1698. [CrossRef]
- 197. Moriguchi, S.; Takamiya, A.; Noda, Y.; Horita, N.; Wada, M.; Tsugawa, S.; Plitman, E.; Sano, Y.; Tarumi, R.; ElSalhy, M.; et al. Glutamatergic neurometabolite levels in major depressive disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis of proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies. *Mol. Psychiatry* **2019**, *24*, 952–964. [CrossRef]
- 198. Paul, K.N.; Fukuhara, C.; Karom, M.; Tosini, G.; Albers, H.E. AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist DNQX blocks the acute increase of Per2 mRNA levels in most but not all areas of the SCN. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 2005, 139, 129–136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 199. Paul, K.N.; Fukuhara, C.; Tosini, G.; Albers, H.E. Transduction of light in the suprachiasmatic nucleus: Evidence for two different neurochemical cascades regulating the levels of Per1 mRNA and pineal melatonin. *Neuroscience* 2003, 119, 137–144. [CrossRef]
- 200. Berman, R.M.; Cappiello, A.; Anand, A.; Oren, D.A.; Heninger, G.R.; Charney, D.S.; Krystal, J.H. Antidepressant effects of ketamine in depressed patients. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2000, 47, 351–354. [CrossRef]
- Ma, Z.; Zang, T.; Birnbaum, S.G.; Wang, Z.; Johnson, J.E.; Zhang, C.L.; Parada, L.F. TrkB dependent adult hippocampal progenitor differentiation mediates sustained ketamine antidepressant response. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 1668. [CrossRef]
- Goldsmith, C.S.; Bell-Pedersen, D. Diverse roles for MAPK signaling in circadian clocks. *Adv. Genet.* 2013, 84, 1–39. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- 203. Reus, G.Z.; Vieira, F.G.; Abelaira, H.M.; Michels, M.; Tomaz, D.B.; dos Santos, M.A.; Carlessi, A.S.; Neotti, M.V.; Matias, B.I.; Luz, J.R.; et al. MAPK signaling correlates with the antidepressant effects of ketamine. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **2014**, *55*, 15–21. [CrossRef]
- 204. Orozco-Solis, R.; Montellier, E.; Aguilar-Arnal, L.; Sato, S.; Vawter, M.P.; Bunney, B.G.; Bunney, W.E.; Sassone-Corsi, P. A Circadian genomic signature common to ketamine and sleep deprivation in the anterior cingulate cortex. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2017, *82*, 351–360. [CrossRef] [PubMed]